Marjaana Helminen



**2022 EDITION** 

IBFD

# **EU Tax Law - Direct Taxation 2022**

#### Why this book?

This book is a handy reference tool for tax practitioners, judiciaries, tax administrations and university students alike. Its structure allows quick and easy access to essential information and facilitates a better understanding of the direct tax issues of EU tax law.

EU tax law substantially impacts the domestic tax laws of the EU Member States and the way in which those laws should be interpreted and applied. The effect of EU tax law on national legislation is becoming increasingly complex. Today, anyone working with or interested in tax law or tax planning is confronted with EU tax law issues.

The 2022 edition of EU Tax Law – Direct Taxation provides a clear picture of the EU law norms that are relevant from the perspective of direct taxes. It explains how these norms are, and should be, interpreted and how they affect national tax laws and the tax treatment in EU Member States. The book describes the legal remedies available against tax treatment that is in conflict with EU law.

The study begins with a comprehensive overview of the basic principles and concepts of EU tax law and relevant articles of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, analysing them in the light of direct tax case law. A discussion follows covering relevant EU directives and recommendations and other soft law material on direct taxes. Reference is made to all relevant judgments of the EU Court on direct taxes. The book includes a chapter on the tax treatment of the different EU entity forms and the future of corporate taxation. A separate chapter is dedicated to the EU law issues related to transfer pricing and to the EU law norms on administrative cooperation in tax matters. An extensive bibliography is included that directs the reader to further material on the topic. Title: EU VAT Compass 2022/2023

Title: EU Tax Law - Direct Taxation 2022

Author(s): Marjaana Helminen

Date of publication: August 2022

**ISBN:** 978-90-8722-790-6 (print), 978-90-8722-791-3 (ePub),

978-90-8722-792-0 (PDF)

Type of publication: Book Number of pages: 612

**Terms:** Shipping fees apply. Shipping information is available on our website

Price (print/online): EUR 120 / USD 145 (VAT excl.)
Price (eBook: ePub or PDF): EUR 95 / USD 110 (VAT excl.)

#### Order information

To order the book, please visit www.ibfd.org/IBFD-Products/shop. You can purchase a copy of the book by means of your credit card, or on the basis of an invoice. Our books encompass a wide variety of topics, and are available in one or more of the following formats:

- IBFD Print books
- IBFD eBooks downloadable on a variety of electronic devices
- IBFD Online books accessible online through the IBFD Tax Research Platform



#### **IBFD**

Visitors' address: Rietlandpark 301 1019 DW Amsterdam The Netherlands

Postal address: P.O. Box 20237 1000 HE Amsterdam The Netherlands

Telephone: 31-20-554 0100 Email: info@ibfd.org

www.ibfd.org

© 2022 IBFD

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written prior permission of the publisher. Applications for permission to reproduce all or part of this publication should be directed to: permissions@ibfd.org.

#### Disclaimer

This publication has been carefully compiled by IBFD and/or its author, but no representation is made or warranty given (either express or implied) as to the completeness or accuracy of the information it contains. IBFD and/or the author are not liable for the information in this publication or any decision or consequence based on the use of it. IBFD and/or the author will not be liable for any direct or consequential damages arising from the use of the information contained in this publication. However, IBFD will be liable for damages that are the result of an intentional act (opzet) or gross negligence (grove schuld) on IBFD's part. In no event shall IBFD's total liability exceed the price of the ordered product. The information contained in this publication is not intended to be an advice on any particular matter. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any matter contained in this publication without considering appropriate professional advice.

The IBFD and/or the author cannot be held responsible for external content, broken links or risks within the external websites that are referenced as hyperlinks within this publication.

Where photocopying of parts of this publication is permitted under article 16B of the 1912 Copyright Act jo. the Decree of 20 June 1974, Stb. 351, as amended by the Decree of 23 August 1985, Stb. 471, and article 17 of the 1912 Copyright Act, legally due fees must be paid to Stichting Reprorecht (P.O. Box 882, 1180 AW Amstelveen). Where the use of parts of this publication for the purpose of anthologies, readers and other compilations (article 16 of the 1912 Copyright Act) is concerned, one should address the publisher.

ISBN 978-90-8722-790-6 (print) ISBN 978-90-8722-791-3 (eBook, ePub); 978-90-8722-792-0 (eBook, PDF) ISSN 2589-9465 (print); 2589-9759 (electronic) NUR 826

# **General Outline**

Prefa	ace	xvii
Abbı	reviations and Terms	xix
Chap	oter 1: EU Tax Law as Part of the Legal System	1
1.1.	Concepts	1
1.2.	Relation to other legislation	3
1.3.	Primary law	12
1.4.	Secondary law	21
1.5.		28
1.6.	Harmful tax competition and forbidden state aid	37
1.7.	Elimination of international double taxation	48
1.8.	Interpretation of EU law	58
Chap	oter 2: Non-Discrimination and Basic Freedoms	63
2.1.		63
2.2.		72
2.3.	Justifications for discrimination or restrictions	153
Chap	oter 3: Corporate Tax Directives	177
3.1.	EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive	177
3.2.	EU Interest-Royalty Directive	205
3.3.	EU Merger Directive	233
3.4.	Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive	272
Chap	oter 4: EU Company Forms and the Future	
	of Corporate Taxation	303
4.1.	Introduction	303
4.2.	European Company and European Cooperative Society	304
4.3.	European Economic Interest Grouping	316
4.4.	Future of corporate taxation in the European Union	318
Char	oter 5: Transfer Pricing	325
5.1.	Relevance	325
5.2.	Code of Conduct on transfer pricing documentation	327
5.3.	Advance pricing agreements	336
5.4.	Arbitration Convention	348

### **General Outline**

Chaj	oter 6: Mutual Assistance and Recovery of Tax Claims	377
6.1.	Directive on Administrative Cooperation	377
6.2.	Recovery Directive	419
Chap	oter 7: Legal Remedies	433
7.1.	National legal remedies	433
7.2.	Tax treaties	438
7.3.	Dispute Resolution Mechanisms Directive	439
7.4.	EU Court	463
7.5.	Effects of EU Court judgments	472
Refe	rences	479
Inde	x	581

