

Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights

Below you will find a questionnaire filled in by Henrik Peytz, Partner at *Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP*, and Henrik Klitz, Advisor at the *Danish Tax Appeals Agency*, both OPTR National Reporters of Denmark.

This set of questionnaires comprises the National Reporter's assessment of the country's practice during 2024 in protecting taxpayers' rights and the level of fulfilment of the minimum standards and best practices on the practical protection of taxpayers' rights identified by Prof. Dr. Philip Baker and Prof. Dr. Pasquale Pistone at the 2015 IFA Congress on "The Practical Protection of Taxpayers' Fundamental Rights."

OPTR - 2024 Questionnaire 1 - Country Practice

Dear National Reporter,

I would like to thank you for your participation in the IBFD's Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights (OPTR).

This form collects the information on the practical implementation in domestic law of legal procedures, safeguards and guarantees associated with taxpayers' rights in a wide range of situations for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights, as monitored by the IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

We kindly ask you to assess assertively (yes/no) the level of practical implementation of said procedures, safeguards and guarantees associated with taxpayers' rights in your country. When answering, please bear in mind the actual practice regarding each situation, regardless of whether a given procedure, safeguard or guarantee has been formally adopted in your country.

This form should be filled in as soon as any of the events mentioned above occurs and edited as many times as necessary to cover all relevant developments occurred in 2024, until no later than 10 January 2025. We appreciate very much your cooperation in this regard.

Feel free to contact us for any clarification you may need. We look forward to your valuable contribution to this remarkable project.

Kind regards,

Dr Sam van der Vlugt Scientific Coordinator IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

Email *	
hkl@sanst.dk	

^{*} Better if filled in using Google Chrome © or Mozilla Firefox ©

Name: *		
Henrik Peytz and Henrik Kli	tz	
Country: *		
Denmark		
Affiliation *		
✓ Taxpayers / Tax Pract	tioners	
Tax Administration		
Judiciary		
(Tax) Ombudsperson		
Academia		
Other:		
		•

Questionnaire 1 - Country Practice

Instructions:

- 1. Please answer all questions. The form will not allow you to continue/submit your responses until you have answered all questions.
- 2. For assertive questions, please answer with "yes" or "no" by clicking on the corresponding button.
- 3. For questions that require you to specify a period of time (namely, Q. 26 and Q. 45), please select the time applicable in your country to carry out the procedures indicated in the questions in practice, within the options provided.
- 4. For questions with more than one possible answer (namely, Q. 56), please check all necessary boxes to reflect better the practical situation of your country regarding the issue, by clicking on them.
- 5. When completed, please submit the survey.
- 6. Once you have submitted the survey, you will receive an email acknowledging your participation in the OPTR and providing a backup of your answers.

7. The email will also include an "edit your survey" link, in case you want to modify any of your answers. You will receive this email every time you submit partial responses.
8. An option to quit the survey and save your answers is provided at the end of each section.
9. If answering partially, please select "Yes" at the end of the section in which you are to submit your partial answers to the survey. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this survey.
10. For editing your answers, please use the last "edit your response" link provided to you via email. Please bear in mind that this is the only way the system will acknowledge your previous answers. If you use a link other than the last one provided, some (or all) changes might not be retrieved by the system.
11. When clicking on the last "edit your response" link, the system will lead you to the front page of the survey. Click on "Next" as many times as needed to get to the section you want to continue in. Once you have reached said section, please remember to change your answer to the question "Do you want to save your results and quit?" to "No", in order to be able to continue.
Area 1 - Identification of taxpayers, issuing tax returns and communicating with taxpayers
1. Do taxpayers have the right to see the information held about them by the tax authority? *
Yes
○ No
2. If yes, can they request the correction of errors in the information? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to the previous question)
Yes
O No

3. Is it possible in your country for taxpayers to communicate electronically with the tax authority?	*
Yes	
○ No	
4. If yes, are there systems in place to prevent unauthorised access to the channel of communication?	*
Yes	
○ No	
5. In your country, is there a system of "cooperative compliance" / "enhanced relationship"which applies to some taxpayers only?	*
Yes	
○ No	
5A. If yes, are there rules or procedures in place to ensure this system is available to all eligible taxpayers on a non-preferential/non discriminatory/non arbitrary basis?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 5)	
Yes	
No	

6. Are compliance obligations imposed on third parties subject to limits that ensure they are * necessary and proportionate?
Yes
○ No
7. Are there special arrangements for individuals who face particular difficulties (e.g. the disabled, the elderly, other special cases) to receive assistance in complying with their tax obligations?
Yes
○ No
7A. Are there special arrangements in circumstances of force majeure? *
Yes
○ No
7B. If yes to 7A, do said arrangements operate automatically? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 7A)
Yes
No

Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 2 - The issue of tax assessment
8. Does a dialogue take place in your country between the taxpayer and the tax authority before the issue of an assessment in order to reach an agreed assessment?
Yes
○ No
9. If yes, can the taxpayer request a meeting with the tax officer? *
9. If yes, can the taxpayer request a meeting with the tax officer? * Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8)
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8)
 Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8) Yes No No 10. If a systematic error in the assessment of tax comes to light (e.g. the tax authority loses *
 Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8) Yes No
 Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8) Yes No No 10. If a systematic error in the assessment of tax comes to light (e.g. the tax authority loses * a tax case and it is clear that tax has been collected on a wrong basis), does the tax authority
 Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8) Yes No No 10. If a systematic error in the assessment of tax comes to light (e.g. the tax authority loses * a tax case and it is clear that tax has been collected on a wrong basis), does the tax authority act ex officio to notify all affected taxpayers and arrange repayments to them?

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 3 - Confidentiality and data protection
N.B. From 2024 all questions of this area also refer to data protection
11. Is information held by your tax authority automatically encrypted? *
Yes
O No
11A. Do data protection rights apply to all information held by tax authorities? *
Yes
O No
11B. If yes to 11A, does it include the tight to access data and correct inaccuracies? *
Yes
○ No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 11A)

11C. If yes to 11A, is all data (at some point) destroyed once its purpose has been fulfilled? *
Yes
O No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 11A)
12. Is access to information held by the tax authority about a specific taxpayer accessible only to the tax official(s) dealing with that taxpayer's affairs?
Yes
No
13. If yes, must the tax official identify himself/herself before accessing information held * about a specific taxpayer?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 12)
Yes
○ No
14. Is access to information held about a taxpayer audited internally to check if there has *been any unauthorised access to that information?
Yes
○ No

14A. If yes to 14, are victims of an unauthorised disclosure entitled to be informed and paid a * compensation?
Yes
○ No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 14)
15. Are there examples of tax officials who have been criminally prosecuted in the last decade for unauthorised access to taxpayers' data?
Yes
O No
15A. Are tax officials entitled to work remotely? *
Yes
O No
15B. If yes to 15A, are equivalent measures taken to ensure confidentiality and data protection to the ones that apply when the official is working from a tax office?
Yes
O No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 15A)

