

Towards a Homogeneous EC Direct Tax Law

Assessment of the Member States' responses to ECJ's case law

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword

Introduction

Cécile Brokelind

1. The scope of the book
2. Purpose
3. Method
4. Limitations
5. Structure
6. About the reporters
7. a. Questions for the reporters
b. Questions more specific to tax law
8. a. General overview
b. Too few or too many references for preliminary rulings to the ECJ?
c. Direct, indirect and improper influence
d. Does geography matter?

Appendix 1: Number of ECJ cases in the field of direct taxation on 31 October 2006

Appendix 2: ECJ cases in the field of direct taxation relating to secondary legislation (directives) on 31 October 2006

PART ONE: GENERAL REFLECTIONS ON THE ROLE OF ECJ RULINGS AND INFRINGEMENT PROCEDURES IN EC LAW

The Effects of ECJ Rulings on Member States' Direct Tax Law, Introductory speech

Leif Mutén

1. The historical perspective
2. The role of the ECJ
3. The immediate legal consequences of ECJ rulings
4. The legislative consequences
5. Exit rules
6. Exemption for non-residents
7. CFC rules
8. General anti-avoidance rule (GAAR)

The Role of Infringements

Maria Elena Scoppio

1. Introduction
2. The enforcement actions against Member States in Art. 226 of the EC Treaty – the procedure
3. The financial penalties for Member States who fail to comply with ECJ judgments – Art. 228 of the EC Treaty
 - a. The procedure
 - b. Current examples of failures to comply with ECJ judgments by Member States in the field of taxation
4. Some statistics
5. Communicating infringements
6. Standard form for complaints to be submitted to the European Commission for failure by a Member State to comply with Community Law

PART TWO: A DYNAMIC APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

Austria

Georg W. Kofler

1. Tax Sovereignty, EC law and the Austrian constitutional framework
2. Procedural issues of implementing ECJ judgments

3. Substantive influence of the ECJ's case law on Austrian direct tax law
 - a. Inbound situations
 - b. Outbound situations
4. Utilization of foreign losses
5. The Austrian participation exemption: Sec. 10(1) vs. Sec. 10(2) KStG
6. Summary

The Netherlands

Otto Marres

1. Introduction
2. Referral policy
3. Potential incompatibilities with EC law
 - a. General
 - b. Person income tax
 - c. Corporate income tax
 - d. Dividend tax (and credit of foreign withholding tax)
4. Legislative changes per 2007

6-LUND-NETHERLANDS_REPORT-edited_MN-finalized_MN.doc

PART THREE: THE ATTITUDE OF TWO ORIGINAL MEMBER STATES TOWARDS THE ECJ'S RULINGS

Germany

Axel Cordewener

1. Introduction
2. EC law and the German legal system: the constitutional framework
 - a. The general constitutional perspective
 - b. Identifying the (limited) areas of "opposition" by German courts
 - c. The constitutional obligation to respect and apply EC law
3. The ECJ's decisions and their influence on the German tax judiciary
 - a. The structure of the German (tax) judiciary
 - b. The increasing importance of EC law
 - c. The treatment of EC law by the different instances today
4. Reactions on the part of the German legislature and tax administrations: some recent examples
 - a. The German legislature
 - b. The German tax administration
5. Conclusions

France

Cécile Brokelind

1. Introduction
2. Constitutional framework
3. Influence of ECJ case law on direct taxation
 - a. General
 - b. The legislator's responses to ECJ case law
 - c. The domestic judges' attitude
4. Conclusion

PART FOUR: THE SCANDINAVIAN APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

Finland

Kristiina Aima

1. General remarks
 - a. Constitutional framework
 - b. Procedural aspects
2. A "leave to appeal" for review of appeal cases to supreme courts?
 - a. General

- b. Appeals in tax cases
 - c. Advance rulings
- 3. Preliminary rulings in the Finnish court system
- 4. The notion of “acte clair” and the *CILFIT* doctrine
- 5. The attitude of the state authorities toward EC tax law
 - a. Judicial authorities
 - b. Legislator
 - c. Tax administration
 - d. State liability in tax cases
 - e. Record of the courts
 - f. Practice with regard to indirect taxes
 - g. Practice with regard to direct taxes
 - h. The impact of “neighbouring” tax law on the legislator
 - i. Dividend taxation
 - j. Interaction between IFRS and taxation
 - k. Group taxation
 - l. Group relief or group contribution?
 - m. Transfer pricing
 - n. Do tax judges take the ECJ’s case law into account before the legislator does?
 - o. The level of knowledge in EC tax law
 - p. The tax treatment of non-resident taxpayers
- 6. Further areas of potential conflict
 - a. In general
 - b. Capital duty
 - c. Luxembourg SICAVs
 - d. New securities
 - e. Valuation of assets
- 7. Primary community law
 - a. In general
 - b. Expatriate expenses
 - c. Household deduction
 - d. Exemption on capital gains tax
 - e. Exit taxes
 - f. Transfer of habitual abode
 - g. Capital gains tax
 - h. Transfer of real seat
 - i. CFC rules
 - j. Dividend taxation
 - k. Exempt dividend income
 - l. Valuation of foreign shares
 - m. Method of elimination of double taxation
 - n. Reverse discrimination
- 8. Conclusion
 - a. General court practice
 - b. Preliminary rulings
 - c. The other state authorities’ approach
 - d. Future scenarios on the enforcement of EC tax law

