

Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights

Below you will find a questionnaire filled in Zhiyong Zhang, Retired Tax Authority at the *State Administration of Taxation* and OPTR National Reporter of China.

This set of questionnaires comprises the National Reporter's assessment of the country's practice during 2023 in protecting taxpayers' rights and the level of fulfillment of the minimum standards and best practices on the practical protection of taxpayers' rights identified by Prof. Dr. Philip Baker and Prof. Dr. Pasquale Pistone at the 2015 IFA Congress on "The Practical Protection of Taxpayers' Fundamental Rights."

OPTR - 2023 Questionnaire 1 - Country Practice

Dear National Reporter,

I would like to thank you for your participation in the IBFD's Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights (OPTR).

This form collects the information on the practical implementation in domestic law of legal procedures, safeguards and guarantees associated with taxpayers' rights in 82 situations for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights, as monitored by the IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

We kindly ask you to assess assertively (yes/no) the level of practical implementation of said procedures, safeguards and guarantees associated with taxpayers' rights in your country. When answering, please bear in mind the actual practice regarding each situation, regardless of whether a given procedure, safeguard or guarantee has been formally adopted in your country.

We would be very grateful if you submit us this questionnaire, duly filled out, by no later than 12 January 2024.

Feel free to contact us for any clarification you may need. We look forward to your valuable contribution to this remarkable project.

Kind regards,

Dr Alessandro Turina Scientific Coordinator IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

zhangzym2008@sina.com

^{*} Better if filled in using Google Chrome © or Mozilla Firefox ©

Reporters' info
Name: *
ZHANG Zhiyong
Country: *
China
Affiliation *
Taxpayers / Tax Practitioners
Tax Administration
Judiciary
Tax) Ombudsperson
Academia
Other:

Questionnaire 1 - Country Practice

Instructions:

- 1. Please answer all questions. The form will not allow you to continue/submit your responses until you have answered all questions.
- 2. For assertive questions, please answer with "yes" or "no" by clicking on the corresponding button.
- 3. For questions that require you to specify a period of time (namely, Q. 26 and Q. 45), please select the time applicable in your country to carry out the procedures indicated in the questions in practice, within the options provided.

4. For questions with more than one possible answer (namely, Q. 56), please check all necessary boxes to reflect better the practical situation of your country regarding the issue, by clicking on them.
5. When completed, please submit the survey.
6. Once you have submitted the survey, you will receive an email acknowledging your participation in the OPTR and providing a backup of your answers.

- 7. The email will also include an "edit your survey" link, in case you want to modify any of your answers. You will receive this email every time you submit partial responses.
- 8. An option to quit the survey and save your answers is provided at the end of each section.
- 9. If answering partially, please select "Yes" at the end of the section in which you are to submit your partial answers to the survey. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this survey.
- 10. For editing your answers, please use the last "edit your response" link provided to you via email. Please bear in mind that this is the only way the system will acknowledge your previous answers. If you use a link other than the last one provided, some (or all) changes might not be retrieved by the system.
- 11. When clicking on the last "edit your response" link, the system will lead you to the front page of the survey. Click on "Next" as many times as needed to get to the section you want to continue in. Once you have reached said section, please remember to change your answer to the question "Do you want to save your results and quit?" to "No", in order to be able to continue.

Area 1 - Identification of taxpayers, issuing tax returns and communicating with taxpayers

1. Do taxpayers have the right to see the information held about them by the tax authority? *	
YesNo	

2. If yes, can they request the correction of errors in the information? *	
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to the previous question)	
Yes	
○ No	
3. Is it possible in your country for taxpayers to communicate electronically with the tax authority?	*
Yes	
○ No	
4. If yes, are there systems in place to prevent unauthorised access to the channel of communication?	*
Yes	
O No	
5. In your country, is there a system of "cooperative compliance" / "enhanced relationship"which applies to some taxpayers only?	*
Yes	
163	

6. If yes, are there rules or procedures in place to ensure this system is available to all eligible taxpayers on a non-preferential/non discriminatory/non arbitrary basis?	
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 5)	
Yes	
○ No	
7. Are there special arrangements for individuals who face particular difficulties (e.g. the disabled, the elderly, other special cases) to receive assistance in complying with their tax obligations?	
Yes	
○ No	
Do you want to save your results and quit? *	
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.	
Yes	
No	

Area 2 - The issue of tax assessment

8. Does a dialogue take place in your country between the taxpayer and the tax authority before the issue of an assessment in order to reach an agreed assessment?
Yes
O No
9. If yes, can the taxpayer request a meeting with the tax officer? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 8)
Yes
O No
10. If a systematic error in the assessment of tax comes to light (e.g. the tax authority loses a * tax case and it is clear that tax has been collected on a wrong basis), does the tax authority act ex officio to notify all affected taxpayers and arrange repayments to them?
Yes
○ No

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 3 - Confidentiality
11. Is information held by your tax authority automatically encrypted? *
Yes
○ No
12. Is access to information held by the tax authority about a specific taxpayer accessible only to the tax official(s) dealing with that taxpayer's affairs?
Yes
○ No