15C. If yes to 15B, are those measures audited? *
 Yes No Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 15A & 15B)
16. Is information about the tax liability of specific taxpayers publicly available in your country? Yes No
16A. If yes to 16, is access limited only to those who have a legitimate interest? * Yes No Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 16)
 16B. Can information held by tax authorities be supplied to other authorities? * Yes No

16C. If yes to 16 B, is the supply to other public authorities permitted only when authorised * by law and with appropriate safeguards?
Yes
○ No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 16B)
17. Is "naming and shaming" of non-compliant taxpayers practised in your country? *
○ Yes
No
17A. If yes to 17, is personal data that places the individual at risk not disclosable? *
O Yes
O No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 17)
18. Is there a system in your country by which the courts may authorise the public disclosure * of information held by the tax authority about specific taxpayers (e.g. habeas data or freedom of information)?
Yes
O No

18A. Is there legislation that protects whistleblowers that disclose confidential information * held by revenue authorities (or third parties holding data for tax purposes)?
Yes
○ No
19. Is there a system of protection of legally privileged communications between the taxpayer and its advisors?
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Yes
○ No
20. If yes, does this extend to advisors other than those who are legally qualified (e.g. *accountants, tax advisors)?
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 19)
Yes
No

20A. Are there mandatory disclosure requirements (e.g. mandatory disclosure of tax * planning arrangements)?
Yes
○ No
20B. If yes to 20A, are those mandatory disclosure obligations so drafted as not to affect the * relations with professional advisers?
Yes
O No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 20A)
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
○ Yes
No
Area 4 - Normal audits
21. Does the principle ne bis in idem apply to tax audits (i.e. that the taxpayer can only receive one audit in respect of the same taxable period)?
Yes
No

22. If yes, does this mean only one audit per tax per year? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 21)YesNo
23. Does the principle audi alteram partem apply in the tax audit process (i.e. does the taxpayer have to be notified of all decisions taken in the process and have the right to object and be heard before the decision is finalised)?
YesNo
23A. If yes to 23, does this principle also apply to online meetings? *
Yes
NoNot applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 23)
24. Does the taxpayer have the right to request an audit (e.g. if the taxpayer wishes to get finality of taxation for a particular year)?
YesNo

25. Are there time limits applicable to the conduct of a normal audit in your audit must be concluded within so many months?	country (e.g. the *
Yes	
No	
26. If yes, what is the normal limit in months? *	Dropdown
1. There is no limit (click here if you answered "No" to question 25)	
2. 1-3 months	
3. 4-6 months	
4. 7-9 months	
5. 10-12 months	
6. 13-15 months	
7. 16-18 months	
8. 19-21 months	
9. 22-24 months	
10. More than 24 months	
27. Does the taxpayer have the right to be represented by a person of its ch process?	oice in the audit *
Yes	
○ No	

28. May the opinion of independent experts be used in the audit process? *
YesNo
29. Does the taxpayer have the right to receive a full report on the conclusions of the audit at * the end of the process?
Yes
○ No
29A. Once a tax audit is completed, are there rules that prevent further evidence being * collected, further arguments being put forward and no further tax charges being brought?
Yes
O res
No
30. Are there limits to the frequency of audits of the same taxpayer (e.g. in respect to different periods or different taxes)?
Yes
No

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
○ Yes
No
Area 5 - More intensive audits
31. Is the principle nemo tenetur applied in tax investigations (i.e. the principle against self- * incrimination?
Yes
○ No
32. If yes, is there a restriction on the use of information supplied by the taxpayer in a subsequent penalty procedure/criminal procedure?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 31)
Yes
○ No

33. If yes to nemo tenetur, can the taxpayer raise this principle to refuse to supply basic accounting information to the tax authority?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 31)	
O Yes	
No	
34. Is there a procedure applied in your country to identify a point in time during an investigation when it becomes likely that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or a criminal charge, and from that time onwards the taxpayer's right not to self-incriminate is recognised?	*
Yes	
○ No	
35. If yes, is there a requirement to give the taxpayer a warning that the taxpayer can rely on the right of non-self-incrimination?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 34)	
Yes	
○ No	
36. Is authorisation by a court always needed before the tax authority may enter and search premises?	*
Yes	
No	

37. May the tax authority enter and search the dwelling places of individuals? *
Yes
○ No
38. Is a court order required before the tax authority can use interception of communications * (e.g. telephone tapping or access to electronic communications)?
Yes
○ No
38A. Does access to bank information for tax purposes require prior judicial authorisation? *
Yes
No
39. Is there a procedure in place to ensure that legally privileged material is not taken in the course of a search?
Yes
○ No

39A. If evidence is collected as a result of a search that was not authorised by the judiciary is * that evidence admissible?
Yes
○ No
39B. If digital data is copied or removed, are there provisions to ensure that this does not affect the normal operation of the electronic information system?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 6 - Reviews and appeals

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

40. Is there a procedure for an internal review of an assessment/decision before the taxpayer appeals to the judiciary?	
Yes	
O No	
40A. Do taxpayers have an alternative of taking an appeal to an arbitration tribunal in place * of the tax courts?	
○ Yes	
No	
41. Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the first instance tribunal? *	
O Yes	
No	
42. Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the second or higher instance tribunals? *	
Yes	
O No	

43. Is it necessary for the taxpayer to bring his case first before an administrative court to quash the assessment/decision, before the case can proceed to a judicial hearing?
○ Yes
No
44. Are there time limits applicable for a tax case to complete the judicial appeal process? *
O Yes
No
45. If yes, what is the normal time it takes for a tax case to be concluded on appeal?
1. There is no limit (click here if you answered "No" to question 44)
2. 1-3 months
3. 4-6 months
4. 7-9 months
5. 10-12 months
6. 13-15 months
7. 16-18 months
8. 19-21 months
9. 22-24 months
10. More than 24 months

46. Are there any arrangements for alternative dispute resolution (e.g. mediation or arbitration) before a tax case proceeds to the judiciary?
Yes
No
46A. Does a taxpayer have the right to request an online hearing or object to it? *
Yes
O No
47. Is there a system for the simplified resolution of tax disputes (e.g. by a determination on * the file, or by e/filing)?
Yes
○ No
48. Is the principle audi alteram partem (i.e. each party has a right to a hearing) applied in all *
tax appeals?
Yes
○ No
○ 140

49. Does the taxpayer have to pay some/all the tax before an appeal can be made (i.e. solve * et repete)?
Yes
No
50. If yes, are there exceptions recognised where the taxpayer does not need to pay before appealing (i.e. can obtain an interim suspension of the tax debt?)
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 49)
Yes
○ No
51. Does the loser have to pay the costs in a tax appeal? *
Yes
No
52. If yes, are there situations recognised where the loser does not need to pay the costs (e.g. because of the conduct of the other party)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 51)
O Yes
○ No

53. If there is usually a public hearing, can the taxpayer request a hearing in camera (i.e. not * in public) to preserve secrecy/confidentiality?
Yes
○ No
54. Are judgments of tax tribunals published? *
Yes
○ No
55. If yes, can the taxpayer preserve its anonymity in the judgment? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 54)
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No

