Retroactivity of Tax Legislation

Preface

About the authors

List of abbreviations

List of Tables

Part 1

General report
Hans Gribnau and Melvin Pauwels

1.1. Introduction

1.2. Terminology
   1.2.1. Introduction
   1.2.2. Retroactive vs. retrospective
   1.2.3. Retrospectivity
   1.2.4. ‘Comparison moment’
   1.2.5. ‘Tax period related concept’ or ‘taxable event-related concept’
   of retroactivity
   1.2.6. Interpretative statutes
   1.2.7. Validation statutes
   1.2.8. The relevance of the character of the statute concerned:
   procedural or substantive

1.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
   1.3.1. Limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
   1.3.2. Transition policy
   1.3.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

1.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
   1.4.1. ‘Legislation by press release’
   1.4.2. Kinds of situations
   1.4.3. Retroactive period further back than the date of
   announcement
   1.4.4. Pending legal proceedings
   1.4.4.1. Influence of retroactive tax statutes
   1.4.4.2. Pending legal proceedings excluded from
   application of retroactivity?
   1.4.5. Retroactivity favourable to taxpayers
1.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity; case law on retroactivity
   1.5.1. Introduction
   1.5.2. Possibilities and limitations to test retroactivity
   1.5.3. Standards applied when testing retroactivity
   1.5.4. Final observations

1.6. Views in the literature
   1.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
   1.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transitional law

Part 2

Special topics

2.1. Legal Certainty: A Matter of Principle
    Hans Gribnau
    2.1.1. Introduction
    2.1.2. The rule of law
    2.1.3. Formal conceptions of the rule of law
      2.1.3.1. The rules of rules
      2.1.3.2. Law is a knife
    2.1.4. Substantive conceptions of the rule of law
      2.1.4.1. Law as command versus tacit reciprocity
      2.1.4.2. Law and legal principles
    2.1.5. Legal certainty
    2.1.6. Aspect of legal certainty
      2.1.6.1. Introduction
      2.1.6.2. Generality
      2.1.6.3. Promulgation
      2.1.6.4. Non-retroactivity
      2.1.6.5. Clarity
      2.1.6.6. Non contradiction
      2.1.6.7. Compliability
      2.1.6.8. Constancy
    2.1.7. Taking legal certainty seriously
    2.1.8. Conclusions

2.2. Retroactive and retrospective tax legislation: a principle-based approach; a theory of ‘priority principles of transitional law’ and ‘the method of the catalogue of circumstances’
    Melvin Pauwels
    2.2.1. Introduction
    2.2.2. Overview
    2.2.3. Theoretical framework: a principle based approach
      2.2.3.1. Introduction
2.2.3.2. From Radbrucht to Dworkin and Alexy
2.2.3.3. The case of retroactivity and retrospectivity: a balancing act

2.2.4. Retroactivity and retrospectivity in view of legal certainty
2.2.4.1. Introduction
2.2.4.2. The concept of legal certainty: an aspects concept
2.2.4.3. Retroactivity in view of legal certainty
2.2.4.4. Immediate effect without grandfathering (retrospectivity) in view of legal certainty
2.2.4.5. The difference between retroactivity and retrospectivity: only gradual

2.2.5. Principles of transitional law: priority principles
2.2.5.1. Introduction: research question
2.2.5.2. Framework for transitional law: principle of legal certainty, the objective of the law, and principle of equality
2.2.5.3. The principles of transitional of law should be conceptualized as ‘priority principles’

2.2.6. Legitimate expectations? An approach based on the ‘method of the catalogue of circumstances’
2.2.6.1. Introduction: the problem and research question
2.2.6.2. An initial theoretical framework to approach the concept of ‘legitimate expectations’
2.2.6.3. Method of priority rules?
2.2.6.4. Method of the catalogue of circumstances
2.2.6.5. The interaction between the method of the catalogue of circumstances and the priority principles of transition

2.2.7. The catalogue of circumstances for transitional law making
2.2.7.1. The contents of the catalogue of circumstances
2.2.7.2. Two circumstances discussed

2.2.8. Conclusion

2.3. Retroactive interpretative statutes and validation statutes in tax law: an assessment in the light of legal certainty, separation of powers and the right to a fair trial
Bruno Peeters and Patricia Popelier