13. If yes, must the tax official identify himself/herself before accessing information held about a specific taxpayer?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 12)	
Yes	
O No	
14. Is access to information held about a taxpayer audited internally to check if there has been any unauthorised access to that information?	*
Yes	
O No	
15. Are there examples of tax officials who have been criminally prosecuted in the last decade for unauthorised access to taxpayers' data?	*
Yes	
No	
16. Is information about the tax liability of specific taxpayers publicly available in your country?	*
Yes	
○ No	

17. Is "naming and shaming" of non-compliant taxpayers practised in your country? *
Yes
○ No
18. Is there a system in your country by which the courts may authorise the public disclosure * of information held by the tax authority about specific taxpayers (e.g. habeas data or freedom of information?
Yes
No
19. Is there a system of protection of legally privileged communications between the taxpayer * and its advisors?
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Yes
○ No

20. If yes, does this extend to advisors other than those who are legally qualified (e.g. *accountants, tax advisors)?
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 19)
Yes
O No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 4 - Normal audits
21. Does the principle ne bis in idem apply to tax audits (i.e. that the taxpayer can only receive one audit in respect of the same taxable period)?
Yes
O No

22. If yes, does this mean only one audit per tax per year? *	
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 21)YesNo	
 23. Does the principle audi alteram partem apply in the tax audit process (i.e. does the taxpayer have to be notified of all decisions taken in the process and have the right to object and be heard before the decision is finalised)? Yes No 	*
 24. Does the taxpayer have the right to request an audit (e.g. if the taxpayer wishes to get finality of taxation for a particular year)? Yes No 	*
25. Are there time limits applicable to the conduct of a normal audit in your country (e.g. the audit must be concluded within so many months? O Yes No	*

26. If yes, what is the normal limit in months? *
1-3 months The state of the
27. Does the taxpayer have the right to be represented by a person of its choice in the audit * process?
Yes
○ No
28. May the opinion of independent experts be used in the audit process? *
Yes
○ No
29. Does the taxpayer have the right to receive a full report on the conclusions of the audit at * the end of the process?
Yes
O No

30. Are there limits to the frequency of audits of the same taxpayer (e.g. in respect to different periods or different taxes)?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 5 - More intensive audits
31. Is the principle nemo tenetur applied in tax investigations (i.e. the principle against self- * incrimination?
Yes
No

32. If yes, is there a restriction on the use of information supplied by the taxpayer in a subsequent penalty procedure/criminal procedure?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 31)	
Yes	
○ No	
33. If yes to nemo tenetur, can the taxpayer raise this principle to refuse to supply basic accounting information to the tax authority?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 31)	
○ Yes	
○ No	
34. Is there a procedure applied in your country to identify a point in time during an investigation when it becomes likely that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or a criminal charge, and from that time onwards the taxpayer's right not to self-incriminate is recognised?	*
Yes	
No	

35. If yes, is there a requirement to give the taxpayer a warning that the taxpayer can rely on * the right of non-self-incrimination?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 34)
Yes
O No
36. Is authorisation by a court always needed before the tax authority may enter and search * premises?
Yes
No
37. May the tax authority enter and search the dwelling places of individuals? *
Yes
● No
38. Is a court order required before the tax authority can use interception of communications * (e.g. telephone tapping or access to electronic communications)?
Yes
No

39. Is there a procedure in place to ensure that legally privileged material is not taken in the *course of a search?
Yes
No
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 6 - Reviews and appeals
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
40. Is there a procedure for an internal review of an assessment/decision before the taxpayer * appeals to the judiciary?
Yes
O No

41. Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the first instance tribunal? *
Yes
No
42. Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the second or higher instance tribunals? *
Yes
No
43. Is it necessary for the taxpayer to bring his case first before an administrative court to quash the assessment/decision, before the case can proceed to a judicial hearing?
Yes
No
44. Are there time limits applicable for a tax case to complete the judicial appeal process? *
Yes
○ No

45. If yes, what is the normal time it takes for a tax case to be concluded on appeal? *
1-3 months The state of the s
46. Are there any arrangements for alternative dispute resolution (e.g. mediation or arbitration) before a tax case proceeds to the judiciary?
Yes
○ No
47. Is there a system for the simplified resolution of tax disputes (e.g. by a determination on * the file, or by e/filing)?
Yes
○ No
48. Is the principle audi alteram partem (i.e. each party has a right to a hearing) applied in all * tax appeals?
Yes
○ No

49. Does the taxpayer have to pay some/all the tax before an appeal can be made (i.e. solve * et repete)?
Yes
O No
50. If yes, are there exceptions recognised where the taxpayer does not need to pay before * appealing (i.e. can obtain an interim suspension of the tax debt?)
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 49)
Yes
No
51. Does the loser have to pay the costs in a tax appeal? *
Yes
○ No

52. If yes, are there situations recognised where the loser does not need to pay the costs (e.g. because of the conduct of the other party)?
 Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 51) Yes No
 53. If there is usually a public hearing, can the taxpayer request a hearing in camera (i.e. not * in public) to preserve secrecy/confidentiality? Yes No
54. Are judgments of tax tribunals published? * Yes No
 55. If yes, can the taxpayer preserve its anonymity in the judgment? * Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 54) Yes No