Prefa	ace			xvii
Abbi	reviation	s and Tern	ns	xix
Chaj	oter 1:	EU Tax La	w as Part of the Legal System	1
1.1.	Concep	ots		1
1.2.	Relatio	n to other l	egislation	3
	1.2.1.	Compete	nces	3
	1.2.2.	Separate	ness and interaction	5
	1.2.3.	The prim	acy of EU law	6
	1.2.4.	Tax cons	equences	9
	1.2.5.	Direct ef	fect and application	10
1.3.	Primar	y law		12
	1.3.1.	The foun	ding treaties and the accession treaties	12
	1.3.2.	Fundame	ental rights	14
	1.3.3.	Legal pri	nciples of EU law	15
1.4.		ary law		21
		Concept		21
	1.4.2.	Directive	es	22
		1.4.2.1.	Authorization to issue directives	22
		1.4.2.2.	Impact of the directives	23
		1.4.2.3.	Directives on direct taxes	25
	1.4.3.	Recomm	endations and other measures	26
1.5.	Interna	tional conv	entions	28
	1.5.1.	Connecti	on to EU law	28
	1.5.2.	Tax treat	ies between EU Member States	28
		1.5.2.1.	Right to conclude tax treaties	28
		1.5.2.2.	Primacy of EU law	29
		1.5.2.3.	Most favoured nation	30
	1.5.3.	Tax treat	ies with third countries	32
		1.5.3.1.	Right to conclude tax treaties	32
		1.5.3.2.	Primacy of EU law	33
	1.5.4.	Other tre	aties with non-Member States	35
		1.5.4.1.	EEA Agreement	35
		1542	Other conventions	36

1.6.	Harmfu	l tax compe	tition and forbidden state aid	37
	1.6.1.	Harmful ta	ax competition	37
		1.6.1.1.	Concept	37
		1.6.1.2.	Measures against harmful tax competition	37
		1.6.1.3.	Prevention of tax evasion	38
	1.6.2.	Prohibition	n of state aid	41
1.7.	Elimina	tion of inter	rnational double taxation	48
	1.7.1.	Legal basi	s	48
	1.7.2.	Tax treatie	es and directives	49
	1.7.3.	Obligation	to take into account taxes levied in	
		_	ember State	50
1.8.	Interpre	tation of EU	J law	58
	1.8.1.		ethod of interpretation	58
	1.8.2.		onsistent interpretation of domestic law	60
			<b>r</b>	
Chap	ter 2:	Non-Discrin	nination and Basic Freedoms	63
2.1.	Discrin	nination base	ed on nationality	63
	2.1.1.	General co	onditions for application	63
	2.1.2.		f discrimination	65
	2.1.3.		le situation or different situation	68
			Comparability analysis	68
			Different situation	69
		2.1.3.3.	Comparable situation	70
			Resident companies of different states	71
2.2.	Basic fi	reedoms	r	72
	2.2.1.	General co	onditions for application	72
	2.2.2.		ement of goods	80
			Applicable norms	80
			Relevance to direct taxation	81
	2.2.3.	Free move	ement of EU citizens	81
	2.2.4.		ement of workers	84
			General conditions for application	84
			Different tax treatment on the basis of	٠.
			residence	87
			2.2.4.2.1. Employment in several states	87
			2.2.4.2.2. Most income from employment	07
			state	88
			2.2.4.2.3. Small part of income from	50
			employment state	92
		2.2.4.3.	Social security contributions	94

2.2.5.	Freedom of establishment			
		2.2.5.1.	Relevance and scope of application	96
		2.2.5.2.	Undertakings in a comparable situation	103
			2.2.5.2.1. Permanent establishments	103
			2.2.5.2.2. No permanent establishment	107
		2.2.5.3.	Dividend taxation systems	107
			2.2.5.3.1. Permanent establishment	107
			2.2.5.3.2. Foreign-source dividends	108
			2.2.5.3.3. Non-resident dividend	
			recipient	112
		2.2.5.4.	Thin capitalization and interest deduction	
			limitations	116
		2.2.5.5.	Group taxation systems	119
			2.2.5.5.1. Need for cross-border	
			balancing of profits and losses	119
			2.2.5.5.2. Permanent establishments	121
			2.2.5.5.3. No permanent establishment	123
	2.2.6.	Free mov	rement of capital and payments	130
		2.2.6.1.	Primary rule	130
		2.2.6.2.	Exceptions	134
		2.2.6.3.	Relations to non-Member States	135
		2.2.6.4.	Right to capital in companies	140
	2.2.7.	Freedom	to provide services	140
		2.2.7.1.	Scope of application	140
		2.2.7.2.	Cases on direct taxes	143
	2.2.8.	Overlapp	ing scope of application	145
		2.2.8.1.	Freedom of establishment or free	
			movement of capital?	145
		2.2.8.2.	Free movement of capital or services?	150
		2.2.8.3.	Freedom of establishment/free	
			movement of capital or free movement	
			of workers?	151
		2.2.8.4.	Free movement of capital or goods?	152
		2.2.8.5.	Simultaneous application	152
2.3.	Justifica	itions for d	iscrimination or restrictions	153
	2.3.1.	Rule of re		153
	2.3.2.	Effective	ness of fiscal supervision and	
			ery of tax debt	156
	2.3.3.	Anti-avoi	dance purpose	157
		2.3.3.1.	Tax planning versus tax avoidance	157
		2.3.3.2.	CFC regimes as an example	163
	2.3.4.	Balanced	allocation of taxing rights	166