2.3.1. Introduction
2.3.2. Definition of interpretative statutes and validation statutes
2.3.2.1. Interpretative statutes
2.3.2.2. Validation statutes
2.3.3. Interpretative and validation statutes: what they have in common
2.3.3.1. In general
2.3.3.2. Characteristics
2.3.3.3. Constitutional questions
2.3.4. Evaluation
   2.3.4.1. In general
   2.3.4.2. Interpretative statutes
   2.3.4.3. Validation statutes

2.3.5. Conclusion

2.4. Legislation ‘by’ press release: The role of announcements in the debate about retroactive tax legislation
Johanna Hey

2.4.1. What is meant by the term ‘legislation by press release’?
2.4.2. Interdependency between the distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity and the announcement
2.4.3. What is meant by the term ‘press release’?
2.4.4. Role of publication
2.4.5. The need for justification of retroactive enforcement until announcement
2.4.6. The weighting process
   2.4.6.1. Quality of the announcement
       a. Categorization by originator and content
       b. Relevance of possible adjustments of behaviour to the changed legal circumstances
       c. Announcements in connection with a change in the case law
   2.4.6.2. Reasons for the retroactivity

2.5. The Law and Economics Approaches to Retroactive Tax Legislation
Charlotte Crane

2.5.1. Introduction
2.5.2. The cost of inducing desired behaviour in the presence of the risk of change
2.5.3. Kaplow’s generalized expansion on Graetz
2.5.4. The equation of market risk with risk of legislative change
2.5.5. The ‘heroic’ assumption of desirable legislative change
2.5.6. The incentives of the legislature and the possibility of opportunistic behaviour
2.5.7. One size fits all?
2.5.8. Conclusion
2.5.9. Postscript: Optimal Tax Theory: The other law and economics-derived argument for retroactive taxes
2.5.10. Annex - Bibliography
2.6. **It's the outcomes, not the rules – transition issues in the process of tax reform**  
*Henk Vording, Koos Boer and Allard Lubbers*

2.6.1. **Introduction**

2.6.2. **A shift from a pure income tax to a pure consumption tax**

2.6.2.1. The models of income tax and consumption tax

2.6.2.2. The transition issue: Double taxation of existing wealth

2.6.2.3. **Summary**

2.6.3. **The real world issue: hybrid taxes, hybrid tax reforms**

2.6.3.1. **In general**

2.6.3.2. The hybrid income/consumption tax as the relevant starting point

2.6.3.3. Successful tax reform requires political tradeoffs

2.6.4. **The political conceptualization of transition issues**

2.6.4.1. Reasonable outcomes as a norm?

2.6.4.2. The political process of defining transition issues

2.6.4.3. Reasonable outcomes a restriction on political decision making?

2.6.5. **Conclusion**

---

**Part 3**

**Nationals reports**

3.1. **Questionnaire** *Hans Gribnau and Melvin Pauwels*

3.1.1. Preliminary general remarks

3.1.2. On terminology

3.1.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity

3.1.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice

3.1.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)

3.1.6. Retroactivity of case law

3.1.7. Views in literature

3.1.7.1. In general

3.1.7.2. The law and economics view

3.2. **Austria** *Tina Ehrke-Rab*

3.2.1. On terminology

3.2.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity

3.2.1.2. Relevance of tax period

3.2.1.3. Interpretative statutes

3.2.1.4. Validation statutes

3.2.1.5. Comparison moment

3.2.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.2.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

3.2.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.2.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.2.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.2.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.2.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.2.3.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.2.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.2.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.2.3.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.2.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.2.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.2.4.2. Examination method
3.2.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.2.4.4. Two cases in which the Austria Constitutional Court considered a retroactive legal statute to infringe the principle of equality

3.2.5. Retroactivity of case law

3.2.6. Views in the literature
3.2.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.2.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transitional law

3.3. Belgium Bruno Peeters and Ethel Puncher
3.3.1. Terminology
3.3.1.1. Distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’
3.3.1.2. Relevance of tax period
3.3.1.3. Interpretative statutes
3.3.1.4. Validation statutes
3.3.1.5. Comparison moment
3.3.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.3.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
   a. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
   b. Rules considered to be procedural rules

3.3.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.3.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.3.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.3.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body
   a. Advisory bodies such as the Council of the State
b. Rules to review retroactivity
   c. Rules to review favourable retroactivity