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 7 - Criminal and administrative sanctions
56. Does the principle ne bis in idem apply in your country to prevent either: *
The principle does not apply in my country
The imposition of a tax penalty and the tax liability
The imposition of more than one tax penalty for the same conduct
The imposition of a tax penalty and a criminal liability
57. If ne bis in idem is recognised, does this prevent two parallel sets of court proceedings * arising from the same factual circumstances (e.g. a tax court and a criminal court)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 56)
Yes
○ No

58. If the taxpayer makes a voluntary disclosure of a tax liability, can this result in a reduced * or a zero penalty?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 8 - Enforcement of taxes
59. Is a court order always necessary before the tax authorities can access a taxpayer's bank * account or other assets?
Yes
No

60. Does the taxpayer have the right to request a deferred payment of taxes or a payment in * instalments (perhaps with a guarantee)?
Yes
O No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 9 - Cross-border situations
61. Does the taxpayer have the right to be informed before information relating to him is exchanged in response to a specific request?
Yes
O No

62. Does the taxpayer have a right to be informed before information is sought from third parties in response to a specific request for exchange of information?	*
Yes	
O No	
63. If no to either of the previous two questions, did your country previously recognise the right of taxpayers to be informed and was such right removed in the context of the peer review by the Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information?	*
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to either question 61 or question 62)	
Yes	
O No	
64. Does the taxpayer have the right to be heard by the tax authority before the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	*
Yes	
O No	

65. Does the taxpayer have the right to challenge before the judiciary the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	¢
Yes	
O No	
66. Does the taxpayer have the right to see any information received from another country * that relates to him?	r
Yes	
O No	
67. Does the taxpayer have the right in all cases to require a mutual agreement procedure is *initiated?	r
Yes	
○ No	
68. Does the taxpayer have a right to see the communications exchanged in the context of a *mutual agreement procedure?	r
O Yes	
No	

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 10 - Legislation
69. Is there a prohibition on retrospective tax legislation in your country? *
Yes
No
70. If no, are there restrictions on the adoption of retrospective tax legislation in your country?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "Yes" to question 69)
Yes
○ No

71. Is there a procedure in your country for public consultation before the adopting of all (or * most) tax legislation?
Yes
O No
72. Is tax legislation subject to constitutional review which can strike down unconstitutional * laws?
Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No

Area 11 - Revenue practice and guidance

73. Does the tax authority in your country publish guidance (e.g. revenue manuals, circulars etc.) as to how it applies your tax law?	S, *
Yes	
O No	
74. Does your country have a generalised system of advanced rulings available to taxpayers?	*
Yes	
No	
75. If yes, is it legally binding? *	
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 74)	
Yes	
○ No	
76. If a binding ruling is refused, does the taxpayer have a right to appeal? *	
Yes	
○ No	

77. If your country publishes guidance as to how it applies your tax law, can taxpayers acting * in good faith rely on that published guidance (i.e. protection of legitimate expectations)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 76)
○ Yes
○ No
Do you want to save your results and quit? *
If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.
Yes
No
Area 12 - Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers' rights
78. Is there a taxpayers' charter or taxpayers' bill of rights in your country? *
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Yes
○ No

79. If yes, are its provisions legally effective? * Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to the previous question)
Yes
○ No
80. Is there a (tax) ombudsman / taxpayers' advocate / equivalent position in your country? *
O Yes
No
81. If yes, can the ombudsman intervene in an on-going dispute between the taxpayer and the tax authority (before it goes to court)?
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 80)
○ Yes
○ No

82. If yes to a (tax) ombudsman, is he/she independent from the tax authority? *
Not applicable (click here if you answered "No" to question 80)
○ Yes
O No

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

OPTR - 2023 Questionnaire 2 - Standards of Protection

Dear National Reporter,

I would like to thank you for your participation in the IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights (OPTR).

This form collects the information on developments occurred in 2023 regarding the implementation of 57 minimum standards and 44 best practices, distributed into 86 benchmarks, for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights as monitored by the OPTR.

We kindly ask you to provide an impartial, non-judgmental summary of events occurred in 2023 that in your opinion affect the level of compliance of a given minimum standard/best practice in your country. These events may include, without limitation, legislation enacted, administrative rulings and/or circulars issued, case law and tax administration practices implemented, among others, as requested by this form.

In ALL cases back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials, and provide full details for identifying the documents related to the reported developments. Either a (soft) copy or internet links to make said documents available (and therefore, quotable) are greatly appreciated.

You are also kindly required to assess whether the events you described represent either a step towards or a step away from the practical implementation of the given minimum standard/best practice in your country. Full instructions are provided below.

This form should be filled in as soon as any of the events mentioned above occurs and edited as many times as necessary to cover all relevant developments occurred in 2023, until no later than 12 January 2024. We appreciate very much your cooperation in this regard.

Feel free to contact us for any clarification you may need. We look forward to your valuable contribution to this remarkable project.

Kind regards,

Dr Alessandro Turina Scientific Coordinator IBFD Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights.

