

The Acte Clair in EC Direct Tax Law

Table of Contents

Foreword

Miguel Poiares Maduro

Note from the editors

Ana Paula Dourado, Ricardo da Palma Borges

List of abbreviations

PART I GENERAL ISSUES

Is it acte clair? General report on the role played by *CILFIT* in direct taxation

Ana Paula Dourado

1. Introductory remarks
2. Sources and standards of the acte clair doctrine
 - 2.1. Paras. 14 and 16 of the *CILFIT* case and Art. 104 (3) of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice
 - 2.2. Who is afraid of the acte clair doctrine?
 - 2.3. *CILFIT* vs. *Da Costa*: the advantages of the system of precedent
3. The object and the development of the Acte Clair in Direct Tax Issues
 - 3.1. Rules on the tax incidence, tax base, tax rates, anti-abuse and procedural rules
 - 3.2. Definitions of taxpayer and tax object
 - 3.3. Tax treaty rules
 - 3.4. Unclearness around double taxation relief rules
 - 3.5. The comparison tests
 - 3.5.1. The comparison between residents and non-residents
 - 3.5.2. Recent comparison tests
 - 3.6. Negative limits: the borderline of the tax acte clair
 - 3.7. Principles
 - 3.7.1. The ability-to-pay principle
 - 3.7.2. The net income taxation principle
 - 3.7.3. The prohibition of abuse of law
 - 3.7.4. The principle of territoriality
 - 3.8. The object of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax issues involving Member States and third states
 - 3.9. The adequate level of abstraction: the example of the tax base
4. Relevant objective elements apt to justify a restriction to a fundamental freedom or a discriminatory treatment, resulting from a direct tax regime
 - 4.1. Disparities versus justifications for discrimination/restrictions
 - 4.1.1. Art. 58 (1) (a) EC Treaty
 - 4.1.2. Justifications for discrimination/restrictions

- 4.1.2.1. Justifications that are either provided by the Treaty but inapplicable to direct tax issues or invoked by the Member States and systematically rejected by the Court
- 4.1.2.2. Justifications that have been accepted only once by the Court and subsequently rejected or developed in a different direction
- 4.1.2.3 Justifications once rejected by the Court and subsequently accepted or accepted in a different context and justifications accepted by the Court

5. Temporal effects of an ECJ decision

6. Damages and liabilities

7. The interpretation of the acte clair doctrine by the courts in the EC Member States

8. Conclusions

Annex I: Above Mentioned Direct Tax Decisions of the European Court of Justice (chronological order)

Who's afraid of the acte clair doctrine?

Daniel Sarmiento

1. The acte clair doctrine and the CILFIT decision

2. Justifying CILFIT

3. The development of CILFIT in the Court's case law

4. The challenges ahead (I): EC remedies

5. The challenges ahead (II): national remedies

6. De lege ferenda: a European appeal and the territorial decentralization of EU courts

7. Who's afraid of the acte clair doctrine?

Justifications in Community law for income tax restrictions on free movement: acte clair rules that can be readily applied by national courts

Servaas van Thiel

1. Introduction

2. Public interest justifications that are of no use in the income tax area: revenue loss, administrative difficulties and coherence of the tax system

3. National anti-avoidance and evasion measures may be justified if they specifically target wholly artificial constructions without economic substance

3.1. General

- 3.2. National anti-abuse measures are not beyond the scope of Community law
- 3.3. National anti-abuse provisions that restrict free movement can exceptionally be justified
- 3.4. Conclusions

4. Income tax discrimination may be justified by the need to ensure a balanced allocation of tax jurisdiction

- 4.1. Inter-jurisdictional equity as reflected by tax treaties is fine as long as it does not distort taxpayer equity
- 4.2. The need to preserve tax jurisdiction and to prevent tax avoidance and a double dip

5. Conclusions

Annex I: Income tax decisions of the European Court of Justice (reverse chronological order)

Acte clair and limitations of the temporal effects of ECJ judgments

Michael Lang

1. ECJ Case Law
2. Legal Basis for a Preliminary Ruling on the Limitation of Temporal Effects?
3. Obligation for a Preliminary Ruling on the Limitation of Temporal Effects?
4. Relevant Criteria for a Preliminary Ruling on the Limitation of Temporal Effects
5. Denial of Request for Preliminary Ruling
6. Conclusions

Consequences of the acte clair doctrine for the national courts and temporal effects of an ECJ decision

Frans Vanistendael

1. Introduction: Why is there a relationship between acte clair and the temporal effect of ECJ decisions?
2. The acte clair doctrine
3. The acte éclairé doctrine
4. Legal certainty and temporal effect:
5. Some new developments on legal certainty and the temporal effect of tax decisions
6. Questions on acte clair and temporal effect