56. Does the principle ne bis in idem apply in your country to prevent either: *	
The principle does not apply in my country	
The imposition of a tax penalty and the tax liability	
The imposition of more than one tax penalty for the same conduct	
The imposition of a tax penalty and a criminal liability	
57. If ne bis in idem is recognised, does this prevent two parallel sets of court proceedings arising from the same factual circumstances (e.g. a tax court and a criminal court)?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 56)	
Yes	
○ No	
58. If the taxpayer makes a voluntary disclosure of a tax liability, can this result in a reduced or a zero penalty?	*
Yes	
○ No	
58A. Is there a legislative cap to prevent interest, penalties and surcharges to exceed the amount of tax due?	*
Yes	
No	

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 8 - Enforcement of taxes
59. Is a court order always necessary before the tax authorities can access a taxpayer's bank * account or other assets?
Yes
No
60. Does the taxpayer have the right to request a deferred payment of taxes or a payment in * instalments (perhaps with a guarantee)?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No

61. Does the taxpayer have the right to be informed before information relating to him is exchanged in response to a specific request?	*
Yes	
No	
62. Does the taxpayer have a right to be informed before information is sought from third parties in response to a specific request for exchange of information?	*
Yes	
No	
63. If no to either of the previous two questions, did your country previously recognise the right of taxpayers to be informed and was such right removed in the context of the peer review by the Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to either question 61 or question 62)	
Yes	
O No	
64. Does the taxpayer have the right to be heard by the tax authority before the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	*
O Yes	
No	

65. Does the taxpayer have the right to challenge before the judiciary the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	*
Yes	
O No	
65A. If information is sought from a third party, does that third party have the right to challenge the legality of the request before the judiciary?	*
Yes	
○ No	
65B. Is exchange of information prohibited with any state if it is foreseeable that the data would be used in a way that is repressive or that it would undermine the protection of fundamental rights?	*
Yes	
No	
66. Does the taxpayer have the right to see any information received from another country that relates to him?	*
Yes	
○ No	

66A. In the event of a leak of confidential information, is exchange of information with that *state suspended?	f
Yes	
No	
66B. Are there time-limits after which data that has been exchanged are to be destroyed or *anonymously archived?	:
Yes	
O No	
67. Does the taxpayer have the right in all cases to require a mutual agreement procedure is *initiated?	f
Yes	
No	
68. Does the taxpayer have a right to see the communications exchanged in the context of a *mutual agreement procedure?	
Yes	
O No	

68A. Does a taxpayer have a right to be given a statement of reasons how a solution was reached through mutual agreement procedures?
Yes
No
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
O Yes
No
Area 10 - Legislation
69. Is there a prohibition on retrospective tax legislation in your country? *
O Yes
No
70. If no, are there restrictions on the adoption of retrospective tax legislation in your country?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "Yes" to question 69)
Yes
○ No

71. Is there a procedure in your country for public consultation before the adopting of all (or * most) tax legislation?
Yes
○ No
72. Is tax legislation subject to constitutional review which can strike down unconstitutional * laws?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
○ Yes
No
Area 11 - Revenue practice and guidance
73. Does the tax authority in your country publish guidance (e.g. revenue manuals, circulars, * etc.) as to how it applies your tax law?
Yes
○ No

74. Does your country have a generalised system of advanced rulings available to taxpayers? *
Yes
○ No
75. If yes, is it legally binding? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 74)
Yes
○ No
76. If a binding ruling is refused, does the taxpayer have a right to appeal? *
Yes
○ No
77. If your country publishes guidance as to how it applies your tax law, can taxpayers acting * in good faith rely on that published guidance (i.e. protection of legitimate expectations)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 76)
Yes
O No

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes
No
Area 12 - Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers' rights
78. Is there a taxpayers' charter or taxpayers' bill of rights in your country? *
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Yes
No
79. If yes, are its provisions legally effective? *
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to the previous question)
Yes
○ No

80. Is there a (tax) ombudsman / taxpayers' advocate / equivalent position in your country? *
Yes
○ No
81. If yes, can the ombudsman intervene in an on-going dispute between the taxpayer and the tax authority (before it goes to court)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 80)
Yes
O No
82. If yes to a (tax) ombudsman, is he/she independent from the tax authority? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 80)
Yes
○ No
83. Is there a taxpayers' charter or taxpayers' bill of rights in your country? *
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Yes
No

84. If yes, are its provisions legally effective? * Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to the previous question)Yes
O No
85. Is there a (tax) ombudsman / taxpayers' advocate / equivalent position in your country? *
Yes
○ No
86. If yes, can the ombudsman intervene in an on-going dispute between the taxpayer and the tax authority (before it goes to court)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 85)
Yes
O No
87. If yes to a (tax) ombudsman, is he/she independent from the tax authority? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 80)
Yes
○ No

Do you want to save your results and quit? *	
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.	
Yes	
No	
Area 13 - Artificial Intelligence (AI)/Automated Analytical Systems (AAS)	
88. Are taxpayers who are subject to a tax compliance procedure that involves AI/AAS * informed of that fact?	
Yes	
No	
Not applicable (in case no Al/AAS is used)	
89. In communications between a tax authority and a taxpayer that employs AI/AAS, is it stated that the tax authorities is represented only by a machine?	
Yes	
No	
O Not applicable	

90. If a decision relating to tax administration has been taken by the use of AI/AAS, is the taxpayer provided with basic details of the procedure applied?	*
O Yes	
No	
O Not applicable	
91. Do the tax authorities publish details of the type of AI/AAS employed with specific information about the purpose for which they are used?	*
Yes	
No	
92. Does a system exist for voluntary registration of AI/AAS? *	
Yes	
No	
93. If yes to 92, does the tax authority register all AI/AAS tools or algorithms with that system?	*
Yes	
O No	
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 92)	

94. Are decisions that may have a significant impact on a taxpayer taken exclusively by AI/AAS?	*
Yes	
○ No	
O Not applicable	
95. If decisions impacting a taxpayer are taken by AI/AAS, are they overseen by a suitably qualified individual before the decision is notified?	*
Yes	
No	
O Not applicable	
96. If an audit employs material generated by AI/AAS, is that material available to taxpayers and their advisors?	*
Yes	
○ No	
O Not applicable	

97. If yes to 96, is an explanation provided and does the taxpayer have an effective remedy against unlawful or inaccurate use of AI/AAS?
Yes
○ No
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to Question 96)
98. Do tax authorities publish guidance notes explaining the way in which they use AI/AAS? *
○ Yes
No
99. If revenue authorities use AI/AAS, do they publish guidelines and points of contact for taxpayers who have questions or concerns about those procedures?
○ Yes
No
Not applicable
100. Does the tax administration appoint a senior official with overriding responsibility for *AI/AAS in the tax administration?
Yes
No
O Not applicable

Google Forms

OPTR - 2024 Questionnaire 2 - Standards of Protection

Dear National Reporter,

I would like to thank you for your participation in the IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights (OPTR).

This form collects the information on developments occurred in 2024 regarding the implementation of 57 minimum standards and 44 best practices, distributed into 86 benchmarks, for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights as monitored by the OPTR.