	2.3.5.	Coherenc	e of the na	tional tax system	168
	2.3.6.	Territoria	lity	•	172
	2.3.7.	Need to p	revent dou	ble use of losses	174
	2.3.8.		t in the oth		174
	2.3.9.	Non-EU a	and non-EI	EA States	175
Cl	4 2- (	74-	T D:	.•	177
Chap			Tax Direct		177
3.1.			ary Directi	ve	177
	3.1.1.	Backgrou			177
	3.1.2.	-	application		178
		3.1.2.1.		ributions between subsidiary	
				t companies residing in	
				Member States	178
		3.1.2.2.		ributions connected with a	
				t establishment	180
		3.1.2.3.		of a Member State	184
		3.1.2.4.		t establishment	187
		3.1.2.5.	Parent and	d subsidiary company	188
		3.1.2.6.	Profit dist		190
		3.1.2.7.	Other req	uirements	191
		3.1.2.8.	EEA and	other non-Member States	192
	3.1.3.	Tax treatr	nent		192
		3.1.3.1.	Tax treatr	nent in the dividend recipient's	
			state	•	192
			3.1.3.1.1.	Elimination of double taxation	
				in the parent company state	192
			3.1.3.1.2.	Exemption or credit in the	
				parent company state or in the	
				permanent establishment state	193
			3.1.3.1.3.	Treatment of hybrid	
				subsidiaries in the parent	
				company state	197
			3.1.3.1.4.	Treatment of subsidiary-related	
				costs in the parent company	
				state	198
		3.1.3.2.	Tax treatr	nent in the subsidiary state	199
		5.1.5.2.		No withholding tax	199
				Withholding tax	200
	3.1.4.	Tax avoid	lance situat	•	201
	J. I.T.	I an a void	mice situat	10110	20 I

3.2.	EU Inte	erest-Royal	ty Directive	205
	3.2.1.		and and force	205
	3.2.2.	Tax treat		206
	0.2.2.			206
		3.2.2.2.	Tax consequences Administrative prerequisites	208
		3.2.2.3.	Repayment of a tax levied in conflict	
			with the directive	210
	3.2.3.	Intra-EU	cross-border payment	210
	3.2.4.		ng companies	217
		3.2.4.1.	<del>-</del> -	217
		3.2.4.2.		221
			Associated companies	222
		3.2.4.4.		225
	3.2.5.	Source st	tate	227
	3.2.6.	The conc	epts of interest and royalty	229
		3.2.6.1.		229
		3.2.6.2.	Royalty	229
		3.2.6.3.	Payments outside the scope of the	
			directive	230
	3.2.7.	Tax avoid	dance situations	231
3.3.	EU Me	rger Direct	ive	233
	3.3.1.	Background and force		233
		3.3.1.1.	Force	233
		3.3.1.2.	Relevance of the directive	234
	3.3.2.	Scope of	application	235
		3.3.2.1.	Reorganizations covered	235
		3.3.2.2.	Company from a Member State	237
		3.3.2.3.	Merger	240
		3.3.2.4.	Division	244
		3.3.2.5.	Transfer of assets	247
			Exchange of shares	249
	3.3.3.	Tax treat	ment of mergers, divisions and	
		transfers	of assets	252
		3.3.3.1.	Applicable provisions	252
		3.3.3.2.	Deferral of capital gains taxation	253
		3.3.3.3.	1	256
		3.3.3.4.		256
		3.3.3.5.	Holdings of the receiving company in	
			the transferring company	258

		3.3.3.6.	Tax exemption of the shareholders	258
			3.3.3.6.1. Situations covered	258
			3.3.3.6.2. Situations not covered by	
			the tax exemption	260
		3.3.3.7.		262
	3.3.4.	Transfer	of registered office of a European	
			y or European Cooperative Society	264
		3.3.4.1.		264
		3.3.4.2.	Transfer of registered office	264
		3.3.4.3.	Tax consequences	265
	3.3.5.	Tax avoid	dance	267
		3.3.5.1.	Artificial tax avoidance arrangements	267
		3.3.5.2.	Other situations	271
	3.3.6.	Employe	e representation	272
3.4.	Anti-Ta	x Avoidan	ce Directive	272
	3.4.1.	Backgrou	and, relevance and implementation	272
	3.4.2.	Personal	scope of the directive	274
	3.4.3.	Interest of	leduction limitation rule	274
		3.4.3.1.	Different limitations on interest	
			deductions	274
		3.4.3.2.	EBITDA-based fixed ratio rule	275
		3.4.3.3.	Voluntary de minimis rule	277
		3.4.3.4.	Voluntary exclusions	277
		3.4.3.5.	Voluntary group ratio rule	279
		3.4.3.6.	Voluntary carry-forward and carry-back	280
	3.4.4.	Exit taxa	tion provision	281
		3.4.4.1.	Exit taxation	281
		3.4.4.2.	Transfers covered	282
		3.4.4.3.	Exclusion of temporal transfers	283
		3.4.4.4.	Deferral of payment	283
		3.4.4.5.	Tax value in the receiving country	285
		3.4.4.6.	Estonia	285
	3.4.5.	General a	anti-abuse rule	286
	3.4.6.	Controlle	ed foreign company rule	288
		3.4.6.1.		288
		3.4.6.2.		288
		3.4.6.3.		290
		3.4.6.4.	Computation of the CFC income	292
	3.4.7.	Hybrid n	nismatch rule	294

