

Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights

Below you will find a questionnaire filled in by Dikshit Sengupta, Professor at the *National Institute of Public Finance and Policy* and OPTR National Reporter of India.

This set of questionnaires comprise the National Reporter's assessment on the country practice during 2018 in the protection of taxpayers' rights (Questionnaire # 1), and the level of fulfilment of the minimum standards and best practices on the practical protection of taxpayers' rights identified by Prof. Dr. Philip Baker and Prof. Dr. Pasquale Pistone at the 2015 IFA Congress on "The Practical Protection of Taxpayers' Fundamental Rights" (Questionnaire # 2). These questionnaires were filled in considering the following parameters:

- 1. For Questionnaire # 1, an assertive assessment (yes/no) was required on the effective implementation in domestic law of 82 legal safeguards, guarantees and procedures relevant in 12 specific areas for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights, as identified by Baker & Pistone in 2015. This line of questioning aims to get an overview of the state of protection of taxpayers' rights in the country in 2018.
- 2. For Questionnaire # 2, an impartial, non-judgmental evaluation was required on the developments, either of improvement or of decline, in the level of realisation of 57 minimum standards and 44 best practices, distributed into 87 benchmarks for the practical protection of taxpayers' rights. In this regard, a summary of events occurred in 2018 (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices), that serve as grounds for each particular assessment, was also required.

© 2018 IBFD. No part of this information may be reproduced or distributed without permission of IBFD.



Observatory on the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights Questionnaire No. 1: Country Practice

	1. Identifying taxpayers and issuing tax returns		
#	Question	Yes	No
1	Do taxpayers have the right to see the information held about them by the tax authority?	0	•
2	If yes, can they request the correction of errors in the information?	0	0
3	In your country, is there a system of "cooperative compliance" / "enhanced relationship" which applies to some taxpayers only?	0	•
4	If yes, are there rules or procedures in place to ensure this system is available to all eligible taxpayers on a non-preferential/non discriminatory/non arbitrary basis?	0	0
5	Is it possible in your country for taxpayers to communicate electronically with the tax authority?	•	0
6	If yes, are there systems in place to prevent unauthorised access to the channel of communication?	•	0
7	Are there special arrangements for individuals who face particular difficulties (e.g. the disabled, the elderly, other special cases) to receive assistance in complying with their tax obligations?	0	•

	2. The issue of tax assessments			
#	Question	Yes	No	
8	If a systematic error in the assessment of tax comes to light (e.g. the tax authority loses a tax case and it is clear that tax has been collected on a wrong basis), does the tax authority act ex officio to notify all affected taxpayers and arrange repayments to them?	0	•	
9	Does a dialogue take place in your country between the taxpayer and the tax authority before the issue of an assessment in order to reach an agreed assessment?	0	•	
10	If yes, can the taxpayer request a meeting with the tax officer?	0	0	

	3. Confidentiality		
#	Question	Yes	No
11	Is information held by your tax authority automatically encrypted?	0	•
12	Is access to information held by the tax authority about a specific taxpayer accessible only to the tax official(s) dealing with that taxpayer's affairs?	•	0
13	If yes, must the tax official identify himself/herself before accessing information held about a specific taxpayer?	•	0
14	Is access to information held about a taxpayer audited internally to check if there has been any unauthorised access to that information?	•	0
15	Are there examples of tax officials who have been criminally prosecuted in the last decade for unauthorised access to taxpayers' data?	0	•
16	Is information about the tax liability of specific taxpayers publicly available in your country?	0	•
17	Is "naming and shaming" of non-compliant taxpayers practised in your country?	0	•
18	Is there a system in your country by which the courts may authorise the public disclosure of information held by the tax authority about specific taxpayers (e.g. habeas data or freedom of information?	•	0
19	Is there a system of protection of legally privileged communications between the taxpayer and its advisors?	•	0
20	If yes, does this extend to advisors other than those who are legally qualified (e.g. accountants, tax advisors)?	0	•

Country	v: I	ln	dia

National Reporter: D.P.Sengupta

Affiliation ☐ax Administration ☐ax Practitioner ☐udiciary ☐Tax) Ombudsman

	7. Criminal and administrative sanctions				
#	Question				
56	Does the principle ne bis in idem apply in your country to prevent either (a) the imposition of a tax penalty and the tax liability; (b) the imposition of more than one tax penalty for the same conduct; (c) the imposition of a tax penalty and a criminal liability?	√ S	□A	D3	□ :
57	If ne bis in idem is recognised, does this prevent two parallel sets of court proceedings arising from the same factual circumstances (e.g. a tax court and a criminal court)?	Oes	O۱۰		
58	If the taxpayer makes a voluntary disclosure of a tax liability, can this result in a reduced or a zero penalty?	Ores	⊚ No		

	8. Enforcement of taxes			
#	Question	Yes	No	
59	Does the taxpayer have the right to request a deferred payment of taxes or a payment in instalments (perhaps with a guarantee)?	•	0	
60	Is a court order always necessary before the tax authorities can access a taxpayer's bank account or other assets?	0	•	