We kindly ask you to provide an impartial, non-judgmental summary of events occurred in 2024 that in your opinion affect the level of compliance of a given minimum standard/best practice in your country. These events may include, without limitation, legislation enacted, administrative rulings and/or circulars issued, case law and tax administration practices implemented, among others, as requested by this form.

In ALL cases back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials, and provide full details for identifying the documents related to the reported developments. Either a (soft) copy or internet links to make said documents available (and therefore, quotable) are greatly appreciated.

You are also kindly required to assess whether the events you described represent either a step towards or a step away from the practical implementation of the given minimum standard/best practice in your country. Full instructions are provided below.

This form should be filled in as soon as any of the events mentioned above occurs and edited as many times as necessary to cover all relevant developments occurred in 2024, until no later than 10 January 2025. We appreciate very much your cooperation in this regard.

Feel free to contact us for any clarification you may need. We look forward to your valuable contribution to this remarkable project.

Kind regards,

Dr Sam van der Vlugt Scientific Coordinator IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

^{*} Better if filled in using Google Chrome © or Mozilla Firefox ©

Email * hkl@sanst.dk
Reporters' info
Name: * Henrik Peytz and Henrik Klitz
Country: * Denmark
Affiliation * Taxpayers / Tax Practitioners Tax Administration Judiciary (Tax) Ombudsperson Academia Other:

Instructions

- 1. Please answer all questions. The form will not allow you to continue/submit your responses until you have answered all questions.
- 2. All questions are two or three-tiered (namely, either with parts "MS" and/or "BP", and "S"). They comprise a minimum standard (MS) and /or a best practice (BP), and a "summary of relevant facts in 2024" (S). The latter is a space for providing a summarized account on facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way.
- 3. Please Indicate, by clicking on the corresponding button, whether there was an improvement or a

decrease of the level of compliance of the relevant standard/best practice in your country in 2024. If there were no changes, please indicate so by clicking on the corresponding button.

- 4. In ALL cases where an assessment of either improvement or decrease is reported, please refer the relevant novelties in the space provided under "summary of relevant facts in 2024", for each question. Please give a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. In case there is nothing to report for a given minimum standard/best practice, please answer "no changes".
- 5. If any, make additional, non-judgmental commentaries at the space provided under "summary of relevant facts in 2024".
- 6. In ALL cases back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcomed to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org.
- 7. When completed, please submit the survey.
- 8. Once you have submitted the survey, you will receive an email acknowledging your participation in the OPTR and providing a backup of your answers.
- 9. The email will also include an "edit your survey" link, in case you want to modify any of your answers. You will receive this email every time you submit partial responses.
- 10. An option to quit the survey and save your answers is provided at the end of each section. This survey has 12 sections, as many as those identified by Baker and Pistone in their 2015 IFA General Report.
- 11. If answering partially, please select "Yes" at the end of the section in which you are to submit your partial answers to the survey. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this survey.
- 12. For editing your answers, please use the last "edit your response" link provided to you via email. Please bear in mind that this is the only way the system will acknowledge your previous answers. If you use a link other than the last one provided, some (or all) changes might not be retrieved by the system.
- 13. When clicking on the last "edit your response" link, the system will lead you to the front page of the survey. Click on "Next" as many times as needed to get to the section you want to continue in. Once you have reached said section, please remember to change your answer to the question "Do you want to save your results and quit?" to "No", in order to be able to continue.

Area 1 - Identification of taxpayers, issuing tax returns and communicating with taxpayers

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations

of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.	
1 (MS). Implement safeguards to prevent impersonation when issuing a unique identification * number	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
(BP) Methods of identifying taxpayers should employ the highest levels of identification * security, including dual authentication (without imposing an excessive burden on taxpayers to log in when accessing private information or engaging in communication with the revenue authorities)	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
1 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials.	

While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are

welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

2 (MS). The system of taxpayer identification should take account of religious sensitivities *
No changesShifted awayShifted towards
2 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
3 (MS). Impose obligations of confidentiality on third parties with respect to information gathered by them for tax purposes No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

3 (BP). Where tax is withheld by third parties, the taxpayer should be excluded from liability if \star the third party fails to pay over the tax
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
3 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
4 (MS). Where pre/populated returns are used, these should be sent to taxpayers to correct * errors.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

4 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
5 (MS). Provide a right to access to taxpayers to personal information held about them, and * a right to correct inaccuracies.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
5 (BP). Publish guidance on taxpayers' rights to access information and correct inaccuracies *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

6 (MS). Where communication with taxpayers is in electronic form, institute systems to prevent impersonation or interception

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

6 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

7 (MS). Where a system of "cooperative compliance" operates, ensure it is available on a *non-discriminatory and voluntary basis
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
7 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
8 (MS). Provide assistance for those who face difficulties in meeting compliance obligations, * including those with disabilities, those located in remote areas, and those unable or unwilling to use electronic forms of communication No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

9 (MS). Compliance obligations on third parties should only be imposed where necessary and in all cases the burden imposed on third parties should be proportionate and not excessive

*

- No Changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

9 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024.

10 (MS). In circumstances of force majeure (e.g. pandemics / natural disasters), mechanisms should automatically apply to relieve taxpayers of compliance obligations that have become excessively difficult due to the circumstances. The point at which such circumstances start to apply and cease to apply should be clearly and publicly announced	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

There is a variety of provisions in Danish tax procedure regarding special circumstances and force majeure. Such provisions exist regarding e.g. reporting and appeal, see secs. 14 (1) and 73 (4) of the Tax Control Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/12) and sec. 35 a (6) of the Tax Administration Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/1053). Thus, a late appeal and a late filing of a tax return are accepted, if there are special circumstances. These are provisions of procedural nature covering situations of force majeure.

The latest Danish Swedish tax agreement on Øresund takes this a step further and introduces a special provision in art. 1 (4) of the agreement with regard to particularly potential restrictions on the movement of taxpayers in case of an epidemic/pandemic (https://skm.dk/aktuelt/publikationer/politiske-udspil-og-aftaler/aftale-mellem-kongeriget-danmarks-regering-og-kongeriget-sveriges-regering-om-visse-skattespoergsmaal-oresundsaftale). This is a new type of substantive provision allowing the Competent Authorities of the states to agree to deviate from the main rules in art. 1 (1) and (2) of the agreement as to where (in which state) the taxpayers' work is deemed to be performed in case of restrictions.

These mechanisms typically do not operate automatically but only on request. Thus, the taxpayer must make an individual request to the Danish Customs and Tax Administration to invoke this type of provision, e.g. sec. 35 a (6) of the Tax Administration Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/1053). This also reflects that this type of provision is normally not tailored to address more general issues such as force majeure in the form of natural disaster or a pandemic but entails a consideration of the circumstances of the individual taxpayer. The provisions allow for considering both general and individual issues as force majeure.

In some instances, the Tax Administration generally postpones deadlines for reporting. This is typically the case if the Tax Administration's digital reporting system due to technical issues has been unavailable a period of a certain duration. Such a postponement functions automatically for all taxpayers concerned.