Chapter 4:		_	ny Forms and the Future	
		of Corpora	te Taxation	303
4.1.	Introd			303
4.2.	Europ		y and European Cooperative Society	304
	4.2.1.	Formation	n	304
		4.2.1.1.	Company law	304
		4.2.1.2.	Tax treatment	306
	4.2.2.	Tax treatr	nent of a European Company or	
		a Europea	an Cooperative Society	311
		4.2.2.1.	Relevance of the SE Regulation and	
			the SCE Regulation	311
		4.2.2.2.	National tax laws	312
		4.2.2.3.	Tax treaties	313
		4.2.2.4.	Founding treaties and directives	315
			Tax-related problems	316
4.3.	Europ		ic Interest Grouping	316
	4.3.1.	Company	- <del>-</del>	316
	4.3.2.	Tax treatr		317
4.4.	Future	of corporate	e taxation in the European Union	318
	4.4.1.		development	318
	4.4.2.		CTB to BEFIT	318
	4.4.3.			320
	4.4.4.	Alternativ	ves	321
	4.4.5.		nt digital presence and digital services tax	322
Chapt	ter 5:	Transfer P	ricing	325
5.1.	Releva			325
5.2.			on transfer pricing documentation	327
	5.2.1.		and and purpose	327
	5.2.2.		* *	329
		5.2.2.1.	Rights and obligations of enterprises	329
		5.2.2.2.	Rights and obligations of Member States	331
	5.2.3.		of documentation	332
	0.2.0.		General principles	332
		5.2.3.2.		334
		5.2.3.3.		335
5.3.	Advan	ce pricing a	* *	336
	5.3.1.		and and purpose	336
	5.3.2.			337
	5.3.3.	U	ites for an advance pricing agreement	339
	5.3.4	-	of the advance pricing agreement process	340

		5.3.4.1.	Preliminary negotiations and informal	2.40
		5010	application	340
		5.3.4.2.	Formal application	341
		5.3.4.3.	Evaluation and negotiations	344
~ .	A 1	5.3.4.4.	Formal advance pricing agreement	346
5.4.		ion Conve		348
	5.4.1.		e, force and interpretation	348
		5.4.1.1.	Relevance	348
		5.4.1.2.	Force	349
		5.4.1.3.	Interpretation	350
	5.4.2.		application	352
		5.4.2.1.		352
		5.4.2.2.	Double taxation caused by a transfer	
			pricing adjustment	352
		5.4.2.3.	Enterprise of a contracting state	353
		5.4.2.4.	Serious penalty	355
		5.4.2.5.	Taxes covered by the Arbitration	
			Convention	355
		5.4.2.6.	Competent authority	356
		5.4.2.7.	Territorial scope of application	356
	5.4.3.	Arm's ler	ngth principle and transfer pricing	
		adjustmei	nt	356
	5.4.4.	Notificati	on of a transfer pricing adjustment	358
	5.4.5.	Mutual ag	greement procedure	359
		5.4.5.1.	Institution of proceedings	359
		5.4.5.2.	Notifications and information request	360
		5.4.5.3.	Starting the negotiations	361
		5.4.5.4.	Negotiations	363
		5.4.5.5.	Agreement and implementation	365
	5.4.6.	Arbitratio	on procedure	365
		5.4.6.1.	Starting the procedure	365
		5.4.6.2.	Advisory commission	367
		5.4.6.3.	Rights and obligations within the	
			arbitration procedure	370
		5.4.6.4.	Opinion of the advisory commission	371
		5.4.6.5.	Costs	373
	5.4.7.		n of tax assessment	374
	J	5.4.7.1.	Abolition of international double taxation	374
		5.4.7.2.	Suspension of tax collection	375
		J.T.1.2.	Suspension of the concentral	515

_			sistance and Recovery of Tax Claims	377
6.1.			nistrative Cooperation	377
	6.1.1.		and and relevance	377
	6.1.2.		application	381
	6.1.3.		information exchange	383
		6.1.3.1.	$\epsilon$	383
		6.1.3.2.	Automatic exchange of information on	200
			reportable cross-border arrangements	389
			6.1.3.2.1. Introduction	389
			6.1.3.2.2. Reportable cross-border	390
			arrangements	390 396
			6.1.3.2.3. Reporting obligation	390
			6.1.3.2.4. Automatic exchange of information	400
			6.1.3.2.5. Penalties	400
		6.1.3.3.		402
		6.1.3.4.	Exchange on request Spontaneous exchange of information	402
		6.1.3.5.	· •	407
	6.1.4.		The exchanging authority rexchange of information	407
	6.1.5.		e of information	411
	6.1.6.		in the requested state	413
	6.1.7.		on of tax decisions	413
	6.1.8.		eous controls	415
	6.1.9.	Joint audi		416
	6.1.10.			418
6.2.		ry Directive		419
0.2.	6.2.1.		is and scope of application	419
	0.2.1.	6.2.1.1.		419
		6.2.1.2.		420
	6.2.2.		nt authorities	420
	6.2.3.		assistance	421
	0.2.3.	6.2.3.1.		421
		6.2.3.2.	C	422
			Recovery	423
		6.2.3.4.	Precautionary measures	427
	6.2.4.		the obligation to assist	428
	6.2.5.		f limitation	429
	6.2.6.	Use of lar		430
	6.2.7.		e of information and documents	431
	6.2.8.	Costs link	xed to recovery	432

Chan	ter 7:	Legal Remedies	433
7.1.		National legal remedies	
7.2.	Tax treaties		433 438
	7.2.1.	Mutual agreement procedure	438
	7.2.2.	Arbitration Convention	439
7.3.	Dispute Resolution Mechanisms Directive		439
	7.3.1. Objective and scope		439
	7.3.2.	Interpretation	441
	7.3.3.	Complaint	442
	7.3.4.	Mutual agreement procedure	446
	7.3.5.	Dispute resolution by the advisory commission	447
	7.3.6.	The alternative dispute resolution commission	453
	7.3.7.	Procedural rules	454
	7.3.8.	The opinion and the final decision on the dispute	457
	7.3.9.	Interaction with national proceedings	460
	7.3.10.	Special provisions for individuals and smaller	
		undertakings	462
7.4.	EU Court		463
	7.4.1.	Tasks of the EU Court	463
	7.4.2.	Preliminary rulings	465
	7.4.3.	Actions	469
		7.4.3.1. Actions against a Member State	469
		7.4.3.2. Infringement procedure	469
		7.4.3.3. Actions of Member States	471
	7.4.4.	Costs	471
7.5.	Effects of EU Court judgments		472
	7.5.1.	Repayment of taxes paid in conflict with EU law	472
	7.5.2.	Liability for damages	474
	7.5.3.	Consequences of the failure to comply with an	
		EU Court judgment	476
Refer	ences		479
Bibliography			479
EU Court cases (listed chronologically)			550
European Court of Human Rights			565
EFTA Court			566
EU C	ourt case	es (listed alphabetically)	566
Index	ζ.		581

#### **Preface**

I got hooked by EU tax law in the early 1990s when I was writing my doctoral thesis. I have had a great passion for teaching and researching EU tax law ever since. Today, no one who is interested in, or working with, tax law or tax planning can avoid dealing with EU tax law issues. EU tax law has a huge impact on the domestic tax laws of the EU Member States and on the way in which the domestic tax laws and tax treaties of the Member States must be interpreted and applied.