3.3.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
   3.3.3.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
   3.3.3.2. Pending legal proceedings
   3.3.3.3. Favourable retroactivity

3.3.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
   3.3.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
   3.3.4.2. Examination method
   3.3.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
   3.3.4.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty

3.3.5. Retroactivity of case of law
   3.3.5.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course

3.3.6. Views in the literature
   3.3.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
   3.3.6.2. Debate on legal and economic view of transitional law

3.4. Canada Geoffre Loomer
   3.4.1. Introduction
   3.4.2. Terminology
      3.4.2.1. Distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
      3.4.2.2. Conceptual variations
         a. In general
         b. Clear distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
         c. Relevance of tax period
      3.4.2.3. Interpretative statutes
         a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes’ explicitly known?
      3.4.2.4. Validation statutes
         a. Phenomenon of ‘validation statutes’ known?
      3.4.2.5. Comparison moment
      3.4.2.6. Concept of retrospectivity
         a. Definition of retrospectivity
         b. Examples of retrospectivity
      3.4.2.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
         a. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
         b. Rules considered procedural rules
   3.4.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
      3.4.3.1. In general
3.4.3.2. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.4.3.3. Transitional policy of government
3.4.3.4. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.4.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.4.4.1. In general
3.4.4.2. Legislating by press release
   a. In general
   b. Use of ‘legislating by press release’
   c. Kind of situations
3.4.4.3. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.4.4.4. Pending legal proceedings
   a. Influence of retroactive tax statutes
   b. Pending legal proceedings excluded from application of retroactivity?
3.4.4.5. Favourable retroactivity

3.4.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.4.5.1. In general
3.4.5.2. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.4.5.3. Examination method
3.4.5.4. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.4.5.5. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty
3.4.5.6. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.4.5.7. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.4.6. Retroactivity of case of law
3.4.6.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course

3.4.7. Views in the literature
3.4.7.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.4.7.2. Debate on law and economics view on transition law

3.5. Denmark Aage Michelsen and Jacob Graff Nielsen
3.5.1. Terminology
3.5.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.5.1.2. Conceptual variations
   a. In general
   b. Clear distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
   c. Relevance of tax period
3.5.1.3. Interpretative statutes
   a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes’ explicitly known?
3.5.1.4. Validation statutes
   a. Phenomenon of ‘validation statutes’ known?
3.5.1.5. Comparison moment
3.5.1.6. **Concept of retrospectivity**
   a. Definition of retrospectivity
   b. Examples of retrospectivity

3.5.1.7. **Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes**
   a. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
   b. Rules considered procedural rules

3.5.2. **Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity**
3.5.2.1. **Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes**
3.5.2.2. **Is there a tax transitional policy of government**
   a. Is there a tax transitional policy of government?
   b. Transition policy laid down in a document or an Act
   c. Transition policy with respect to retroactivity and grandfathering
   d. Transition policy and favourable retroactive effect

3.5.2.3. **Ex ante control by independent body**

3.5.3. **Use of retroactivity in legislative practice**
3.5.3.1. **Legislating by press release**
   a. Use of ‘legislating by press release’
   b. Types of situations

3.5.3.2. **Retroactive effect further back than first announcement**
   a. In general
   b. Influence of retroactive tax statutes
   c. Pending legal proceedings excluded from application retroactivity?

3.5.3.3. **Favourable retroactivity**

3.5.4. **Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)**
3.5.4.1. **Testing against the Constitution and legal principles**
3.5.4.2. **Examination method**
3.5.4.3. **Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR**
3.5.4.4. **Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty**
3.5.4.5. **Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity**
3.5.4.6. **Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity**

3.5.5. **Retroactivity of case of law**
3.5.5.1. **In general**
3.5.5.2. **Temporal effect of judicial change of course**

3.5.6. **Views in the literature**
3.5.6.1. **Opinions regarding retroactivity**
3.5.6.2. **Debate on law and economics view on transition law**

3.6. **Finland** Jukka Mähönen
3.6.1. Terminology
3.6.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.6.1.2. Relevance of tax period
3.6.1.3. Interpretative statutes
3.6.1.4. Validation statutes
3.6.1.5. Comparison moment
3.6.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.6.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
3.6.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.6.2.1. Constitutional limitations to the retroactivity of tax statutes
3.6.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.6.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body
3.6.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.6.3.1. Legislating by press release
3.6.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.6.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.6.3.4. Favourable retroactivity
3.6.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.6.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.6.4.2. Examination method
3.6.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.6.4.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty
3.6.4.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.6.4.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity
3.6.5. Retroactivity of case of law
3.6.6. Views in the literature
3.6.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.6.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transition law