11 (BP). Tax compliance obligations should be designed so as to ensure that taxpayers can * fulfil their compliance obligations without excessive cost and without the compulsory use of a tax agent, due regard being had to the type of taxpayer (individual / corporate / others) and to the complexity of the taxpayer's tax affairs
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
11 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024. Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
12 (MS). Compliance obligations on third parties should only be imposed where necessary * and in all cases the burden imposed on third parties should be proportionate and not excessive
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

Yes

No

Area 2 - The issue of tax assessment

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

13 (BP). Establish a constructive dialogue between taxpayers and revenue authorities to ensure a fair assessment of taxes based on equality of arms	0 *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
13 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary mat While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	a non- th the
14 (BP). Use e-filing to speed up assessments and correction of errors, particularly systematic errors	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

15 (MS). Where a tax assessment indicates a repayment is due, that repayment should be made without undue delay or unnecessary formalities.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

15 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 3 - Confidentiality and data protection
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
16 (MS). Provide a specific legal guarantee for confidentiality and data protection, with sanctions for officials who make unauthorised disclosures (and ensure sanctions are enforced).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

16 (MS). Encrypt information held by a tax authority about taxpayers to the highest level * attainable.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
16 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
17 (MS). Introduce an offence for tax officials covering up unauthorised disclosure of *
confidential information.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

17 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
18 (MS). Restrict access to data to those officials authorised to consult it. For encrypted * data, use digital access codes.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
○ Shifted towards	
18 (MS). Ensure an effective fire-wall to prevent unauthorised access to data held by revenue authorities.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

19 (MS). Data protection rights apply to all information held by tax authorities. This includes * rights to access data and correct inaccuracies and the destruction (or anonymous archiving) of all data once its purpose has been fulfilled.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

19 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

20 (MS). Audit data access periodically to identify cases of unauthorised access. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
20 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
21 (MS). Introduce administrative measures emphasizing confidentiality to tax officials. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
24 (C) Summary of relevant facts in 2024
21 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts
(legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials.

While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are

welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

22 (MS). Where tax officials are permitted to work remotely (e.g. from home), equivalent * measures should be taken to ensure confidentiality and data protection as if the official were working from a tax office. The measures taken to ensure confidentiality and data protection should be audited on a regular basis.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
23 (MS). Appoint data protection/privacy officers at senior level and local tax offices. *
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

24 (MS). If a breach of confidentiality occurs, investigate fully with an appropriate level of seniority by independent persons (e.g. judges).

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

24 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

25 (MS). Introduce an offence for tax officials and others covering up unauthorised * disclosure of confidential information
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
25 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
26 (MS). Taxpayers who are victims of unauthorised disclosure of confidential information * should be entitled: a) to be informed as soon as possible of the unauthorised disclosure; and b) to full compensation, including damages (in cases where tax authorities and third parties have not maintained adequate standards of data protection).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

27 (MS). Exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality should be explicitly stated in the law, narrowly drafted and interpreted. Data held by tax authorities (or third parties for tax purposes) should only be accessible to those who can show a legitimate interest in access to that data

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

27 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

28 (MS). Information held by a tax authority (or by third parties for tax purposes) should not be supplied to other public authorities unless the transfer is authorised by law and there are appropriate safeguards (e.g. a requirement of judicial authorisation).	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
28 (BP). Require judicial authorisation before any disclosure of confidential information by revenue authorities	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
28 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	

29 (MS). If "naming and shaming" is employed, ensure adequate safeguards (e.g. judicial authorisation after proceedings involving the taxpayer).	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
29 (BP). If "naming and shaming" is employed by any governmental body on the basis of tax information, then personal data that places the individual at risk (e.g. the individual's home address) should not be disclosed.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
29 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	

30 (BP). Legislation should protect whistleblowers in appropriate cases (including where the * information disclosed demonstrates that a crime has been committed), in particular where the whistleblower discloses breaches of confidentiality and data protection by revenue authorities (and by third parties holding data for tax purposes).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
31 (MS). No disclosure of confidential taxpayer information to politicians, or where it might be * used for political purposes.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

31 (BP). Parliamentary supervision of revenue authorities should involve independent * officials, subject to confidentiality obligations, examining specific taxpayer data, and then reporting to Parliament.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
31 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
32 (MS). Freedom of information legislation should allow a taxpayer to access information * relevant to the tax system and how it impacts on that taxpayer (including all information
about themselves). However, access to information by third parties should be subject to stringent safeguards: only if an independent tribunal concludes that the public interest in disclosure outweighs the right of confidentiality, and only after a hearing where the taxpayer has an opportunity to be heard.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

32 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
22 (MC) If published tox rulings should be appropriated and details that might identify the	*
33 (MS). If published, tax rulings should be anonymised and details that might identify the taxpayer removed.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
33 (BP). Anonymised tax rulings should be published to allow taxpayers to understand administrative practices. This should be subject to exceptions where publication would be potentially damaging to the taxpayer concerned	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

34 (BP). Anonymise all tax judgments and remove details that might identify the taxpayer. *

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

34 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

35 (MS). Legal professional privilege should apply to tax advice. * Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
No changes
○ Shifted away
Shifted towards
35 (BP). Privilege from disclosure should apply to all tax advisors (not just lawyers) who supply similar advice to lawyers. Information imparted in circumstances of confidentiality may be privileged from disclosure.
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
35 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

36 (MS). Where tax authorities enter premises which may contain privileged material, arrangements should be made (e.g. an independent lawyer) to protect that privilege. Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annexe with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you. No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
36 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
37 (MS). Mandatory disclosure requirements (if adopted) should be clearly drafted and only * apply to cases in which such disclosure is strictly necessary and proportionate. The disclosure obligation should not operate to adversely affect the relationship with professional advisors and other third parties to a disproportionate extent.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

() Yes

N

Area 4 - Normal audits

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

38 (MS). Audits should respect the following principles: (i) Proportionality. (2) Ne bis in idem * (prohibition of double jeopardy). (3) Audi alteram partem (right to be heard before any decision is taken). (4) Nemo tenetur se detegere (principle against self/incrimination). Tax notices issued in violation of these principles should be null and void.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
38 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
39 (MS). In application of proportionality, tax authorities may only request for information that * is strictly needed, not otherwise available, and must impose least burdensome impact on taxpayers.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

40 (BP). In application of ne bis in idem the taxpayer should only receive one audit per taxable period, except when facts that become known after the audit was completed.