7. The impact of acte clair and temporal effect
8. The impact of acte éclairé and temporal effect
9. The relationship of the retroactive interpretation of EC law and the national rules on the retroactive effect of national judicial decisions

PART II REPORTS WITH COUNTRY INSIGHTS

Acte clair, Community precedent and direct taxation in the Austrian judicial system

Georg W. Kofler

1. Introduction
2. Acte Éclairé and Acte Clair in the Community Legal Framework
 - 2.1. Da Costa: Community Precedent and Acte Éclairé
 - 2.2. In Claris Non Fit Interpretatio and CILFIT: Past, Present, and Future of Community Acte Clair
 - 2.3. Article 104(3) of the Rules of Procedure
3. Acte Clair and Direct Taxation: The Approach of Austrian Courts
 - 3.1. The Current State of Affairs
 - 3.2. The Application of Acte Éclairé and Acte Clair in the Direct Tax Area
 - 3.2.1. Cross-Border Income from Capital
 - 3.2.2. Preferences for Domestic Income and Expenses
 - 3.2.3. Cross-Border Loss Utilization
 - 3.2.4. Taxation of Non-Residents
 - 3.2.5. Double Taxation Conventions
 - 3.3. Conclusions
4. The Failure to Refer: The Right to the Lawful Judge and State Liability
 - 4.1. The Failure to Refer and the Constitutional Right to the Lawful Judge
 - 4.2. State Liability and Acte Clair
 - 4.3. Excursus: Acte Clair and Constitutional Review in Austria

Appendix I: Supreme Administrative Court (*Verwaltungsgerichtshof*)

Appendix II: Regional Tax Court (*Unabhängiger Finanzsenat*)

The search for objective standards in the application of the acte clair doctrine to direct taxation: Some remarks from an Italian perspective

Pasquale Pistone

Introduction

1. Source and standards of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax law
 - 1.1. The CILFIT doctrine
 - 1.2. The application of the CILFIT doctrine to the field of direct taxation
 - 1.3. The conditions of the acte clair doctrine and the field of direct taxation
 - 1.3.1. The first condition – CILFIT 14
 - 1.3.2. The second condition – CILFIT 16
 - 1.4. The acte clair doctrine in Italian tax courts
2. What is the object of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax issues?
 - 2.1. The Tax Acte Clair and the Italian Constitutional Principles
 - 2.2. The Tax Acte Clair and the (Italian) Tax Rules
 - 2.2.1. The Definitions and the Rules Concerning the Taxpayer
 - 2.2.2. The Tax Object and the Tax Base
 - 2.2.3. The Relief Methods

The practical application of the acte éclairé and the acte clair doctrine (with references to Netherlands direct tax law)

Dennis Weber and Frauke Davits

1. Introduction
2. The preliminary reference
 - 2.1. Article 234 EC
 - 2.2. Acte éclairé and acte clair doctrine
 - 2.2.1. Introductory remarks; the Community acte clair doctrine
 - 2.2.2. Criteria for acte éclairé
 - 2.2.3. Criteria for acte clair
 - 2.2.4. The rationale behind the acte éclairé and acte clair case law
 - 2.2.5. Reference for a preliminary ruling versus decision by reasoned order
3. Critique of the CILFIT case law
 - 3.1. Should the CILFIT test be made more flexible or should it be got rid of altogether?
 - 3.2. Our view: CILFIT should not be made more flexible
4. Applicability of the acte éclairé and acte clair case law in practice
 - 4.1. Basic assumptions
 - 4.2. Proposal: obligation for the national court to provide grounds that there is acte éclairé or acte clair
 - 4.3. Applicability in practice
 - 4.3.1. The acte éclairé test
 - 4.3.2. The CILFIT test
5. When does the ECJ itself consider there to be acte éclairé and acte clair?
 - 5.1. Decision by reasoned order
 - 5.2. Decisions in which the Advocate General did not give an Opinion
 - 5.3. Sufficiently serious breach
6. Netherlands jurisprudence on direct tax cases
 - 6.1. Introduction