^{*} Better if filled in using Google Chrome © or Mozilla Firefox ©

Email * zhangzym2008@sina.com
Reporters' info
Name: * ZHANG Zhiyong
Country: * China
Affiliation * Taxpayers / Tax Practitioners Tax Administration Judiciary (Tax) Ombudsperson Academia Other:

Instructions

1. Please answer all questions. The form will not allow you to continue/submit your responses until you

have answered all questions.

- 2. All questions are two or three-tiered (namely, either with parts "MS" and/or "BP", and "S"). They comprise a minimum standard (MS) and /or a best practice (BP), and a "summary of relevant facts in 2023" (S). The latter is a space for providing a summarized account on facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way.
- 3. Please Indicate, by clicking on the corresponding button, whether there was an improvement or a decrease of the level of compliance of the relevant standard/best practice in your country in 2023. If there were no changes, please indicate so by clicking on the corresponding button.
- 4. In ALL cases where an assessment of either improvement or decrease is reported, please refer the relevant novelties in the space provided under "summary of relevant facts in 2023", for each question. Please give a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. In case there is nothing to report for a given minimum standard/best practice, please answer "no changes".
- 5. If any, make additional, non-judgmental commentaries at the space provided under "summary of relevant facts in 2023".
- 6. In ALL cases back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcomed to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org.
- 7. When completed, please submit the survey.
- 8. Once you have submitted the survey, you will receive an email acknowledging your participation in the OPTR and providing a backup of your answers.
- 9. The email will also include an "edit your survey" link, in case you want to modify any of your answers. You will receive this email every time you submit partial responses.
- 10. An option to quit the survey and save your answers is provided at the end of each section. This survey has 12 sections, as many as those identified by Baker and Pistone in their 2015 IFA General Report.
- 11. If answering partially, please select "Yes" at the end of the section in which you are to submit your partial answers to the survey. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this survey.
- 12. For editing your answers, please use the last "edit your response" link provided to you via email. Please bear in mind that this is the only way the system will acknowledge your previous answers. If you use a link other than the last one provided, some (or all) changes might not be retrieved by the system.
- 13. When clicking on the last "edit your response" link, the system will lead you to the front page of the survey. Click on "Next" as many times as needed to get to the section you want to continue in. Once you

have reached said section, please remember to change your answer to the question "Do you want to save your results and quit?" to "No", in order to be able to continue.

Area 1 - Identification of taxpayers, issuing tax returns and communicating with taxpayers

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

1 (MS). Implement safeguards to prevent impersonation when issuing a unique identification * number

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

1 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

2 (MS). The system of taxpayer identification should take account of religious sensitivities *
No changesShifted awayShifted towards
2 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
3 (MS). Impose obligations of confidentiality on third parties with respect to information gathered by them for tax purposes No changes Shifted away Shifted towards

3 (BP). Where tax is withheld by third parties, the taxpayer should be excluded from liability if \star the third party fails to pay over the tax
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
0 (0) 0
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
4 (MS). Where pre/populated returns are used, these should be sent to taxpayers to correct * errors.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

itary materials. You are
ut them, and a *
inaccuracies *

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

6 (MS). Where communication with taxpayers is in electronic form, institute systems to prevent impersonation or interception

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

6 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

7 (MS). Where a system of "cooperative compliance" operates, ensure it is available on a *non-discriminatory and voluntary basis
O No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
7 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
On a voluntary basis, tax compliance cooperation agreements are concluded with large enterprises that have been assessed to have a high degree of tax compliance. China complied with the minimum standard. Tax compliance cooperation agreements are not available for public, we can't provide a document.
8 (MS). Provide assistance for those who face difficulties in meeting compliance obligations, * including those with disabilities, those located in remote areas, and those unable or unwilling to use electronic forms of communication
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

8 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to

Area 2 - The issue of tax assessment

Yes

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

9 (BP). Establish a constructive dialogue between taxpayers and revenue authorities to ensure a fair assessment of taxes based on equality of arms	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
9 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are	
welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
10 (BP). Use e-filing to speed up assessments and correction of errors, particularly systematic errors	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to

be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to

Yes

N

Area 3 - Confidentiality

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

11 (MS). Provide a specific legal guarantee for confidentiality, with sanctions for officials who * make unauthorised disclosures (and ensure sanctions are enforced).
No changesShifted awayShifted towards
11 (BP). Encrypt information held by a tax authority about taxpayers to the highest level * attainable.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
11 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.

12 (MS). Introduce an offence for tax officials covering up unauthorised disclosure of confidential information.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
12 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts
(legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
13 (MS). Restrict access to data to those officials authorised to consult it. For encrypted data, * use digital access codes.
O No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

13 (BP). Ensure an effective fire-wall to prevent unauthorised access to data held by revenue * authorities.
O No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optrological.org. Thank you.