*

- No changes
- Shift away
- Shift towards

40 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

41 (MS). In application of audi alteram partem, taxpayers should have the right to attend relevant meetings with tax authorities (assisted by advisors), the right to provide factual information, and to present their views before decisions of the tax authorities become fin This should apply equally to on-line meetings.	
No changes	
○ Shifted away	
○ Shifted towards	
41 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of	
(legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies wit best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary mate While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	th the
42 (MS). In application of nemo tenetur, the right to remain silent should be respected in tax audits.	all *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

42 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. 43 (BP). Tax audits should follow a pattern that is set out in published guidelines. *

43 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

Shifted away

Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

44 (BP). A manual of good practice in tax audits should be established at the global level. *

No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

45 (BP). Taxpayers should be entitled to request the start of a tax audit (to obtain finality). *

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

45 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

46 (MS). Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should inform the taxpayer	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
46 (BP). Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should hold an initial meeting with the taxpayer in which they spell out the aims and procedure, together with timescale and targets. They should then disclose any additional evidence in their possessito the taxpayer.	* on
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

47 (MS). Taxpayers should be informed of information gathering from third parties. *
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
47 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
48 (MS). For normal audits there should be a limitation period for the start of the audit; this should only be extended where information comes to light that could not reasonably have been obtained previously. Once an audit has commenced, it should be conducted with a view to achieving certainty and finality as soon as reasonable, and adequate resources should be devoted to achieving that objective.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

48 (BP). Reasonable time limits should be fixed for the conduct of audits. *
No changesShifted awayShifted towards
48 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
49 (MS). Technical assistance (including representation) should be available at all stages of * the audit by experts selected by the taxpayer. No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

49 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
50 (MS). The completion of a tax audit should be accurately reflected in a document, notified * in its full text to the taxpayer.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
50 (BP). The drafting of the final audit report should involve participation by the taxpayer, with the opportunity to correct inaccuracies of facts and to express the taxpayer's view.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

50 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a nonjudgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. 51 (MS). Once a tax audit is completed, no further evidence should be collected or included, * no further arguments brought forward by the tax authorities, and no further tax charges brought, unless in exceptional circumstances (e.g. where information comes to light that the taxpayer has concealed). No changes Shifted away Shifted towards 51 (BP). Following an audit, a report should be prepared even if the audit does not result in additional tax or refund. No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

51 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a nonjudgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes Area 5 - More intensive audits Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you. 52 (BP). More intensive audits should be limited to the extent strictly necessary to ensure an *

52 (BP). More intensive audits should be limited to the extent strictly necessary to ensure an * effective reaction to non-compliance.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

53 (MS). If there is point in an audit when it becomes foreseeable that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or criminal charge, from that time the taxpayer should have stronger protection of his right to silence, and statements from the taxpayer should not be used in the audit procedure.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

53 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

54 (MS). Entering premises should be authorised by the judiciary. Judicial supervision of * the search should be available at all times.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
54 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
55 (MS). Authorisation within the revenue authorities should only be in cases of urgency, and *
subsequently reported to the judiciary for ex-post ratification.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

55 (BP). Evidence obtained as a result of a search that was not authorised by the judiciary * should not be admissible.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
55 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
56 (MS). Inspection of the taxpayer's home should require authorisation by the judiciary and * only be given in exceptional cases.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

56 (BP). Where tax authorities intend to search the taxpayer's premises, the taxpayer should * be informed and have an opportunity to appear before the judicial authority, subject to exception where there is evidence of danger that documents will be removed or destroyed.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
56 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
57 (BP). Access to bank information for tax purposes (including automatically-supplied information) should require judicial authorisation. No changes
Shifted away Shifted towards

57 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
58 (MS). Authorisation by the judiciary should be necessary for the interception of telephone communications and monitoring of internet access.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
30 (b) 7. Opecialised offices within the judiciary should be established to supervise the	*
interception of telephone communications and monitoring of internet access.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

59 (MS). Seizure of documents or data held on computer drives should be subject to a requirement to give reasons why seizure is indispensable, and to fix the time when the documents and data will be returned; seizure should be limited in time.

documents and data will be returned; seizure should be limited in time.

No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

59 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

60 (BP). If data are held on a computer hard drive, then a backup should be made in the presence of the taxpayer's advisors and the original left with the taxpayer.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
60 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
61 (BP). If digital data is copied or removed, it should be done in a way that does not prevent or affect the normal operations of the electronic information system.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

62 (MS). Where invasive techniques are applied, they should be limited in time to avoid a disproportionate impact on taxpayers.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

62 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes No
Area 6 - Reviews and appeals
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
63 (BP). E-filing of requests for internal review to ensure the effective and speedy handling of *

63 (BP). E-filing of requests for internal review to ensure the effective and speedy handling of * the review process.

No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

63 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

64 (MS). The right to appeal should not depend upon prior exhaustion of administrative reviews.	*
No changesShifted away	
Shifted towards	
64 (BP). Taxpayers may have an alternative of taking an appeal to an arbitration tribunal in place of the tax courts.	ı *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

65 (MS). Taxpayers should have a remedy to accelerate or terminate (including through reference to mediation or ADR) reviews and appeals in cases of excessive delay.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
65 (BP). Reviews and appeals should not exceed two years. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
66 (MS). Audi alteram partem should apply in administrative reviews and judicial appeals. *
No changes
No changesShifted away

66 (BP). The review or appeal of tax decisions should not place on the taxpayer an excessive or impossible burden of evidence. This should apply, in particular, where the burden is on the taxpayer to prove a negative (e.g. to prove the absence of motive) or to prove facts that occurred significantly in the past (e.g. more than 10 years previously).	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email:	

67 (BP). An appeal should not require prior payment of tax in all cases. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
67 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
68 (BP). The state should bear some or all of the costs of an appeal, whatever the outcome. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
00 (0) 0
68 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts.
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials.

While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are

welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

69 (MS). Legal assistance should be provided for those taxpayers who cannot afford it. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
69 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
70 (MS). Taxpayers should have the right to request the exclusion of the public from a tax * appeal hearing.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

70 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a nonjudgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. 71 (MS). Taxpayers should have the right to request an online hearing or to object to an online hearing. No changes Shifted away Shifted towards 71 (MS). Tax judgments should be published. * No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

71 (BP). If tax judgments are published, the taxpayer should be able to ensure anonymity * (or at least the removal of confidential information).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
71 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the
best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 7 - Criminal and administrative sanctions

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)

an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

72 (MS). Proportionality and ne bis in idem should apply to tax penalties. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
72 (BP). The cumulative effect of penalties, interest and surcharges should not exceed the * amount of tax due (and should only reach this amount in cases of the most serious violations).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
72 (S) Summary of relevant facts in 2024

73 (BP). Where administrative and criminal sanctions may both apply, only one procedure * and one sanction should be applied.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
73 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
74 (BP). Voluntary disclosure should lead to reduction of penalties. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

75 (MS). Sanctions should not be increased simply to encourage taxpayers to make voluntary disclosures.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

75 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
○ Yes
No
Area 8 - Enforcement of taxes
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
76 (MS). Collection of taxes should never deprive taxpayers of their minimum necessary for *

76 (MS). Collection of taxes should never deprive taxpayers of their minimum necessary for * living.

No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

76 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

77 (BP). Authorisation by the judiciary should be required before seizing assets or bank accounts
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
77 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
78 (MS). Taxpayers should have the right to request delayed payment of arrears. *
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

79 (BP). Bankruptcy of taxpayers should be avoided, by partial remission of the debt or structured plans for deferred payment.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

79 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

80 (MS). Temporary suspension of tax enforcement should follow natural disasters. * Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
Do you want to gave your regults and quit? *
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 9 - Cross-border situations

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated.