There is a substantial body of literature on the different EU tax law issues. However, in my professional endeavours, I missed having a clear and comprehensive work on EU tax law available. There was a need for a reader-friendly, complete presentation of the direct tax law issues of EU tax law. As a professor of international tax law, I felt that it was my duty to remedy this defect. As a consequence, the first edition of this book was published in 2009. The book has been written keeping in mind the needs of practitioners, judiciary, tax administrations, scholars and university students. It provides a complete, in-depth yet easily manageable presentation of the direct tax law issues of EU law. The layout, headlining, figures, tables, bibliography and index are designed to allow fast and easy access to the information needed and to facilitate understanding and learning.

EU tax law develops rapidly, especially because of the growing number of judgments from the EU Court on direct tax matters. Therefore, regular updates of the book are necessary. The most recent judgments have clarified many remaining, unclear issues concerning the impact of EU law on direct taxation. This 2022 edition takes into account all judgments of the EU Court on direct tax matters issued by 8 June 2022. These include, for example, *Viva Telecom Bulgaria* (C-257/20) clarifying the concepts of interest and dividend of the Interest-Royalty Directive and the Parent-Subsidiary Directive in regard to notional interest payments, *A SCPI* (C-342/20) concerning the comparability of collective investment undertakings constituted under statute or in accordance with contract law and *BJ* (C-241/20) dealing with the free movement of workers and the relevance of the fact that an employee does not have substantial income in its state of residence.

Proposals for substantial new legislation concerning corporate tax law were issued in late 2021 and early 2022. These include the Proposal for a Council Directive laying down rules to prevent the misuse of shell entities for tax purposes and amending Directive 2011/16/EU, COM(2021) 565 final,

22 December 2021, the Proposal for a Council Directive on laying down rules on a debt-equity bias reduction allowance and on limiting the deductibility of interest for corporate income tax purposes, COM (2022) 216 final 2022/0154 (CNS), 11 May 2022 and the Proposal for a Council Directive on ensuring a global minimum level of taxation for multinational groups in the Union, COM (2021) 823 final, 22 December 2021. Additionally, Directive (EU) 2021/2101 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2021 amending Directive 2013/34/EU as regards disclosure of income tax information by certain undertakings and branches was adopted.

Turku, 8 June 2022

Marjaana Helminen

## Chapter 1

# **EU Tax Law as Part of the Legal System**

## 1.1. Concepts

#### European Union

The European Union (EU) is the consequence of the European integration development that started in the 1950s after the Second World War. The European Union in its present form was created by the Treaty on European Union (TEU), i.e. the Treaty of Maastricht, signed in 1992 and entered into force in 1993.

The European Union originally consisted of three pillars or sectors of cooperation. These were (1) the European Communities, (2) common foreign and security policy, and, (3) police cooperation and judicial cooperation in criminal matters.

The most relevant cooperation from the perspective of tax law has been the cooperation based on the European Communities. The European Communities were formed by the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), established by the Treaty of Paris signed in 1951, the European (Economic) Community (EEC), and the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) established by the Treaty of Rome signed in 1957. By the Lisbon Treaty, which entered into force on 1 December 2009, the European Communities were replaced and succeeded by the European Union.<sup>3</sup>

#### TFEU

The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU; originally the EC Treaty) has the most relevance with respect to taxation. The TFEU is based on the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community signed in 1957 in Rome, as replaced by the Treaty of Maastricht signed in 1992 and amended by the Treaty of Amsterdam signed in 1997, the Treaty

See e.g. Craig & de Búrca 2011, pp. 1-30.

<sup>2.</sup> The Treaty on European Union has been amended by the Treaty of Amsterdam, signed in 1997 and entered into force in 1999, the Treaty of Nice, signed in 2001 and entered into force in 2003 and the Treaty of Lisbon, signed on 13 December 2007 and entered into force on 1 December 2009. The abbreviation "TEU" is used in this book to refer to the Treaty on European Union in the form as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon.

<sup>3.</sup> See art. 1(3) TEU.

of Nice signed in 2001 and the Treaty of Lisbon signed in 2007. The TFEU entered into force on 1 December 2009.

#### EU tax law

EU law consists of the founding treaties (the TEU and the TFEU) and the legal provisions based on the legislative powers delegated to the European Union by the founding treaties. The part of the EU law provisions that may have an effect on taxes is referred to as EU tax law. After the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, the European Convention on Human Rights also became a part of EU law.<sup>4</sup>

#### Territorial scope

EU tax law provisions limit the sovereign taxing rights of the EU Member States in the area of direct taxation chiefly in situations in which the tax object or the tax subject has a connection to two or more Member States. However, for example, the free movement of capital principle of article 63 of the TFEU is relevant also in situations in which the other state is a non-Member State.