The STA has been strengthening its data security management through the strict implementation of protocols of computer authorization, password accessing, double verification requirement, data permission configuration, data security audit and data traces processing etc., with an aim to formulate strict and effective measures on monitoring data security and elevate the data security standard. At present, in accordance with the Data Security Law and Personal Information Protection Law of PRC, the STA has been strengthening its data security management through the strict implementation of protocols of computer authorization, password accessing, double verification requirement, data permission configuration, data security audit and data traces processing etc., with an aim to formulate strict and effective measures on monitoring data security and elevate the data security standard. The STA has also deployed network security devices such as fire-walls, WAFs, intrusion detection, and traffic monitoring at network boundaries and important network nodes to prevent unauthorized data access. China complied with the minimum standard and adopted the best practice.

14 (MS). Audit data access periodically to identify cases of unauthorised access. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
15 (MS). Introduce administrative measures emphasizing confidentiality to tax officials. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

15 (BP). Appoint data protection/privacy officers at senior level and local tax offices. *
No changesShifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
16 (MS). If a breach of confidentiality occurs, investigate fully with an appropriate level of seniority by independent persons (e.g. judges).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

17 (MS). Provide remedies for taxpayers who are victims of unauthorised disclosure of confidential information.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

17 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Article 25 of the Interim Measures for the Management of Tax-Related Confidential Information of Taxpayers stipulates that tax authorities at all levels shall strictly implement the system of reporting on leaks of confidential information, and shall grasp the situation of leaks of confidential information in a timely manner. If the reporting is delayed or intentionally concealed, or if it affects the timely adoption of remedial measures, the person in charge and the person in charge concerned shall be held accountable according to the seriousness of the consequences. China complied with the minimum standard.

18 (MS). Exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality should be explicitly stated in the law, * narrowly drafted and interpreted.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
18 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
19 (MS). If "naming and shaming" is employed, ensure adequate safeguards (e.g. judicial authorisation after proceedings involving the taxpayer).
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

19 (BP). Require judicial authorisation before any disclosure of confidential information by revenue authorities
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
19 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
20 (MS). No disclosure of confidential taxpayer information to politicians, or where it might be * used for political purposes.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

(BP). Parliamentary supervision of revenue authorities should involve independent ficials, subject to confidentiality obligations, examining specific taxpayer data, and then porting to Parliament.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
○ Shifted towards	
20 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
21 (MS). Freedom of information legislation may allow a taxpayer to access information * about himself. However, access to information by third parties should be subject to stringent safeguards: only if an independent tribunal concludes that the public interest in disclosure outweighs the right of confidentiality, and only after a hearing where the taxpayer has an opportunity to be heard.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
22 (MS). If published, tax rulings should be anonymised and details that might identify the taxpayer removed.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
22 (BP). Anonymize all tax judgments and remove details that might identify the taxpayer *	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

22 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: <a href="https://optrology.org/nl/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/9/</th></tr><tr><th></th></tr><tr><th>23 (MS). Legal professional privilege should apply to tax advice. *</th></tr><tr><th>Please provide separately (via <a href=" https:="" optrollowsraper.co<="" optrollowsraper.com="" th="">
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
23 (BP). Privilege from disclosure should apply to all tax advisors (not just lawyers) who supply similar advice to lawyers. Information imparted in circumstances of confidentiality may be privileged from disclosure.
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

24 (MS). Where tax authorities enter premises which may contain privileged material, arrangements should be made (e.g. an independent lawyer) to protect that privilege. Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annexe with the actual wording of relevant excerpts

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annexe with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

24 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 4 - Normal audits
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
25 (MS). Audits should respect the following principles: (i) Proportionality. (2) Ne bis in idem * (prohibition of double jeopardy). (3) Audi alteram partem (right to be heard before any decision is taken). (4) Nemo tenetur se detegere (principle against self/incrimination). Tax notices issued in violation of these principles should be null and void.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

26 (MS). In application of proportionality, tax authorities may only request for information that * is strictly needed, not otherwise available, and must impose least burdensome impact on taxpayers.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

26 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

27 (BP). In application of ne bis in idem the taxpayer should only receive one audit per taxable period, except when facts that become known after the audit was completed.	
No changes	
Shift away	
Shift towards	
27 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
28 (MS). In application of audi alteram partem, taxpayers should have the right to attend all *relevant meetings with tax authorities (assisted by advisors), the right to provide factual information, and to present their views before decisions of the tax authorities become final.	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 35 of the Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), before the end of the inspection, the inspector may inform the subject of the inspection of the facts and bases of the tax violations found. If the subject of the inspection has any objection to the facts and grounds of the violation, he/she shall provide explanations and evidential materials within the deadline. If the subject of investigation gives an oral explanation, the inspector shall make a transcript, which shall be signed by the party concerned. Article 39 stipulates that if a tax administrative penalty is to be imposed on the subject of investigation or other tax-related parties, a notice of tax administrative penalty shall be served on the subject, informing him/her of his/her rights to make statements, pleadings and request for a hearing in accordance with the law. Article 40 stipulates that the subject of investigation or other tax-related parties may make statements and pleadings in writing or orally. For the parties to make oral statements and pleadings, transcripts of the statements and pleadings shall be made, recorded truthfully, and signed by the person making the statement and the person pleading. China complied with the minimum standard. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

29 (MS). In application of nemo tenetur, the right to remain silent should be respected i tax audits.	n all *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

30 (BP). Tax audits should follow a pattern that is set out in published guidelines. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