3.7. France Emmanuel de Crouy Chanel
3.7.1. Terminology
3.7.1.1. Distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’
3.7.1.2. Relevance of tax period
3.7.1.3. Interpretative statutes
3.7.1.4. Validation statutes
3.7.1.5. Comparison moment
3.7.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.7.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
3.7.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.7.2.1. In general
3.7.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.7.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.7.3.1. Legislating by press release
3.7.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.7.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.7.3.4. Favourable retroactivity
3.7.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.7.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.7.4.2. Examination method
3.7.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.7.4.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty
3.7.4.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.7.5. Retroactivity of case of law
3.7.5.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course
3.7.6. Views in the literature
3.7.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.7.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transition law

3.8. **Germany** Johanna Hey
3.8.1. Introduction
3.8.2. Terminology in Germany
3.8.2.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.8.2.2. The relevance of the tax period for the distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.8.2.3. Interpretative statutes: legislative purpose of clarification
3.8.2.4. ‘Validation statutes’ (‘Nichtanwendungsgesetze’)
3.8.2.5. Relation between the date of publication and the date of commencement
3.8.2.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.8.2.7. No categorical distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
3.8.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.8.3.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax laws
3.8.3.2. Transition policy of the legislator
3.8.3.3. No ex ante control by an independent body
3.8.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.8.4.1. The role of adoption of the bill in parliament
3.8.4.2. Retroactive application from first announcement
3.8.4.3. Retroactivity and pending cases
3.8.4.4. Retroactivity in favour of the taxpayer
3.8.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity
3.8.5.1. Control by the Constitutional Court
3.8.5.2. Standards applied to retroactive/retrospective tax statutes by courts
3.8.5.3. Test of retroactivity against Article 1 of the First Protocol of to the European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR)

3.8.5.4. Retroactivity of Acts of Parliament and subordinate legislation

3.8.5.5. Avoiding unconstitutional retroactivity by interpretation

3.8.5.6. Self-discipline of the legislator

3.8.6. Retroactivity of case of law

3.8.6.1. Transition practice of the Supreme Tax Court in cases of a change of the existing case law

3.8.7. Views in the literature

3.8.7.1. Main views in the literature

3.8.7.2. Influence of the law and economics view

3.8.8. Annex I

3.9. Greece Eleni Theocharopoulou, Konstantinos Remelis and Panagiotis Melissinos

3.9.1. Introduction

3.9.2. Terminology

3.9.2.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity

a. Conceptual variations
b. Clear distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’

3.9.2.2. Relevance of tax period

3.9.2.3. Interpretative statutes

a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes’ explicitly known?

3.9.2.4. Validation statutes

a. Phenomenon of ‘validation statutes’ known?

3.9.2.5. Comparison moment

3.9.2.6. Concept of retrospectivity

a. Definition of retrospectivity
b. Examples of retrospectivity

3.9.2.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

a. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
b. Rules considered to be procedural rules

3.9.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity

3.9.3.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes

3.9.3.2. Transitional policy of the legislator

3.9.3.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

a. Advisory body such as the Council of the State
b. Rules to review retroactivity, grandfathering or favourable retroactivity

3.9.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.9.4.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.9.4.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.9.4.3. Pending legal proceedings
   a. Influence of retroactive tax statutes
   b. Pending legal proceedings excluded from application retroactivity?
3.9.4.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.9.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.9.5.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.9.5.2. Examination method
3.9.5.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol of the ECHR
3.9.5.4. Examination method for testing against principle of legal certainty
3.9.5.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.9.5.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.9.6. Retroactivity of case of law

3.9.7. Views in the literature
3.9.7.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.9.7.2. Debate on law and economics view on transition law

3.10. Hungary Daniel Deak
3.10.1. Introduction
3.10.2. On terminology
3.10.2.1. In general
3.10.2.2. Legal discourse
3.10.2.3. Statutes applying to a previous year (actual retroactivity) and statutes applying as from the beginning of the current year (de facto retroactivity)
3.10.2.4. Interpretative statutes
3.10.2.5. Validation statutes
3.10.2.6. Effective date preceding the date of entry into force
3.10.2.7. Retrospective legislation (material retroactivity)
3.10.2.8. Statutes having an immediate effect in the areas of substantive and procedural tax law