Thank you.	
81 (MS). The requesting state should notify the taxpayer of cross-border requests for information, unless it has specific grounds for considering that this would prejudice the process of investigation. The requested state should inform the taxpayer unless it has a reasoned request from the requesting state that the taxpayer should not be informed on grounds that it would prejudice the investigation.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
81 (BP). The taxpayer should be informed that a cross-border request for information is to be * made.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
81 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts	

82 (MS). The taxpayer should have a right to bring a legal challenge to test the legality of the request for exchange of information.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
82 (BP). Where a cross-border request for information is made, the requested state should also be asked to supply information that assists the taxpayer.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
82 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	

83 (BP). Provisions should be included in tax treaties setting specific conditions for exchange * of information.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
83 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
84 (MS). If information is sought from third parties, judicial authorisation should be necessary and the third party should have a right to bring a legal challenge to test the legality of the request for exchange of information (on the same grounds as the taxpayer).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

85 (MS). In the case of exchange of information on request, the taxpayer should be given access to information received by the requesting state (unless there are good justifications for not doing so).

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

85 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

86 (BP). Information should not be supplied in response to a request where the originating * cause was the acquisition of stolen or illegally obtained information.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
86 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
87 (BP). A requesting state should provide confirmation of confidentiality to the requested * state.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

88 (MS). A state should not be entitled to receive information if it is unable to provide independent, verifiable evidence that it observes high standards of data protection.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

88 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

89 (MS). In the event of a leak of confidential information or data held by the tax authority of * a requesting state, all exchange of information with that state should be suspended until verifiable evidence has been provided that the cause of the leak has been permanently rectified.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
89 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
90 (MS). Data protection safeguards should apply to all exchanges of information. *
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

90 (BP). For automatic exchange of financial information, the taxpayer should be notified of * the proposed exchange in sufficient time to exercise data protection rights.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
90 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
91 (MS). The taxpayer should be notified of an exchange of information and given sufficient * time to exercise data protection rights (including the right to correct inaccurate data).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

92 (MS). Time limits should apply to the retention of data that is exchanged (and the data should be destroyed or anonymously archived within this time limit).

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

92 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

93 (MS). No exchange of information should be permitted with respect to any state if it is reasonably foreseeable that the recipient state will use the data in a way that is repressive that would undermine the protection of fundamental rights.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
93 (BP). No exchange of information should be permitted with respect to any state if tha state does not guarantee adequate data protection in its law and in practice.	t *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
93 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	

94 (MS). Taxpayers should have a right to request initiation of mutual agreement procedure. *	
No changes	
Shifted away	
○ Shifted towards	
94. (BP). Where mutual agreement procedure (or arbitration following mutual agreement procedure) reaches a solution or fails to reach a solution, the taxpayer should be given a statement of reasons how that solution was reached (or why no solution was reached).	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
94 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	

95 (MS). Taxpayers should have a right to participate in mutual agreement procedure by being heard and being informed as to the progress of the procedure.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
95 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 10 - Legislation

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

96 (MS). Retrospective tax legislation should only be permitted in limited circumstances which are spelt out in detail (and that respect the rule of law and the principle of legitimate expectation).	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
96 (BP). Retrospective tax legislation should ideally be banned completely. *	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

97 (BP). Public consultation should precede the making of tax policy and tax law. *
No changesShifted awayShifted towards
97 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
98 (MS). All tax legislation should be reviewed on a regular basis to ensure that it supports * the gradual realisation of the rights set out in the International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural rights.
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

99 (MS). All tax legislation should be reviewed on a regular basis to ensure that it is consistent with the realisation of the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

*

- No changes.
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

99 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 11 - Revenue practice and guidance
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
100 (MS). Taxpayers should be entitled to access all relevant legal material, comprising * legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

101 (MS). Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

101 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

102 (MS). Where a state has a system of advance rulings, they should be binding on the tax * authorities (unless based on an incorrect presentation of the relevant circumstances).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
102 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
103 (MS). Where a taxpayer relies upon published guidance of a revenue authority which subsequently proves to be inaccurate, changes should apply only prospectively.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

() Yes

No

Area 12 - Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers' rights

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

104 (MS). Adoption of a charter or statement of taxpayers' rights should be a minimum standard.	*
No changesShifted away	
Shifted towards	
104 (BP). A separate statement of taxpayers' rights under audit should be provided to taxpayers who are audited.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

105 (BP). A charter or statement of taxpayers' rights should be legally enforceable. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
106 (BP). A taxpayer advocate or ombudsman should be established to scrutinise the operations of the tax authority, handle specific complaints, and intervene in appropriate cases. Best practice is the establishment of a separate office within the tax authority but independent from normal operations of that authority.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

107 (BP). The organisational structure for the protection of taxpayers' rights should operate at local level as well as nationally.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

107 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
O Yes
No
Area 13 - Artificial intelligence / Automated analytical systems
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
108 (MS). All taxpayers who are subject to a tax compliance procedure that involves artificial * intelligence or automated analytical systems should be informed that such procedures will be applied.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Do you want to save your results and quit?*

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Taxpayers are not necessarily notified specifically of the use of procedures that involve artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems. Procedures that involve artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems are generally not treated differently from other procedures and must comply with general administrative law and data protection law. In this sense, tax procedure and the rights of the taxpayer are not affected by use of artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems. Principles under general administrative law such as necessity, proportionality and relevance still apply, and personal data must be processed in accordance with the GDPR. See below under No. 110 (MS).

In practice the Danish Customs and Tax Administration collects and processes vast amounts of data, including personal data, to exercise its official authority to control, assess and determine the tax liability of taxpayers. Since the processing of personal data takes place in the exercise of the Tax Administration's official authority, the legal basis is most often art. 6 (1) (e) of the GDPR. The collection and processing of data are based on statute law, particularly the Tax Control Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/12) and the Tax Reporting Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/15). In practice this often entails that notification of the taxpayer under art. 13 and 14 of the GDPR is not provided for separately, because the taxpayer already has been notified and/or because the processing of data follows from provisions expressly laid down in Danish law to which the Tax Administration is subject and is considered to provide appropriate measures to protect the taxpayer's legitimate interests, see GDPR art. 14 (5) (b).

Sec. 67 a of the Tax Control Act allows collection and processing of data, including personal data, to develop digital solutions based on artificial intelligence and automated analytical systems.

The Tax Administration's general notification of taxpayers under GDPR includes information both on automated decisions and the processing of personal data for development of digital solutions based on artificial intelligence and automated analytical systems (https://skat.dk/sikkerhed/privatlivspolitik-og-cookies/personoplysninger/generelt-om-skattestyrelsens-behandling-af-personoplysninger).