Besides certain exceptions, EU law provisions are relevant in relation to the whole territory of the European Union.<sup>5</sup> The territorial scope of application of the EU law provisions in relation to each Member State depends on each state's own accession agreement. Article 355 defines how the founding treaties apply to certain associated and dependent territories of the EU Member States.<sup>6</sup>

#### Literature

There is substantial literature on EU tax law published in different languages of the Member States. The most comprehensive work in English is Terra and

<sup>4.</sup> Art. 6(2) TEU and the Protocol to the Treaty of Lisbon on Article 6(2) of the Treaty on the European Union, on the accession to the European Convention on Human Rights and basic freedoms. See e.g. the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in cases Jussila v. Finland (73053/01), Hentrich v. France (13616/88), Burden and Burden v. United Kingdom (13378/05), Jokela v. Finland (28856/95) and e.g. Kofler, Maduro & Pistone 2011, Greggi 2007, pp. 610-615, Baker 2007, pp. 587-588, Baker 2008, pp. 315-316, Baker 2008a, pp. 643-646, Baker 2010, pp. 259-261, Baker 2012, pp. 584-586 and Craig & de Búrca 2011, pp. 362-406 about questions concerning human rights and taxes in the European Union.

<sup>5.</sup> See art. 52 TEU and arts. 349 and 355 TFEU.

<sup>6.</sup> About the EU law provisions that apply to different territories, *see also* e.g. Pancham, Fibbe & Ruiter 2007, pp. 164-175 and Smit 2011, pp. 40-61 and about the territorial scope of EU law, *see* Kavelaars 2007, pp. 268-273.

Wattel's *European Tax Law* (2019). Certain international tax periodicals, such as *EC Tax Review* published by Kluwer, *European Taxation* published by IBFD and *EC Tax Journal* published by Key Haven Publications, specialize in issues concerning EU tax law.

# 1.2. Relation to other legislation

## 1.2.1. Competences

#### Sovereignty

Despite the European Union and the Commission (the executive branch of the European Union), the Member States have broad sovereignty in the area of direct taxation.<sup>7</sup> The organs of the European Union do not have their own taxing powers with regard to direct taxes.<sup>8</sup> However, both the European Union and the Member States can make decisions concerning tax legislation.

Each Member State executes its own taxing powers by domestic tax laws. Each Member State decides which are the criteria that determine the scope of direct taxation in the state concerned. The Member States may, for example, choose to apply the territoriality principle for the purposes of income taxation and to tax non-residents only on the income from sources in the country concerned. The Member States, however, must exercise their taxing powers consistently with their obligations under the founding treaties and the legislative provisions given on the basis of such treaties. <sup>10</sup>

<sup>7.</sup> See arts. 3-6 TFEU and art. 5 TEU for the competence of the European Union. See also e.g. Bizioli 2008, pp. 133-140 and Isenbaert 2009, pp. 264-278.

<sup>8.</sup> See, however, art. 12 Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Union, [2016] OJ C 202/266, according to which officials and servants of the European Union are liable to a tax for the benefit of the European Union on salaries, wages and emoluments paid to them by the European Union. The income that falls under the Protocol must not even indirectly have an impact on the amount of national taxes. For interpretation of the Protocol, see Humblet (6/60), Bourges-Maunoury and Heintz (C-558/10), Gistö (C-270/10) and Pazdziej (C-349/14). See also Helminen 2010a, pp. 541-544, KHO 2010/1295 and KHO 2011/3121 (88). See Kofler (2019), ch. 23 for the EU taxation system of its staff.

<sup>9.</sup> See e.g. Schumacker (C-279/93), Royal Bank of Scotland (C-311/97), Futura (C-250/95) and Marks & Spencer (C-446/03), para. 39.

<sup>10.</sup> See Commission v. France (avoir fiscal) (270/83), para. 24, Schumacker (C-279/93), para. 21, Wielockx (C-80/94), para. 16, Asscher (C-107/94), para. 36, Futura (C-250/95), para. 19, Safir (C-118/96), para. 21, ICI (C-264/96), para. 19, Royal Bank of Scotland (C-311/97), para. 19, Gschwind (C-391/97), para. 20, Eurowings (C-294/97), para. 32, Vestergaard (C-55/98), para. 15, Baars (C-251/98), para. 17, Verkooijen (C-35/98), para. 32,

## Principle of conferral

In accordance with articles 4 and 5 of the TEU, the European Union shall act only within the limits of the competences conferred upon it by the Member States in the founding treaties to attain the objectives set out therein. All other competences belong to the Member States. This scope of competence is in accordance with the legality principle and the principle of legal certainty.

The founding treaties do not expressly confer competence to the European Union regarding direct taxes. The general competence of the European Union based on the founding treaties, however, is broad. In accordance with article 352 of the TFEU, the Council can take any action that is necessary for the attainment of the EU objectives in the functioning of the common market. This general competence does not exclude taxation.

#### Division of competence

Strictly interpreted, the lack of express EU competence means that direct taxation falls within the competence of the Member States. However, because of the general competence of the European Union, the Union also has a certain competence. In this regard, direct taxation falls under the scope of divided or shared competence. The competence of the European Union, however, is fairly limited. Positive harmonization of direct taxation in the Member States is possible, provided that it affects the realization of the internal market that the harmonization actions are made unanimously and that the subsidiarity principle is followed. The subsidiarity principle is followed.

#### Subsidiarity

In accordance with the subsidiarity principle stipulated in article 5 of the TEU, in areas that do not fall within its exclusive competence, the European Union shall act only if and in so far as the objectives of the proposed action cannot

AMID (C-141/99), para. 19, joined cases Metallgesellschaft (C-397/98 and C-410/98), para. 37, Danner (C-136/00), para. 28, X and Y (C-436/00), para. 32, Lankhorst-Hohorst (C-324/00), para. 26, De Groot (C-385/00), para. 75, Skandia and Ramstedt (C-422/01), para. 25, Lindman (C-42/02), para. 18, Commission v. France (fixed levy) (C-334/02), para. 21, de Lasteyrie du Saillant (C-9/02), para. 44, Turpeinen (C-520/04), para. 11, Schempp (C-403/03), Manninen (C-319/02), para. 19, Oy AA (C-231/05), para. 16, Meilicke (C-292/04), Marks & Spencer (C-446/03), para. 29, Cadbury Schweppes (C-196/04), para. 40 and Test Claimants in Class IV of the ACT Group Litigation (C-374/04), para. 36. See also e.g. Weber 2006, pp. 585-616.