3.10.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.10.3.1. Legal basis for retroactivity
3.10.3.2. Transition policy

3.10.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.10.4.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.10.4.2. Pending substantive tax law cases excluded from retroactive legislation
3.10.4.3. Grant of retroactive effect to tax statutes that are favourable for taxpayers

3.10.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity
3.10.5.1. Testing by courts of the retroactivity of a tax statute for compatibility with the Constitution
3.10.5.2. Testing by courts of the retroactivity of a tax statute against Article 1 of the First Protocol of the ECHR

3.10.6. Retroactivity of case of law
3.10.6.1. Abandonment by the Supreme Court of the existing case law and formulation by the Court of a new general rule

3.10.7. Views in the literature
3.10.7.1. Background study on the Hungarian Constitutional Court’s practice on retrospective and retroactive legislation with particular regard to tax cases
   a. A history of the restrictive approach to retroactive legislation
3.10.7.2. Retrospective and retroactive legislation
3.10.7.3. Failure to explore the lack of retrospective legislation due to the failure to discover the lack of real change in the law
3.10.7.4. Repeal of existing laws with retroactive effect
3.10.7.5. Relevance of the practice of the ECtHR and the ECJ on retroactive legislation to Hungarian Law

3.11. Italy Fabrizio Amatucci

3.11.1. Terminology
3.11.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
   a. In general
   b. Conceptual distinction between a statute that applies to a previous year (actual retroactivity) and a statute that applies as from the beginning of de current year (de facto retroactivity)
3.11.1.2. Relevance of tax period
   a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes explicitly known’?
   b. Legal basis for interpretative statutes and special term for this kind of retroactivity
3.11.1.3. Standards used for characterization as ‘interpretation statute’
3.11.1.4. Validation statutes
   a. Phenomenon of interpretative statutes explicitly known?
   b. Standards used for characterization as ‘validation statute’
c. Difference between a ‘validation statute’ and ‘interpretative statute’

3.11.1.5. **Moment of entry into force**

3.11.1.6. **Concept of retrospectivity**
   a. In general
   b. Examples of situations that would be regarded as retrospective and not retrospective

3.11.1.7. **Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes: the impact of immediate effect**
   a. In general
   b. Rules considered procedural rules

3.11.2. **Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity**
   3.11.2.1. **Constitutional limitations to tax retroactivity**
   3.11.2.2. **Tax Transitional policy of government**
   3.11.2.3. **Ex ante control by an independent body**
      a. Advisory body such as Council of State

3.11.3. **Use of retroactivity in legislative practice**
   3.11.3.1. **Use of legislating by press release**
   3.11.3.2. **Pending legal proceedings excluded from the application of retroactive statute**
   3.11.3.2. **Favourable retroactivity**

3.11.4. **Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)**
   3.11.4.1. **Testing against the Constitution and legal principles**
   3.11.4.2. **Examination method when courts rule retroactivity incompatible**
   3.11.4.3. **Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR**
   3.11.4.4. **Examination method for testing retroactivity of subordinate legislation against legal certainty**
   3.11.4.5. **Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity**
   3.11.4.6. **Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity**

3.11.5. **Retroactivity of case of law**
   3.11.5.1. **Temporal effect of judicial changes by courts**

3.11.6. **Views in the literature**
   3.11.6.1. **Opinions regarding retroactivity**
   3.11.6.2. **Debate on law and economics view on transition tax law**

3.12. **Luxemburg Alain Steichen**

3.12.1. **Terminology**
   3.12.1.1. **Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity**
      a. Conceptual variations
      b. Clear distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
   3.12.1.2. **Relevance of tax period**
   3.12.1.3. **Interpretative statutes**
3.12.1.4. Validation statutes
3.12.1.5. Comparison moment
3.12.1.6. Concept of retrospection
3.12.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

3.12.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.12.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.12.2.2. Transitional policy of government
   a. Is there a tax transitional policy of government?
   b. Transition policy and favourable retroactive effect
3.12.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body
   a. Advisory body such as Council of State
   b. Rules to review retroactivity

