
Table of Contents

Preface	v
Tax, Discretion and the Rule of Law	1
<i>Dominic De Cogan</i>	
1. Background	1
2. Defining “discretion”	2
3. Discretion and legal systems	4
3.1. The source of discretion	4
3.2. The exercise of discretion	5
3.3. Tax discretions compared	7
3.3.1. Judicial review	7
3.3.2. Binding the tax authority	8
3.3.3. Binding the taxpayer	9
3.3.4. Trust	10
3.3.5. Institutions	11
4. Critique and reform	12
4.1. The source of discretion	12
4.2. The exercise of discretion	13
4.3. Reform	13
5. Conclusion	14
The Delicate Balance: Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law – Some Thoughts in a Legal Theory and Comparative Perspective	15
<i>Ana Paula Dourado</i>	
1. Introductory remarks: is discretion exercised by the revenue authorities?	15
2. The rule of law	16
2.1. The legal type of tax	16
2.2. Validity and legitimacy	16
2.3. The rule of law and parliamentary laws	18
3. Legal determinacy and creation vs. application	20
4. The framework for delegations to the government and to the revenue authorities	24

Table of Contents

5.	Delegation to the government	25
6.	Vagueness and indeterminacy in tax law	27
7.	Legal techniques and the rule of law: tax law between “typifying” and vagueness	29
8.	The meaning of revenue authority discretions	30
9.	Common law vs. civil law countries	31
10.	Administrative and judicial typifying	33
11.	Conclusions	34

The Promise and the Reality of U.S. Tax Administration 39

Kristin E. Hickman

1.	The U.S. delegation compromise	42
2.	Tax administration deviations	50
2.1.	Temporary Treasury regulations	50
2.2.	Guidance documents	53
2.3.	Limited judicial review	55
3.	The implications of imbalance	57
4.	Conclusion	62

A Reasonable Balance: Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law in Canada 63

Kim Brooks

1.	Canada’s porous separation of powers	63
2.	The three branches in theory	64
2.1.	The legislative branch	64
2.2.	The executive branch	65
2.3.	The judicial branch	68
3.	The good sense of blurring the three functions in practice	69
4.	A reasonable balance in action: the taxation of in-kind benefits	74
5.	An appropriate allocation of discretion	77

HMRC's Management of the U.K. Tax System:		
The Boundaries of Legitimate Discretion		79
<i>Judith Freedman and John Vella</i>		
1.	The revenue authority's discretion and its limits	79
1.1.	Constitutional principles	80
1.2.	Discretionary powers of the U.K. revenue authority	80
1.3.	Secondary legislation	81
1.4.	Control of discretion	83
1.4.1.	Courts	83
1.4.2.	Parliament	83
1.4.3.	Internal procedure and other bodies	86
1.5.	Chapter outline	87
2.	Constitutional limits on HMRC discretion	87
2.1.	The framework dictated by the supremacy of Parliament principle	87
2.2.	The principle of legality	89
2.3.	Remaining constitutional principles	90
2.3.1.	Article 4 of the Bill of Rights Act 1689	91
2.3.2.	The rule of law	93
2.3.3.	Human Rights Act 1998	98
2.3.4.	EU law	100
3.	Judicial review and HMRC discretion	100
3.1.	Legitimate expectations	102
3.1.1.	The limits of the legitimate expectation principle	103
3.1.2.	The limits of guidance	104
3.1.3.	The value of the legitimate expectations doctrine in controlling revenue discretion	108
4.	HMRC's general discretion: categories and issues	108
4.1.	Category A: discretion as to non-application of the law where its proper interpretation is agreed	109
4.1.1.	Extra-statutory concessions	109
4.1.2.	Waivers and deals	111

Table of Contents

4.2.	Category B: discretion as to how to interpret the law	112
4.2.1.	Statements of Practice, guidance and manuals	112
4.2.2.	Clearances	114
4.3.	Category C: discretion in management of legislation and litigation	115
4.4.	Category D: hybrids of the above categories	116
5.	Conclusion	118