6.2. Seven decisions of the Supreme Court in which (sometimes wrongly) no preliminary reference was made

6.2.1. Supreme Court 27 August 1997 (No. 31 652), BNB 1998/2 (foreign withholding tax)

6.2.2. Supreme Court 27 August 1997 (No. 32 333), BNB 1998/50 (exit tax)

6.2.3. Supreme Court 20 December 2000 (No. 35 576), BNB 2001/192 (deduction of mortgage interest)

6.2.4. Supreme Court 14 November 2003 (No. 37 503); BNB 2004/161 (fraus legis and capital duty)

6.2.5. Supreme Court 23 January 2004 (No. 38 258), BNB 2004/142 (fraus legis)

6.2.6. Supreme Court 17 December 2004 (No. C03/114HR), BNB 2005/259 (compensation of legal costs)

6.2.7. Supreme Court 14 April 2006 (No. 41 815); BNB 2006/254 (Bosal Holding for third countries)

6.3. Two decisions of the Supreme Court in which there was acte éclairé or acte clair

6.3.1. Supreme Court 21 November 2003 (No. 36 934); BNB 2004/114 (Senior Engineering)

6.3.2. Supreme Court 22 December 2006 (No. 39 261); BNB 2007/113 (Van Hilten look-a-like)

6.4. Conclusion

7. Summary

Acte clair, acte éclairé and EC judicial protection in the field of direct taxation (with references to the Polish judicial system)

Adam Zalasinski

1. Sources and Standards of the Acte Clair Doctrine in Direct Tax Law

1.1. The origins of acte clair doctrine in the Community legal system

1.2. Further developments on the acte clair doctrine – proposals put forward by Advocate General Jacobs and the views of other Members of the Court

1.3. The standards and the role of acte clair doctrine in EC direct tax law

2. What is the Object of the Acte Clair Doctrine in Direct Taxes?

2. 1. The scope of the interpretative jurisdiction of the ECJ under Art. 234 EC Treaty

2. 2. The scope of acte clair in direct tax cases as regards the EC Treaty

2.3. The scope of acte clair in relation to the EC direct tax directives

2.4. Acte clair and preliminary rulings in "purely internal" situations

2.5. Acte clair and the interpretation of other acts than the EC Treaty and secondary legislation

3. When is There Acte Clair in Direct Taxes? What is Clear in the ECJ's Direct Tax Case Law?

3.1. The ECJ's direct tax case law and the clarity of EC law

3.2. Clarity of the EC Treaty interpretation in the earlier direct tax case law

3.3. Clarity of the EC Treaty interpretation in the recent direct tax case law

4. What Role do the "relevant objective elements apt to justify a restriction to a fundamental freedom or a discriminatory treatment", Resulting from a Direct Tax Regime, Play?

- 4.1. Types of justifications and their contribution to acte éclairé
- 4.2. Types of reasons invoked as justifications in direct tax cases
- 4.3. Successful justifications and acte éclairé

5. Consequences of the Acte Clair Doctrine for the National courts and Temporal Effects of an ECJ Decision

6. Damages and Liabilities

- 6.1. The principle of state liability for breaches of Community law
- 6.2. The principle of state liability set up in Köbler and acte clair/acte éclairé

7. The Interpretation of the Acte Clair Doctrine by the Tax Administrations and Courts in the EC Member States

- 7.1. Judicial review of tax authorities' decisions in Poland
- 7.2. Polish Administrative Courts and Article 234 EC
- 7.3. Possible application of acte clair and acte éclairé

The meaning and scope of the acte clair doctrine concerning direct taxation: the Portuguese experience and the establishment of boundaries

Francisco de Sousa da Câmara

1. Introduction

2. Sources and standards of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax law

- 2.1. Cooperation and division of powers between courts and the acte clair doctrine
- 2.2. Preliminary overview of the application of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax law
- 2.3. Portuguese approach
- 2.4. Absence of referrals where previous decisions have already dealt with the point of law in question
- 2.5. The role played by national courts in the interpretation of the CILFIT doctrine: are the CILFIT guidelines alive?

3. What is the object of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax issues?

- 3.1. Portuguese experience: the status quo
- 3.2. Domestic tax law principles and EC law: their interaction
 - 3.2.1. General overview
 - 3.2.2. Specific Portuguese law principles and their compatibility with Community law
- 3.3. Tax rules that might be the object of Art. 234 EC Treaty and the object of acte clair
 - 3.3.1. Rules defining and concerning the scope of the taxable item and taxpayer
 - 3.3.2. Rules concerning the methods to eliminate or reduce double taxation
 - 3.3.3. May EC direct tax law principles, simple legal arguments or facts be the object of acte clair?

4. When does acte clair in direct tax issues occur?
 - 4.1. From the acte éclairé forward
 - 4.2. Particular difficulties in applying the acte clair doctrine in direct tax issues

5. What role do the “relevant objective elements apt to justify a restriction to a fundamental freedom or a discriminatory treatment”, resulting from a direct tax regime, play?
 - 5.1. Justifications: the last shield of the state?
 - 5.2. The scrutiny of the relevant objective elements: tension between Community and national interests
 - 5.3. Should the acte clair doctrine be upheld by courts of last instance when justifications are invoked?