3.12.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.12.3.1. Legislating by press release
   a. In general
   b. Use of ‘legislating by press release’
3.12.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.12.3.3. Pending legal proceedings - Influence of retroactive tax statutes

3.12.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.12.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.12.4.2. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.12.4.3. Examination method for testing against principle of legal certainty

3.12.5. Retroactivity of case of law
3.12.6. Views in the literature
   3.12.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
   3.12.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transition law

3.13. Netherlands  Hans Gribnau and Melvin Pauwels
3.13.1. Terminology
   3.13.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospection
   3.13.1.2. Relevance of tax period
   3.13.1.3. Interpretative statutes
   3.13.1.4. Validation statutes
   3.13.1.5. Comparison moment
   3.13.1.6. Concept of retrospection
3.13.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural Statutes

3.13.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.13.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.13.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.13.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.13.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.13.3.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.13.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.13.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.13.3.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.13.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.13.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.13.4.2. Examination method
3.13.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.13.4.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty
3.13.4.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.13.4.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.13.5. Retroactivity of case of law

3.13.6. Views in the literature
3.13.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.13.6.2. Debate on legal and economics view on transitional law

3.14. Poland Piotr Karwa

3.14.1. Terminology
3.14.1.1. Distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
   a. Conceptual variations
   b. Clear distinction between ‘retroactivity’ and ‘retrospectivity’?
3.14.1.2. Relevance of tax period
3.14.1.3. Interpretative statutes
   a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes’ explicitly known?
3.14.1.4. Validation statutes
   a. Phenomenon of ‘validation statutes’ known?
3.14.1.5. Comparison moment
3.14.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
   a. Definition of retrospectivity
   b. Examples of retrospectivity
3.14.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
3.14.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
  3.14.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
  3.14.2.2. Transitional policy of government
  3.14.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.14.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
  3.14.3.1. Legislating by press release
  3.14.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
  3.14.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
  3.14.3.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.14.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)

3.14.5. Retroactivity of case of law
  3.14.5.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course

3.15. Portugal Glória Teixeira
  3.15.1. Terminology
    3.15.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
    a. Conceptual variations
    b. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
  3.15.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
  3.15.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
  3.15.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
  3.15.5. Retroactivity of case of law
  3.15.6. Views in the literature

3.16. Spain Pedro Herrera and Ana B. Macho
  3.16.1. Terminology
    3.16.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
    3.16.1.2. Relevance of tax period
    3.16.1.3. Interpretative statutes
    3.16.1.4. Validation statutes
    3.16.1.5. Comparison moment
    3.16.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
    3.16.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
      a. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
      b. Rules considered to be procedural rules
  3.16.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
    3.16.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
    3.16.2.2. Transitional policy of government
    3.16.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body
  3.16.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.16.3.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.16.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.16.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.16.3.4. Favourable retroactivity
3.16.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.16.5. Retroactivity of case of law
3.16.5.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course
3.16.6. Views in the literature
3.16.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.16.6.2. Debate on legal and economics view on transitional law

3.17. Sweden  Katarina Fast, Peter Melz and Anders Hultqvist
3.17.1. General Introduction
3.17.2. Terminology
3.17.2.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
   a. In general
   b. Conceptual variations
   c. Clear distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.17.2.2. Relevance of tax period
3.17.2.3. Interpretative statutes
3.17.2.4. Validation statutes
3.17.2.5. Comparison moment
3.17.2.6. Concept of retrospectivity
   a. Definition of retrospectivity
   b. Examples of retrospectivity
3.17.2.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes
   a. In general
   b. With respect to the impact of a statute having immediate effect
   c. Rules considered procedural rules
3.17.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.17.3.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.17.3.2. Transitional policy of government
   a. Is there a transitional policy of government?
   b. Transition policy laid down in a document or in a act
   c. Transitional policy with respect to retroactivity and grandfathering
   d. Transitional policy and favourable retroactive effect
3.17.3.3. Ex ante control by an independent body
a. Advisory body such as the Council of the State
b. Rules to review retroactivity
c. Rules to review grandfathering
d. Rules to review favourable retroactivity

3.17.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.17.4.1. Legislating by press release?
3.17.4.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.17.4.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.17.4.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.17.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.17.5.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.17.5.2. Examination method
3.17.5.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol of the ECHR
3.17.5.4. Examination method for testing against principle of legal certainty
3.17.5.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.17.5.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.17.6. Retroactivity of case of law
3.17.6.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course