Sweden

Cécile Brokelind and Marc Kanter

- 1. Introduction
 - a. Purpose
 - b. Method
 - c. Eleven years of EU membership
 - d. The Swedish courts involved in tax matters
- 2. General comments on the effects of EC law on Swedish tax law
 - a. Constitutional framework for recognition of the supremacy of EC law over Swedish law
 - b. Procedural changes in order to implement Art. 234 EC
 - c. The duty for courts to apply EC law of their own motion

- d. Reference to notable foreign case law in copy-cat cases
 - e. The leave to appeal to the Supreme Administrative Court and the effective application of EC law
 - f. Too few cases referred to the ECJ?
 - g. A peculiar way to understand ECJ case law: some examples
 - h. The acte clair doctrine in Swedish tax case law
3. Domestic tax case law
 - a. Income tax cases dealt with by Swedish courts
 - b. Some examples of state liability in tax cases
 - c. Teaching tax judges
 - d. Challenging internal source taxation rules
 4. Conclusion

Norway

Andreas Bullen

1. Legal background
 - a. The European Economic Area and its fundamental freedoms
 - b. Competent courts and administrative bodies in tax matters
2. General questions
 - a. Changes in the national constitution to recognize supremacy of EEA law over domestic law
 - b. Changes in domestic procedural rules in order to adapt to Art. 234 EC (SCA Art. 34)
 - c. Duty for domestic judges to apply EEA law on their own motion
 - d. Domestic judges' reference to and use of arguments from foreign case law
 - e. Leave-of-appeal requirements for appeals to the Supreme Court
 - f. Whether the EEA authorities have criticized Norway for Norwegian courts referring to few cases to EFTA Court
 - g. Whether Norwegian judges have exhibited a "peculiar" approach to the reading of ECJ/EFTA Court case law
 - h. "Acte clair" and the CILFIT doctrine
3. Questions more specific to tax law
 - a. Norwegian tax judges' attitude towards EEA law in general
 - b. State liability in tax cases
 - c. Comparison of Norwegian judges' attitude towards EEA law in direct tax and indirect tax cases
 - d. The Norwegian tax legislator's attitude towards the case law of the ECJ and the EFTA Court
 - e. Whether Norwegian tax judges consider pending cases before the ECJ/EFTA Court
 - f. Level of knowledge about EC/EEA tax law among domestic judges and tax authorities
 - g. Amendments of domestic and bilateral tax provisions initiated by the case law of the ECJ/EFTA Court; reflections on trends in the case law of the Courts

Denmark

Søren Friis Hansen and Jeppe Rune Stokholm

1. Introduction
 - a. The Vestergaard Case as a breakthrough
 - b. The Danish debate on the effect of community law
 - c. Indirect taxes
2. Overview of Danish cases
 - a. Preliminary rulings from Denmark
 - b. Pending Danish cases
3. General questions
4. The practical use of community law in Danish tax law
 - a. The Danish court system and community law
 - b. The Danish government and ECJ case law on direct taxation
 - c. State liability and procedural remedies
5. Final remarks

PART FIVE: THE MEDITERRANEAN APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

Italy

Pasquale Pistone

1. Introduction
2. Categorizing the type of influence ECJ decisions have on direct taxes in the European Union
 - a. Direct influence
 - b. Indirect influence
 - c. Improper influence
3. The influence of ECJ decisions on Italian tax law
 - a. The effects of ECJ rulings on Italian tax courts
 - b. The missing influence of the rulings of the ECJ on Italian direct taxes

Portugal

Ana Paula Dourado

1. Introduction
 - a. The principle of primacy of EC law
 - b. Cooperation between national courts and the ECJ
2. The influence of the ECJ decisions on Portuguese tax law
 - a. The eighties and nineties – a general attitude of indifference
 - b. The new century – a diligent domestic legislator
 - c. The Denkavit decision and the various relevant conditions for qualifying as a subsidiary or as a parent company
 - d. Taxation of pension funds
 - e. Double economic taxation of dividends paid to individuals
 - f. Thin capitalization
 - g. Exit taxes
 - h. Withholding taxes
3. Conclusions

Greece

Georgios Matsos

1. EC and Greek law
 - a. Introduction
 - b. The general approach of Greek courts to EC law
 - c. Two controversial examples of Greek jurisprudence on EC law
2. Tax law and EC law in Greece
 - a. Particularities of Greek tax law
 - b. The Greek approach to EC tax law
 - c. Implementation of ECJ decisions in Greek tax law
 - d. State liability in EC tax matters
3. The Greek jurisprudence on EC tax law matters
 - a. Jurisdiction on tax matters
 - b. Frequency and quality of referrals to the ECJ
 - c. Examples from Greek case law
4. Conclusion

PART SIX: NEW MEMBER STATES' APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS: THE EXAMPLE OF POLAND

Poland

Adam Zalasiński

1. Introduction
2. Polish constitutional framework concerning the EU law's effectiveness in the Polish legal order
3. The Polish system of remedies applicable in the case of taxation in violation of EC tax law
 - a. Legal framework
 - b. Attitude of the Polish judiciary
4. Fields of possible conflicts between the Internal Market requirements (as interpreted by the ECJ) and Polish direct tax law

5. Attitude of the law-maker
6. Conclusions

SETTING OUT THE CONTOUR OF FUTURE RESEARCH

TABLE OF CASES