The Delicate Balance: Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law in Australia 121

Michael Walpole and Chris Evans

1.	Introduction	121
2.	An expression of the rule of law	123
3.	The Commissioner's powers	127
3.1.	Formal administrative powers	127
3.2.	Powers of interpretation	128
3.3.	Discretionary powers	129
3.3.1.	Liability discretions	130
3.3.2.	Administrative discretions	131
3.3.3.	Anti-avoidance discretions	132
4.	The Commissioner and the courts	133
5.	Principle-based drafting	138
6.	Extra-statutory concessions	142
6.1.	Background	142
6.2.	The Tax Design Review Panel	142
6.3.	The Treasury Discussion Paper	143
6.4.	Responses to the Treasury Discussion Paper	145
7.	Conclusions	147

Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law in New Zealand 149

Shelley Griffiths

1.	Introduction	149
2.	Discretions and the rule of law – the New Zealand context	150

3.	Discretion in the Income Tax Act	152
4.	Discretion in tax administration	155
4.1.	The managerial discretion of the New Zealand Commissioner of Inland Revenue, sections 6 and 6A of the Tax Administration Act 1994	156
4.2.	Settlement	162
4.3.	Reassessment	168
5.	Conclusion	170
6	Responsibility on Ministers and officials to protect integrity of tax system	172
6A	Commissioner of Inland Revenue	172

**Revenue Authority Discretions and the
Rule of Law: South Africa** 175

Ernest Mazansky

1.	Introduction	175
2.	Constitutional background	175
3.	Levying of tax	177
4.	The South African Revenue Service	178
5.	Concept of the rule of law	179
6.	Discretionary powers	181
7.	Safeguards for taxpayers	184
8.	Objection and appeal procedures in the tax laws	185
9.	Discretions	186
10.	Delegated rule-making powers	187
11.	Interpretation notes and guides	188
12.	Binding rulings	190
13.	Extra-statutory concessions	190
14.	Trends towards strengthening the rule of law in taxation matters	190
15.	Some general observations	191
16.	Some practical aspects	192
16.1.	Write-off of tax debts	192
16.2.	Settling a dispute	194
16.3.	Tax controversy	195
16.4.	Advance rulings	196
17.	Concluding remarks	199

Table of Contents

Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law in Hong Kong	201
<i>Andrew Halkyard</i>	
1. Background and history of income tax in Hong Kong	203
2. The constitutional position in relation to the imposition of taxation in Hong Kong	204
3. Taxation legislation in Hong Kong and the role of discretion	205
4. Administration of tax law in Hong Kong – co-operation or confrontation?	210
5. Commitment to service and the Taxpayers’ Charter	211
6. Extra-statutory concessions—their status and authority	213
7. The pervasiveness and importance of departmental practice	215
8. Conclusions	216
Balancing of Powers in Dutch Tax Law: General Overview and Recent Developments	223
<i>Richard Happé and Melvin Pauwels</i>	
1. Introduction	223
2. Some important characteristics of Dutch constitutional practice	224
2.1. Limited power of judiciary to test Acts of Parliament	224
2.2. The central role and “two hats” of the State Secretary of Finance	225
2.3. Traditional discretionary power of the tax administration	228
3. Balancing of legislative and judicial power	228
3.1. Introduction	228
3.2. The judiciary’s testing of Acts of Parliament for compatibility with the principle of equality	229
3.3. Overruling of case law by the legislature	231
4. Balancing of executive and judicial power	233
4.1. Introduction	233
4.2. More procedural room for the tax administration	234
4.3. The phenomenon of “enforcement covenants”	234
5. Balancing of legislative and executive power	237
5.1. Introduction	237

5.2.	Shift of power from legislature to executive	237
5.2.1.	Simplicity of legislation	238
5.2.2.	Rule-making by the executive	239
5.2.3.	Discretionary power	240
5.3.	Countervailing balance by the judiciary?	241
6.	The judiciary's response to the discretionary power of the tax administration when applying tax legislation	245
6.1.	Introduction	245
6.2.	Potential issues	246
6.3.	Applying principles of proper government behaviour by the judiciary: priority rules	247
6.4.	Conclusion	248
7.	Conclusion	249
7.1.	General framework	249
7.2.	Legislative power vs. judicial power	250
7.3.	Executive power vs. judicial power	251
7.4.	Legislative power vs. executive power	252