30 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

In accordance with the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), China adopted the best practice. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021. https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

31 (BP). A manual of good practice in tax audits should be established at the global level. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html
32 (BP). Taxpayers should be entitled to request the start of a tax audit (to obtain finality). *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of fact (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a new judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary material. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.	
33 (MS). Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should inform the taxpayer	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
33 (BP). Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should hold an initial meeting with the taxpayer in which they spell out the aims and procedure, together with timescale and targets. They should then disclose any additional evidence in their posses to the taxpayer.	1
No changes	
No changesShifted away	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 59 of the Law on Tax Administration and Collection, relevant tax audit permit and tax audit notice should be shown during inspection; according to Article 22 of the Operating Protocols for Tax Audits (GuoShuiFa [2009] No. 157), prior to the commencement of a tax audit, taxpayers subject to inspection should be informed of the estimated timing and information required for the audit, except for situations where an advance notification would compromise the audit. In accordance with Article 59 of the Tax Collection and Administration Law of the People's Republic of China, when conducting an inspection, a tax inspection certificate and a notice of tax inspection shall be presented; in accordance with Article 15 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), prior to the inspection, the inspection bureau shall inform the subject of the inspection of the inspection time, the documents that need to be prepared and so on, except for advance notification of impediments to the inspection. China complied with the minimum standard and adopted the best practice.

34 (MS). Taxpayers should be informed of information gathering from third parties. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 30(2) of the Administrative Measures for the Reporting of Tax Violations (STA Order No. 24), it is strictly prohibited to disclose situations of reported case to the person being reported or any other irrelevant person. Third-party information other than this can be disclosed to taxpayers under circumstances that are in compliance with regulations. According to Article 38(2) of the Measures for the Administration of

Whistleblowing of Tax Violations (STA Order No. 49), it is strictly prohibited to disclose the situation of the whistleblowing to the whistleblower and to persons unrelated to the investigation and handling of the case, and the third -party information other than this may be communicated to taxpayers in compliance with the prescribed circumstances. In accordance with Article 47 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), the Audit Bureau shall make an administrative treatment, a penalty decision or a conclusion that there is no tax violation within 90 days from the date of filing the case. China complied with the minimum standard. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021. https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html.

35 (BP). Reasonable time limits should be fixed for the conduct of audits. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

In accordance with Article 47 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), the Audit Bureau shall make an administrative treatment, a penalty decision or a conclusion that there is no tax violation within 90 days from the date of filing the case China complied with the minimum standard. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html. China adopted the best practice. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021. https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

36 (MS). Technical assistance (including representation) should be available at all stages of the audit by experts selected by the taxpayer.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
37 (MS). The completion of a tax audit should be accurately reflected in a document, notified in its full text to the taxpayer.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
37 (BP). The drafting of the final audit report should involve participation by the taxpayer, with the opportunity to correct inaccuracies of facts and to express the taxpayer's view.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

In accordance with Article 35 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), before the end of the inspection, the inspector may inform the subject of the inspection of the facts and bases of the tax violations found. If the subject of the inspection has any objection to the facts and grounds of the violation, he/she shall provide explanations and evidential materials within the deadline. If the subject of investigation gives an oral explanation, the inspector shall make a transcript, which shall be signed by the party concerned. Article 39 stipulates that if a tax administrative penalty is to be imposed on the subject of investigation or other tax-related parties, a notice of tax administrative penalty shall be served on the subject, informing the rights to make statements, pleadings and request for a hearing in accordance with the law. Article 40 stipulates that the subject of investigation or other tax-related parties may make statements and pleadings in writing or orally. For the parties to make oral statements and pleadings, a statement and defense transcript shall be made, recorded truthfully and signed by the person making the statement and the person pleading the case. The statement and defense of the parties shall be fully heard; after review, the facts, reasons or evidence put forward by the parties shall be adopted. China complied with the minimum standard and adopted the best practice. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

38 (BP). Following an audit, a report should be prepared even if the audit does not result in additional tax or refund.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 42 of the Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No.52), if there is no tax violation after trial, a conclusion on the tax audit will be made. China adopted the best practice. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

Yes

No

Area 5 - More intensive audits

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

39 (BP). More intensive audits should be limited to the extent strictly necessary to ensure an * effective reaction to non-compliance.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
39 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
40 (MS). If there is point in an audit when it becomes foreseeable that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or criminal charge, from that time the taxpayer should have stronger protection of his right to silence, and statements from the taxpayer should not be used in the audit procedure.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

41 (MS). Entering premises or interception of communications should be authorised by the judiciary.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

41 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

42 (MS). Authorisation within the revenue authorities should only be in cases of urgency, and * subsequently reported to the judiciary for ex-post ratification.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
42 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
43 (MS). Inspection of the taxpayer's home should require authorisation by the judiciary and * only be given in exceptional cases.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

43 (BP). Where tax authorities intend to search the taxpayer's p be informed and have an opportunity to appear before the judici exception where there is evidence of danger that documents will	al authority, subject to
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
43 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023	
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax adripudgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum state best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Than	ministration practices), in a non- to other developments. If ndard or fully complies with the relevant documentary materials. sh is appreciated. You are
44 (BP). Access to bank information should require judicial auth	orisation. *
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