109 (MS). All communications between a tax authority and a taxpayer that employ artificial * intelligence / automated analytical systems (e.g. via "chatbots" or automated correspondence) should state whether the tax authority is represented only by a machine or whether there is (or has been) human intervention.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
109 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you. To our knowledge no Danish tax authorities currently operate automated chatbots or the like based on artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems in direct communication with taxpayers.
110 (MS). Where any decision relating to tax administration has been taken in respect of a * taxpayer by the use of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems, the taxpayer should be informed of that fact together with basic details of the procedure that has been applied.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

110 (BP). Where any decision relating to tax administration has been taken in respect of a taxpayer by the use of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems, the taxpayer should be given full details of the criteria and algorithms that were used to reach that decision.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

As mentioned above under No. 108 (MS), procedures that involve the use of artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems are not treated differently from other procedures and must be in accordance with e.g. general administrative law and data protection law. This also applies to decisions made by artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems. Decisions must be made in accordance with e.g. the right to be heard and be reasoned, whether it is automated decisions or not. In practice this means that the taxpayer is still heard before the making of the decision receiving a statement of claim and factual relevant circumstances, even if the decision is made by an automated analytical system. Thus, the taxpayer may not in practice be specifically informed of the fact that the decision will be made by automated analytical system or the technical details of the automated analytical system, but the taxpayer will receive the same information and is able to exercise the rights as if the decision was taken by a natural person.

Full details regarding the criteria and algorithms are not disclosed to the taxpayer as the taxpayer is not informed of technical details of the artificial intelligence or automated analytical system, see also above. The taxpayer will for example not be made aware of the specific source code of the algorithms. The taxpayer has the right under sec. 12 (1) of the Access to Public Administration Files Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2020/145) to receive a description of the data in a data base, and to be informed about the basis for the data as well as about the formats used by the data base – called a data description – but not of the source code.

111 (BP). Tax authorities should publish details of the types of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems employed by the revenue authority with specific details about the purposes for which the artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems are being used.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
111 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If	-

nether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

To some extent the Danish Tax and Customs Administration publishes details of certain automated analytical systems. This is the case regarding the system for property valuation (https://www.vurderingsportalen.dk/ejerbolig/vurdering/). Details include the types of data collected such as basic information on the property itself, planning regulations, distance to water, railroads, and many other factors. The details also include a basic explanation of the more statistical elements of the automated analytical system and the influence of the factors in the valuation. Details of other digital solutions employing artificial intelligence or automated analytical systems, particularly systems used internally in the Tax Administration for screening, risk assessment and selection of taxpayers for audit, are not made public.

112 (BP). Where a system exists for voluntary registration of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems tools or algorithms the tax authority should register all such tools and algorithms it employs.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
113 (MS). No decisions that may have a significant impact on a taxpayer may be taken * exclusively by artificial intelligence/automated analytical systems. All decisions affecting a taxpayer should be overseen by a suitably qualified individual before the decision is notified. This applies both to decisions by the tax authorities and by judicial authorities.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
113 (BP). No decisions impacting a taxpayer should be taken exclusively by artificial * intelligence / automated analytical systems. All decisions affecting a taxpayer should be overseen by a suitably qualified individual before the decision is notified. This applies both to decisions by the tax authorities (in connection with audits and reviews) and by judicial authorities.
No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

The Tax and Customs Administration has long been making decisions exclusively by automated analytical systems. This includes millions of tax assessments every year. For example, most ordinary wage earners receive a fully automated decision on their tax liability every year based on extensive third party reporting. As mentioned, decisions on property valuation are to a large extent fully automated on the basis of an automated analytical systems. Fully automated decisions based on artificial intelligence are yet to come. Automated decisions are not overseen by individuals in the Tax Administration. If overseen by an individual, the decision is no longer fully automated and the decision is made by the individual and not the artificial intelligence or automated analytical system. Again, the procedure and decisions must meet the same requirements regardless of whether the decisions are made fully automated or not.

114 (MS). When an audit (or a more intense audit) employs any material generated by artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems, the material generated should be made available to taxpayers and their advisers, together with an explanation of how the material was derived by artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems. The taxpayer's legal remedies should be effective against unlawful or inaccurate use of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems.

No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

114 (BP). Where artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems are to be employed by * a tax authority (e.g. to identify under-declarations or evasion of tax), any taxpayers who may be impacted (which may include all taxpayers) should be given prior warning of the proposed action and given an opportunity to make voluntary disclosure (without any additional potential penalty).	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
114 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
115 (MS). All revenue authorities should publish guidance notes explaining the ways in which they use artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems in connection with tax compliance and administration, together with guidelines for the use of those procedures and points of contact for taxpayers who have questions or concerns about those procedures.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

116 (MS). Algorithms used by tax authorities should not use criteria that are foreseeably likely to have a discriminatory or distortive or disproportionate effect on the decisions taken as a consequence of the use of those algorithms.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

116 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2024

117 (MS). Where the use of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems by a tax authority risks infringing any fundamental rights (e.g. the right to privacy) additional safeguards for those should be required.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
118 (MS). All tax administrations should appoint a senior official with overriding responsibility * for the use of artificial intelligence / automated analytical systems in tax administration by that tax authority.
No changes
○ Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Dear Cristian San Felipe Maestre,

Thank you.

As requested, we have submitted the Danish report online.

A few comments to the online submission:

Questionnaire 1 (Country Practice)

Regarding ne bis in idem, legal privilege and risk of self-incrimination we kindly refer you to our earlier submissions of 2019-2023 as these principles have not changed.

The answer provided to No. 56 on the principle of ne bis in idem is a "The principle does not apply in my country". This answer on-line is necessary due to the set-up of the electronic questionnaire. The principle of ne bis in idem is indeed generally applicable in Denmark, but the situations mentioned in the questionnaire will not arise since there is no legal basis for administrative sanctions (tax penalties) in Danish tax cases (but only for the collection of the tax with interest). Criminal sanctions may be applied in case of deliberate or grossly negligent violations.

Questionnaire 2 (Standards of Protection)

No. 35-36

Legal privilege is not based on legislation but is respected in practice. Legal privilege extends only to lawyers. We kindly refer you to our earlier submissions, see above.

No. 80

Suspension of enforcement under sec. 3 (3) of the Debt Collection Act (https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/1063):

"Stk. 3. Restanceinddrivelsesmyndigheden kan som led i inddrivelsen tillade afdragsvis betaling eller henstand med betalingen, medmindre andet følger af bilag 1." Unauthorised English translation:

"Subsection 3. The authority can allow for payment in installments or suspension of payment, unless otherwise stated in annex 1."

Further provision on suspension of enforcement can be found in sec. 12 of Regulation No. 1110 25th October 2024 on Debt Collection

(https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lta/2024/1110):

"§ 12. Restanceinddrivelsesmyndigheden kan bevilge henstand, når særlige omstændigheder taler derfor."

Unauthorised English translation:

"Section 12. The authority can allow for suspension, when special circumstances warrant this."

The answers provided to No. 10 and No. 108 to No. 113 is indicated to "No changes". Even though the overall assessment is no change, we have submitted brief comments regarding some of the questions which may be of interest.

If anything is unclear or you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get back to us.

Best regards,

Henrik Peytz and Henrik Klitz