<sup>11.</sup> See also art. 2(2) TFEU and the Protocol of the Treaty of Lisbon on the exercising of shared competence.

<sup>12.</sup> See also Pistone 2002, p. 68, and an in-depth analysis on the competence of the European Union in the area of direct taxation in Kofler 2020, pp. 11-50.

be sufficiently achieved by the Member States but can rather, by reason of the scale or effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at EU level.<sup>13</sup>

EU tax law provisions direct the domestic tax laws of the Member States only to the extent that it is necessary for the realization and the functioning of the internal market. <sup>14</sup> The purpose of the founding treaties is not to totally harmonize the tax laws of the Member States.

### **Proportionality**

In accordance with the proportionality principle stipulated in article 5 of the TEU, the actions of the European Union must not go beyond what is necessary for the attainment of the objectives of the founding treaties. The measures used and the objectives pursued must be in the right proportion to each other.<sup>15</sup>

The measures taken must be appropriate for the attainment of the objective, must be necessary because a less restrictive measure is not available and must be sufficient for the attainment of the objective. <sup>16</sup>

#### Flexibility

Even though EU-wide harmonization of direct taxes requires unanimous decision-making by the Member States, certain Member States may enter into tighter cooperation in accordance with the flexibility principle. This alternative includes differentiated or flexible integration; for example, by means of bilateral tax treaties that are in accordance with EU law.

# 1.2.2. Separateness and interaction

Legal systems of tax law

Each EU Member State has its own national tax system. EU tax law, the tax treaties concluded by each Member State and the national tax laws of

<sup>13.</sup> The institutions of the European Union apply the principle of subsidiarity as laid down in the Protocol of the Lisbon Treaty on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality. National parliaments ensure compliance with the principle of subsidiarity in accordance with the procedure set out in that Protocol.

<sup>14.</sup> Arts. 3-6 TFEU and art. 5 TEU.

<sup>15.</sup> See e.g. Fromançais (66/82) and Fédéchar (8/55).

<sup>16.</sup> The institutions of the European Union must apply the principle of proportionality as laid down in the Protocol to the Lisbon Treaty on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality. *See* e.g. Freyer 2017, pp. 384-392 about the proportionality principle under EU law.

each Member State are parts of the national tax laws of the Member States. In accordance with the principle of autonomy, EU tax law, tax treaties and national tax law of each Member State are separate legal systems belonging to the national legal system of the Member State concerned. These different parts of tax law, however, are in a strong interaction with each other. For example, EU law has a substantial impact on the national tax laws of the Member States.

#### No EU tax

At present, the EU does not use direct taxes for its own recourse collecting purposes. There is no general EU-level income tax<sup>17</sup> and the income taxes levied in the Member States are based on the national tax provisions of each Member State's own domestic legislation. The tax revenue from the income taxes levied by the Member States goes directly to the Member State concerned or to a local authority thereof. The European Union does not benefit directly from the tax revenue.<sup>18</sup>

### Conflicts

EU tax law, the national tax laws of the Member States and the tax treaties concluded by the Member States each has a language, concepts and provisions of its own. The provisions of EU tax law, the national tax laws and the tax treaties may therefore conflict which each other. The relationship and the primacy order among the different segments of tax law must be determined in order to determine the tax consequences in a cross-border situation.

# 1.2.3. The primacy of EU law

#### Founding treaties

Unlike indirect taxes, the TEU and TFEU (the founding treaties) do not mention direct taxes. The only express reference to direct taxes was included

<sup>17.</sup> See, however, art. 12 Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Union, [2016] OJ C 202/266, according to which officials and servants of the European Union are liable to a tax for the benefit of the European Union on salaries, wages and emoluments paid to them by the European Union. The income that falls under the Protocol must not even indirectly have an impact on the amount of national taxes. For interpretation of the Protocol, see Humblet (6/60), Bourges-Maunoury and Heintz (C-558/10), Gistö (C-270/10) and Pazdziej (C-349/14). See also Helminen 2010a, pp. 541-544, KHO 2010/1295 and KHO 2011/3121 (88). See Kofler (2019), ch. 23 for the EU taxation system of its staff.

<sup>18.</sup> About the need and the possibilities for an EU tax, *see* e.g. Plasschaert 2004, pp. 470-479 and Viherkenttä & Scherleitner 2022, pp. 293-302.

in article 293 of the EC Treaty concerning the right of the Member States to conclude tax treaties in order to avoid double taxation. Such an express reference is no longer included in the TEU or the TFEU in the form as amended by the Lisbon Treaty. Both the TEU and the TFEU, however, apply to direct taxes despite the lack of an express reference.<sup>19</sup>

#### Case law

No express provision exists concerning the interrelationship between EU law and the national laws of the Member States. Based on the judgments given by the Court of the European Union (the EU Court), <sup>20</sup> however, it is clear that EU law takes precedence over national laws. The primacy of EU law applies both in relation to the founding treaties and the EU directives. Even though direct taxation falls under the purview of the Member States, the states must follow the EU law rules and principles when exercising this power. <sup>21</sup>

EU law norms override national law provisions that are in conflict with EU law, regardless of the status or the age of the national law provision. EU law norms take precedence also in the case of a conflict between an EU law provision and a national constitutional provision. EE Because of the primacy of EU law, the Member States must not enact legislation or conclude tax treaties, nor apply existing legislation or tax treaties in conflict with EU law. Domestic laws and tax treaties of the Member States must be applied and interpreted in accordance with EU law.

<sup>19.</sup> See also Kemmeren 2008b, pp. 156-158.

<sup>20.</sup> E.g. Filipiak (C-314/08), paras. 81-85, Simmenthal (106/77) and Costa v. ENEL (6/64).