45 (BP). Authorisation by the judiciary should be necessary for the interception of telephone communications and monitoring of internet access. Specialised offices within the judiciary should be established to supervise these actions.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

45 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

46 (MS). Seizure of documents should be subject to a requirement to give reasons why seizure is indispensable, and to fix the time when documents will be returned; seizure should be limited in time.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
46 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
47 (BP). If data are held on a computer hard drive, then a backup should be made in the presence of the taxpayer's advisors and the original left with the taxpayer.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

For electronic books and records, China tax authorities make a copy for information collection and leave the original books and records with the taxpayers. In accordance with Article 23 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52), where it is necessary to fix the electronic data in the form of tangible carriers, the inspector shall, together with the individual who provides the electronic data, the legal representative or the person in charge of finances of the unit, or other persons authorized by the unit, copy the electronic data onto a storage medium and seal it, and also indicate the production method, time of production, the person who produces the data, the file format and the size of the files, and so forth, in the sealed package, with a note stating that "there is no error in the checking of electronic data with those recorded on the original carriers", and signing the seal of the person who provides the electronic data. China adopted the best practice. The Provisions on Procedures for Handling Tax Audit Cases (STA Order No. 52) is published in 2021.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

48 (MS). Where invasive techniques are applied, they should be limited in time to avoid a disproportionate impact on taxpayers.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

48 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

Yes

No

Area 6 - Reviews and appeals

Please provide separately (via <u>optr@ibfd.org</u>) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

49 (BP). E-filing of requests for internal review to ensure the effective and speedy handling of * the review process.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
49 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
50 (MS). The right to appeal should not depend upon prior exhaustion of administrative reviews.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 9 of Law of Administrative Appeal of the People's Republic of China (effective January 1, 2024, https://www.gov.cn/yaowen/liebiao/202309/content_6901584.htm) an application for administrative appeal should be made within 60 days upon the date of knowledge of specific administrative act. According to Article 31 of the Administrative Reconsideration Law of the People's Republic of China, the administrative reconsideration organ shall make an administrative reconsideration decision within sixty days from the date of acceptance of the application; except where the time limit for administrative reconsideration prescribed by law is less than sixty. If the situation is complicated and an administrative reconsideration decision cannot be made within the prescribed period, the administrative reconsideration organ may, with the approval of the head of the organ, extend the period appropriately and inform the applicant and the respondent; however, the extension period shall not exceed thirty days at most. According to Article 88 of the Administrative Procedure Law of the People's Republic of China, a people's court hearing an appeal shall render a final judgment within three months from the date of receipt of the appeal. If there are special circumstances requiring an extension, the Supreme People's Court shall approve it. China adopted the best practice.

52 (MS). Audi alteram partem should apply in administrative reviews and judicial appeals. *
O No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

According to Article 64 of Rules on Tax Appeal, in principle, a written review would be adopted. However, if an applicant requests or the administrative appeal department considers it as necessary, the administrative review department shall listen to the opinions from the applicant, the respondent and the third party, and may investigate the facts with the relevant organizations and personnel. According to the newly revised Administrative Review Law (effective January 1, 2024, https://www.gov.cn/yaowen/liebiao/202309/content_6901584.htm), the principle of hearing both sides applies to administrative reviews. China complied with the minimum standard.

53 (MS). Where tax must be paid in whole or in part before an appeal, there must be an effective mechanism for providing interim suspension of payment.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
53 (BP). An appeal should not require prior payment of tax in all cases. *	
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

There is a tax guarantee system in China. Taxpayers who have guaranteed by other taxpayers, do not need to pay taxes before review.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810765/n812161/201002/c1086133/content.html, Article 33. China complied with the minimum standard.

54 (BP). The state should bear some or all of the costs of an appeal, whatever the outcome. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

54 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

55 (MS). Legal assistance should be provided for those taxpayers who cannot afford it. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
55 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
56 (MS). Taxpayers should have the right to request the exclusion of the public from a tax appeal hearing.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

57 (MS). Tax judgments should be published. *

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

57 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 7 - Criminal and administrative sanctions
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
58 (MS). Proportionality and ne bis in idem should apply to tax penalties. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

59 (BP). Where administrative and criminal sanctions may both apply, only one procedure and one sanction should be applied.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

59 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

60 (BP). Voluntary disclosure should lead to reduction of penalties. *
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards
60 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
61 (MS). Sanctions should not be increased simply to encourage taxpayers to make *voluntary disclosures.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

Yes

N

Area 8 - Enforcement of taxes

Please provide separately (via <u>optr@ibfd.org</u>) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

62 (MS). Collection of taxes should never deprive taxpayers of their minimum necessary for * living.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
62 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
63 (BP). Authorisation by the judiciary should be required before seizing assets or bank accounts
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

63 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
64 (MS). Taxpayers should have the right to request delayed payment of arrears. *
No changes
Shifted away
O Similar array

Shifted towards

65 (BP). Bankruptcy of taxpayers should be avoided, by partial remission of the debt or structured plans for deferred payment.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
65 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts
(legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
66 (MS). Temporary suspension of tax enforcement should follow natural disasters. *
Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org) an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Do you want to save your results and quit? *

If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue.