The Delicate Balance Between Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law in France 255
Christophe Grandcolas

1.	Introduction	255
2.	Current situation	260
2.1.	Main types of advance binding rulings issued by the tax authorities	261
2.2.	Procedures to request and issue advance rulings	266
2.2.1.	Procedure to submit applications	266
2.2.1.1.	How to present an application?	266
2.2.1.2.	To whom should an advance ruling application be submitted?	267
2.2.1.3.	When?	268
2.2.1.4.	Free?	268
2.2.1.5.	Scope?	268
2.2.2.	Responses	269
2.2.2.1.	In writing or tacit?	269
2.2.2.2.	Delays	269
2.2.2.3.	Can the ruling be disputed?	270

Table of Contents

	2.2.2.4.	Is a ruling binding? For both taxpayer and tax authorities? For how long?	270
	2.2.2.5.	Published? For information only?	271
2.3.		Results	273
3.		Main issues	278
3.1.		Are rulings procedures in line with the French Constitution?	278
3.2.		Rulings issued by an independent body or by tax authorities?	279
3.3.		Can the self-assessment procedure be “dissolved” in a system of advance rulings? Back to the “good old times”?	283
3.4.		Decentralization or centralization of decisions?	284
	3.4.1.	Consistency, objectivity, and harmonization issues	284
	3.4.2.	Central unit	285
3.5.		Are advance rulings and tax audits compatible?	285
	3.5.1.	Field audits and non-disclosure of private advance rulings	285
	3.5.2.	Default answers and juridical security	286
	3.5.3.	On-demand audit: a risky certainty?	286
	3.5.4.	How to easily transform a tax audit into a binding ruling?	287
3.6.		A hidden tax law? Advance rulings and level playing field issues	287
	3.6.1.	Very limited number of published rulings	288
	3.6.2.	Certainty and equity issues	288
3.7.		Is the procedure cumbersome and costly?	289

Revenue Authority Discretions and the Rule of Law:

The Quest for a *Recta Ratio* 291

Marco Greggi

1.	A history of balances (and many imbalances)	291
2.	The Italian judicial system on the verge of collapse, and the remedies adopted	295
3.	Some explanatory notes: which revenue authority?	299
4.	Some explanatory notes (continued): ... and which discretion?	302

5.	The case of the “tax heaven” definition	307
6.	Limiting the discretionary power? The role of supranational sources of law (the EU and human rights from a European perspective, and OECD guidelines)	311
7.	The rule of law revisited and a tale from the Old Millennium: the Emperor’s rescripta in Roman law as <i>recta ratio</i> ?	317
	Tax Discretion in Hungary	319
	<i>Borbála Kolozs and Richard Krever</i>	
1.	The scope of “tax discretions” in Hungary	319
2.	The delegation of law-making power to the executive	322
3.	Interpretation or the exercise of law-making discretion?	325
3.1.	Public rulings	327
3.2.	Private rulings	327
3.3.	Hybrid interpretation decisions	329
4.	Conclusion	332
	The Rule of Law in Chinese Tax Administration	335
	<i>Wei Cui</i>	
1.	Introduction	335
2.	Frameworks for tax law-making and formal legal rules	338
2.1.	Minimal limitations on delegation	339
2.2.	The scope of law under the law on legislation	341
2.3.	The judicial view of the scope of law	344
3.	Lawlessness in tax rule-making: current practice and attempts at reform	347
3.1.	Prescribing fundamental tax law informally	347
3.2.	The SAT’s new measures for informal rule-making	353
4.	Adjudicating tax disputes	357
4.1.	Rules for tax litigation and administrative appeal	358
4.2.	Patterns of tax disputes	362
5.	Conclusion	365
	List of Contributors	367