<sup>21.</sup> See Avoir fiscal (270/83), para. 24, Schumacker (C-279/93), para. 21, Wielockx (C-80/94), para. 16, Asscher (C-107/94), para. 36, Futura (C-250/95), para. 19, Safir (C-118/96), para. 21, ICI (C-264/96), para. 19, Royal Bank of Scotland (C-311/97), para. 19, Gschwind (C-391/97), para. 20, Eurowings (C-294/97), para. 32, Vestergaard (C-55/98), para. 15, Baars (C-251/98), para. 17, Verkooijen (C-35/98), para. 32, AMID (C-141/99), para. 19, joined cases Metallgesellschaft (C-397/98 and C-410/98), para. 37, Danner (C-136/00), para. 28, X and Y (C-436/00), para. 32, Lankhorst-Hohorst (C-324/00), para. 26, De Groot (C-385/00), para. 75, Skandia and Ramstedt (C-422/01), para. 21, de Lasteyrie du Saillant (C-9/02), para. 44, Turpeinen (C-520/04), para. 11, Schempp (C-403/03), Manninen (C-319/02), para. 19, Oy AA (C-231/05), para. 16, Meilicke (C-292/04), Marks & Spencer (C-446/03), para. 29, Cadbury Schweppes (C-196/04), para. 40 and Test Claimants in Class IV of the ACT Group Litigation (C-374/04), para. 36.

<sup>22.</sup> See e.g. Filipiak (C-314/08), paras. 81-85. See also e.g. Szudoczky 2020, pp. 93-118 about the relationship between EU law and national law.

#### Conflict must be abolished

The domestic laws and tax treaties of the Member States may include provisions that are in conflict with EU law. Once the conflict has been discovered, these provisions must be amended or abolished in order to comply with EU law. For reasons of legal certainty, the conflicting provisions must be amended or abolished even though the tax authorities of the state concerned would not apply them in practice.<sup>23</sup>

#### Loyalty

In accordance with the loyalty principle (or principle of sincere cooperation) stipulated in article 4 of the TEU, the Member States must take all necessary measures in order to comply with their EU law obligations (positive Union loyalty). The Member States must also refrain from any measures that endanger the realization of the objectives of the European Union (negative Union loyalty).<sup>24</sup> The loyalty obligation also binds, in addition to the legislator, the tax authorities and the courts of the Member States.<sup>25</sup>

#### Effectiveness

Effectiveness or the *effet utile* principle has a close connection to the loyalty principle. The Member States must provide for the effective realization of EU law in their legislation, administration and jurisdiction. The Member States must provide for effective legal remedies for the purposes of the realization of the rights based on EU law before the tax authorities and the courts. They must give full force and effect to EU law as interpreted in the decisions of the EU Court.<sup>26</sup>

## Assimilation or equivalence

The assimilation, or equivalence, principle obliges the Member States to use as efficient measures for the execution of their EU law obligations as they do for the execution of national provisions.<sup>27</sup> It must not be more complicated to appeal to EU law than it is to appeal to national laws.

<sup>23.</sup> See e.g. Commission v. Italy (C-358/98), paras. 16 and 17, Commission v. France (C-160/99), para. 22 and Commission v. Belgium (C-522/04), para. 70.

<sup>24.</sup> See e.g. Simmenthal (106/77), paras. 16 and 21 and Factortame (C-213/89), para. 19.

<sup>25.</sup> See also e.g. van Thiel 2009, pp. 292-299 and Wittock 2014, pp. 171-188 about the loyalty principle in tax matters.

<sup>26.</sup> Simmenthal (106/77), Heylens (222/86), Borelli (C-97/91), Kühne and Heitz (C-453/00), Kapferer (C-234/04) and Pelati d.o.o. (C-603/10), paras. 23 and 24. See also e.g. van Thiel 2009, pp. 299-301 about the effectiveness principle.

<sup>27.</sup> See e.g. Kapferer (C-234/04), Meilicke (C-262/09) and Pelati d.o.o. (C-603/10), para. 23. See also e.g. van Thiel 2009, pp. 301-305.

## Primacy and direct effect

The primacy and direct application of the EU law provisions by the domestic authorities and courts have a close connection to the efficiency and the loyalty principles.<sup>28</sup> The EU law provisions and principles must be applied ex officio, even if the taxpayer would not refer to them.<sup>29</sup>

# 1.2.4. Tax consequences

#### More lenient tax treatment

The main purpose of the EU tax law norms is to abolish tax obstacles within the internal market. Therefore, in relation to direct taxes, the precedence of EU law has traditionally meant that EU law takes precedence over the domestic law or tax treaty provisions when EU law leads to more lenient tax consequences for the taxpayer.

The domestic law or tax treaty norms on direct taxation that mean more lenient tax consequences to the taxpayer than EU law requires have traditionally not been in conflict with EU law. EU tax law, for example, does not require a minimum corporate tax, i.e. that national corporate taxes exceed a certain level.<sup>30</sup> Except for certain exceptions, the Member States have the right to choose not to levy certain direct taxes, provided that the tax treatment does not amount to selective state aid forbidden by the TFEU.<sup>31</sup>

#### Taxing obligation

Despite the primary purpose of the EU founding treaties to abolish obstacles within the internal market, the principle of the most lenient provision is not without exception. The number of such EU tax law provisions that require taxation irrespective of a possibly more lenient domestic law or tax treaty provision have increased. The legitimacy of such EU tax law provisions may be based, for example, on the assumption that tax avoidance is harmless to the proper functioning of the internal market.

<sup>28.</sup> Costa v. ENEL (6/64) and Van Gend & Loos (26/62).

<sup>29.</sup> Van Schijndel (C-431/93).

<sup>30.</sup> Unlike in the case of direct taxes, the principle of the most lenient provision cannot be followed in the case of indirect taxes that are subject to broader harmonization than direct taxes.

<sup>31.</sup> About state aid, *see* sec. 1.6.2.; for the limits of non-taxation, *see* e.g. Sullivan 2009, pp. 189-198.

### Contact

IBFD Head Office Rietlandpark 301 1019 DW Amsterdam P.O. Box 20237 1000 HE Amsterdam The Netherlands

Tel.: +31-20-554 0100 (GMT+1)

Email: info@ibfd.org
Web: www.ibfd.org