6. Final considerations

The acte clair doctrine and the effective judicial protection of EC law rights in direct tax matters: the Spanish case as an example

Francisco Alfredo García Prats

1. Sources and standards of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax law
 - 1.1. The legal basis for cooperation between national courts and the ECJ: Art. 234 EC Treaty
 - 1.2. Objectives of Art. 234(3) EC Treaty
 - 1.3. The role of the acte clair doctrine
 - 1.4. Formulation and effects of the acte clair doctrine
 - 1.4.1. Material identity
 - 1.4.2. Evidence of the case: the acte clair
 - 1.4.3 Evolution of the acte clair doctrine
 - 1.5. Verification of acte clair and acte éclairé by the ECJ: Art. 104(3) of the Rules of Procedure

2. The acte clair doctrine in direct tax law
 - 2.1. EC law point of view
 - 2.2. Domestic point of view: implementation mechanisms
 - 2.2.1. Domestic concerns: the role of the rule of law in the implementation process
 - 2.3. The possible – or impossible - application of the acte clair doctrine to direct tax matters
 - 2.4. Doing the acte clair doctrine exercise: some examples of possible applications in the direct tax arena

3. The consideration of ‘acte clair’ and the temporal effects of ECJ decisions
 - 3.1. The correlation between the Meilicke and Verkooijen cases
 - 3.2. Other reasons to reconsider the doctrine on temporal effects of the ECJ decisions and the relationship with acte clair

4. The application of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax cases by Spanish courts

5. Blocking the effectiveness of EC law in tax matters; the Spanish case law as an example

- 5.1. The position of the Constitutional Court.
- 5.2. The approach of tax administration to the declaration of a breach of EC law
- 5.3. Damages for breach of EC law by national courts: the position of the Supreme Court as regards the state liability doctrine
- 5.4. Responses a misuse of the acte clair doctrine by national courts

6. Conclusion: An alternative proposal

The acte clair doctrine arising from the ECJ's direct tax case law from a Swedish perspective: use or misuse?

Cécile Brokelind

- 1. About the acte clair doctrine and direct taxation
 - 1.1. Background and purpose
 - 1.2. Structure
 - 1.3. Delimitations
- 2. Sources for and standards of the acte clair doctrine
 - 2.1. The acte clair doctrine in the ECJ's perspective
 - 2.2. The acte clair doctrine applied to direct taxation
 - 2.2.1. The existence of a previous decision
 - 2.2.2. The matter is equally obvious to the courts of other Member States
 - 2.2 The acte clair doctrine in Advocates General Jacobs and Ruiz-Jarabo Colomer's Opinions
- 3. The scope of the acte clair doctrine in direct tax issues
 - 3.1. Framework of the substantive issues
 - 3.1.1. Inbound situations (source-state perspective)
 - 3.1.1.1. Individual taxation
 - 3.1.1.2. Permanent Establishments
 - 3.1.2. Outbound situations (the home state's perspective on foreign investments)
 - 3.2. Discussion of the clarity of selected issues
 - 3.2.1. Definitions of taxpayers and tax scope
 - 3.2.2. Portfolio investors' taxation and methods for elimination of double taxation.
 - 3.2.3. Double dips, abus de droit, justification grounds and group taxation
- 4. The implementation of ECJ'S clear decisions: Consequences for Member States and procedural issues
 - 4.1. Substantive difficulties
 - 4.2. Constitutional difficulties
 - 4.3. State liability
- 5. The Swedish understanding of the acte clair doctrine in direct taxation since 2006
 - 5.1. Foreign insurance policy and the freedom to provide services
 - 5.2. Group taxation and protection against tax erosion in the light of the free movement of capital
 - 5.3. Exit tax and tax allocation reserves in connection with the moving of a company from Sweden to Malta: freedom of establishment

- 5.4. Stock options, exit tax and the free movement of workers
- 5.5. Group contributions and the freedom of establishment – the Marks & Spencer decision

6. Conclusion

APPENDIXES

Appendix I - Guidelines for research

Appendix II – Overview of (aggregated) decided and pending direct tax cases referred to the ECJ by the European Commission (Art. 226 EC Treaty) and by national courts (Art. 234 EC Treaty) (14 March 2008)

Appendix III - Overview of (segregated) decided and pending direct tax cases referred to the ECJ by national courts (Art. 234 EC Treaty) (31 October 2006)

List of authors and editors