3.17.7. Views in the literature
3.17.7.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.17.7.2. Debate on law and economics view on transitional law

3.18. Turkey Billur Yalti

3.18.1. Terminology
3.18.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
3.18.1.2. Relevance of tax period
3.18.1.3. Interpretative statutes
3.18.1.4. Validation statutes
3.18.1.5. Comparison moment
3.18.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
3.18.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

3.18.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.18.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
3.18.2.2. Transitional policy of government
3.18.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.18.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.18.3.1. ‘Legislating by press release’
3.18.3.2. Legislative practice
3.18.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.18.3.4. Favourable retroactivity
a. Favourable retroactivity in respect of taxes
b. Favourable retroactivity in respect of criminal sanctions and penalties

3.18.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
  3.18.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
  3.18.4.2. Examination method
  3.18.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
  3.18.4.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty

3.18.5. Retroactivity of case of law
3.18.6. Views in the literature
3.18.7. Annex - Bibliography

3.19. United Kingdom  
David Williams  
3.19.1. Terminology
  3.19.1.1. Distinction between retroactivity and retrospectivity
  3.19.1.2. Relevance of tax period
  3.19.1.3. Interpretative statutes
     a. Phenomenon of ‘interpretative statutes’ explicitly known?
     b. Legal basis for ‘interpretative statutes’
  3.19.1.4. Validation statutes
  3.19.1.5. Comparison moment
  3.19.1.6. Concept of retrospectivity
  3.19.1.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

3.19.2. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
  3.19.2.1. Constitutional limitations to retroactivity of tax statutes
  3.19.2.2. Transition policy of government
  3.19.2.3. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.19.3. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
  3.19.3.1. Legislating by press release
  3.19.3.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
  3.19.3.3. Pending legal proceedings
  3.19.3.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.19.4. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
  3.19.4.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
  3.19.4.2. Examination method
  3.19.4.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
  3.19.4.4. Examination method for testing against principle of legal certainty
  3.19.4.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
  3.19.4.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.19.5. Retroactivity of case law
3.19.5.1. Temporal effect of judicial change of course

3.19.6. Views in the literature
3.19.6.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.19.6.2. Debate on law and economics view on transitional law

3.20. United States of America Charlotte Crane
3.20.1. Introduction
3.20.2. Terminology
3.20.2.1. Retroactivity and retrospection
3.20.2.2. Relevance of the tax period
3.20.2.3. Interpretative statutes
3.20.2.4. Validation statutes
3.20.2.5. Comparison moment
3.20.2.6. Concept of retrospection
3.20.2.7. Distinction between substantive and procedural statutes

3.20.3. Ex ante evaluation of retroactivity
3.20.3.1. Constitutional limitations
3.20.3.2. Transition policy of government
3.20.3.3. Transition policy and favourable retroactivity treatment
3.20.3.4. Ex ante control by an independent body

3.20.4. Use of retroactivity in legislative practice
3.20.4.1. Legislating by press release
3.20.4.2. Retroactive effect further back than first announcement
3.20.4.3. Pending legal proceedings
3.20.4.4. Favourable retroactivity

3.20.5. Ex post evaluation of retroactivity (in case law)
3.20.5.1. Testing against the Constitution and legal principles
3.20.5.2. Examination method. Restraints on retroactivity based on the federal Constitution
   a. In general
   b. Federal constitutional limitations on Congressional acts: simple retroactivity
   c. Federal constitutional limitations on Congressional action: invalidation and cures
   d. Federal constitutional limitations on Congressional action: alteration of tax consequences in breach of contract
   e. Federal constitutional limitations on state legislative actions: simple retroactivity
   f. Federal constitutional limitations on state legislative actions: ineffective cures
g. Federal constitutional limitations on state legislative actions: alteration of tax consequences in breach of contract

3.20.5.3. Testing against Article 1 of the First Protocol ECHR
3.20.5.4. Examination method for testing against the principle of legal certainty
3.20.5.5. Interpretations by courts to avoid retroactivity
3.20.5.6. Reasons for lack of judicial limits to retroactivity

3.20.6. Retroactivity of case of law
3.20.7. Views in the literature

3.20.7.1. Opinions regarding retroactivity
3.20.7.2. Debate on law and economics view