Yes

N

Area 9 - Cross-border situations

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

68 (BP). Where a cross-border request for information is made, the requested state should * also be asked to supply information that assists the taxpayer.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
68 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
69 (BP). Provisions should be included in tax treaties setting specific conditions for exchange * of information.
No changes
Shifted away
○ Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

70 (MS). If information is sought from third parties, judicial authorisation should be necessary.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

70 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

71 (BP). The taxpayer should be given access to information received by the requesting state.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optrological.org. Thank you.

Taxpayers may apply for access to information that is in the exclusive possession of the tax authorities and can be made available to the public in accordance with the provisions of the Measures for the Administration of Tax-Related Information Queries , as well as tax information that helps taxpayers to fulfill their tax obligations, including information obtained through information exchanges. Tax authorities will decide whether to provide information to taxpayers in accordance with the requirements of tax treaties. According to the provisions of treaties such as the Multilateral Treaty on Mutual Assistance in Tax Administration and bilateral double taxation agreements, information obtained through exchange of information shall be treated in the same way as information obtained through domestic law, and the same confidential information shall be applied. China adopted the best practice. The Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters as amended by the 2010 Protocol.

https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/n810341/n810770/c1152827/content.html

72 (BP). Information should not be supplied in response to a request where the originating * cause was the acquisition of stolen or illegally obtained information.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
72 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
73 (BP). A requesting state should provide confirmation of confidentiality to the requested * state.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

74 (MS). A state should not be entitled to receive information if it is unable to provide independent, verifiable evidence that it observes high standards of data protection.

*

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

74 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

75 (BP). For automatic exchange of financial information, the taxpayer should be notified of * the proposed exchange in sufficient time to exercise data protection rights.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
75 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
76 (BP). Taxpayers should have a right to request initiation of mutual agreement procedure. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

77 (MS). Taxpayers should have a right to participate in mutual agreement procedure by being heard and being informed as to the progress of the procedure.

- No changes
- Shifted away
- Shifted towards

77 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023

78 (BP). Retrospective tax legislation should ideally be banned completely. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
78 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
79 (BP). Public consultation should precede the making of tax policy and tax law. *
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you. Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No

Area 11 - Revenue practice and guidance

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)
an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations of such material into English, if possible, would be very appreciated. Thank you.

80 (MS). Taxpayers should be entitled to access all relevant legal material, comprising * legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
80 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
81 (MS). Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be * made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards

81 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
82 (MS). Binding rulings should only be published in an anonymised form *

No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

83 (MS). Where a taxpayer relies upon published guidance of a revenue authority which subsequently proves to be inaccurate, changes should apply only prospectively.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
83 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023 Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
Do you want to save your results and quit? * If "Yes", please submit the form. If "Yes", bear in mind that there are still several questions that need to be answered later. To edit/complete your answers later, please use the "edit your response" link sent to your email after submitting this form. If not, click "Next" to continue. Yes No
Area 12 - Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers' rights

Alea 12 - Institutional harnework for protecting taxpayers rights

Please provide separately (via optr@ibfd.org)

84 (MS). Adoption of a charter or statement of taxpayers' rights should be a minimum standard.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	
84 (BP). A separate statement of taxpayers' rights under audit should be provided to taxpayers who are audited.	*
No changes	
Shifted away	
Shifted towards	

an annex with the actual wording of relevant excerpts of your country's legislation regarding this matter. Technically accurate translations

Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

In accordance with Article 15 of the Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Inspection Cases (State Taxation Administration Decree No. 52), inspections shall be carried out jointly by two or more inspectors with law-enforcement qualifications, and the subject of the inspection shall be presented with a tax inspection certificate, presented with or served with a notice of tax inspection, and informed of his or her rights and obligations. China complied with the minimum standard and adopted the best practice. The Regulations on Procedures for Handling Tax Inspection Cases is published in 2021. https://www.chinatax.gov.cn/chinatax/n810341/n810825/c101434/c5166617/content.html

85 (BP). A taxpayer advocate or ombudsman should be established to scrutinise the operations of the tax authority, handle specific complaints, and intervene in appropriate cases. Best practice is the establishment of a separate office within the tax authority but independent from normal operations of that authority.

No changes

Shifted away

Shifted towards

85 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials. While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org . Thank you.
86 (BP). The organisational structure for the protection of taxpayers' rights should operate at * local level as well as nationally.
No changes
Shifted away
Shifted towards
86 (S). Summary of relevant facts in 2023
Only if answered "shifted away" or "shifted towards", please give here a summarized account of facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), in a non-judgmental way. Specify if some content is no longer applicable, due to other developments. If

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

applicable, indicate whether the fact reported is under a minimum standard or fully complies with the best practice. IN ALL CASES please back up your assertions with the relevant documentary materials.

While it is not mandatory, a short summary of such materials in English is appreciated. You are

welcome to send us these materials to our email: optr@ibfd.org. Thank you.

Google Forms