	9. Cross-border procedures		
#	Question	Yes	No
61	Does the taxpayer have the right to be informed before information relating to him is exchanged in response to a specific request?	0	•
62	Does the taxpayer have a right to be informed before information is sought from third parties in response to a specific request for exchange of information?	0	•
63	If no to either of the previous two questions, did your country previously recognise the right of taxpayers to be informed and was such right removed in the context of the peer review by the Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information?	0	•
64	Does the taxpayer have the right to be heard by the tax authority before the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	0	•
65	Does the taxpayer have the right to challenge before the judiciary the exchange of information relating to him with another country?	0	•
66	Does the taxpayer have the right to see any information received from another country that relates to him?	•	0
67	Does the taxpayer have the right in all cases to require a mutual agreement procedure is initiated?	•	0
68	Does the taxpayer have a right to see the communications exchanged in the context of a mutual agreement procedure?		

	10. Legislation			
#	Question	Yes	No	
69	Is there a procedure in your country for public consultation before the adopting of all (or most) tax legislation?	•	0	
70	Is tax legislation subject to constitutional review which can strike down unconstitutional laws?	•	0	
71	Is there a prohibition on retrospective tax legislation in your country?	0	•	
72	If no, are there restrictions on the adoption of retrospective tax legislation in your country?	0	•	

	4. Normal audits		
#	Question	Yes	No
21	Does the principle <i>audi alteram partem</i> apply in the tax audit process (i.e. does the taxpayer have to be notified of all decisions taken in the process and have the right to object and be heard before the decision is finalised)?	•	0
22	Are there time limits applicable to the conduct of a normal audit in your country (e.g. the audit must be concluded within so many months?	•	0
23	If yes, what is the normal limit in months?	12 months	•
24	Does the taxpayer have the right to be represented by a person of its choice in the audit process?	•	0
25	May the opinion of independent experts be used in the audit process?	•	0
26	Does the taxpayer have the right to receive a full report on the conclusions of the audit at the end of the process?	•	0
27	Does the principle <i>ne bis in idem</i> apply to tax audits (i.e. that the taxpayer can only receive one audit in respect of the same taxable period)?	0	•
28	If yes, does this mean only one audit per tax per year?	0	0
29	Are there limits to the frequency of audits of the same taxpayer (e.g. in respect to different periods or different taxes)?	0	•
30	Does the taxpayer have the right to request an audit (e.g. if the taxpayer wishes to get finality of taxation for a particular year)?	0	•

	5. More intensive audits		
#	Question	Yes	No
31	Is authorisation by a court always needed before the tax authority may enter and search premises?	0	•
32	May the tax authority enter and search the dwelling places of individuals?	•	0
33	Is there a procedure in place to ensure that legally privileged material is not taken in the course of a search?	0	•
34	Is a court order required before the tax authority can use interception of communications (e.g. telephone tapping or access to electronic communications)?	0	•
35	Is the principle nemo tenetur applied in tax investigations (i.e. the principle against self- incrimination?	0	•
36	If yes, is there a restriction on the use of information supplied by the taxpayer in a subsequent penalty procedure/criminal procedure?	0	0
37	If yes to nemo tenetur, can the taxpayer raise this principle to refuse to supply basic accounting information to the tax authority?	0	0
38	Is there a procedure applied in your country to identify a point in time during an investigation when it becomes likely that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or a criminal charge, and from that time onwards the taxpayer's right not to self-incriminate is recognised?	0	•
39	If yes, is there a requirement to give the taxpayer a warning that the taxpayer can rely on the right of non-self-incrimination?	0	0

	6. Review and appeals		
#	Question	Yes	No
40	Is there a procedure for an internal review of an assessment/decision before the taxpayer appeals to the judiciary?	0	•
41	Are there any arrangements for alternative dispute resolution (e.g. mediation or arbitration) before a tax case proceeds to the judiciary?	0	•
42	Is it necessary for the taxpayer to bring his case first before an administrative court to quash the assessment/decision, before the case can proceed to a judicial hearing?	0	•
43	Are there time limits applicable for a tax case to complete the judicial appeal process?	0	•
44	If yes, what is the normal time it takes for a tax case to be concluded on appeal?		•
45	Does the taxpayer have to pay some/all the tax before an appeal can be made (i.e. solve et repete)?	•	0

11. Revenue practice and guidance			
#	Question	Yes	No
73	Does the tax authority in your country publish guidance (e.g. revenue manuals, circulars, etc.) as to how it applies your tax law?	•	0
74	If yes, can taxpayers acting in good faith rely on that published guidance (i.e. protectoin of legitimate expectations)?	•	0
75	Does your country have a generalised system of advanced rulings available to taxpayers?	•	0
76	If yes, is it legally binding?	•	0
77	If a binding rule is refused, does the taxpayer have a right to appeal?	0	•

	12. Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers'rights										
#	Question	Yes	No								
78	Is there a taxpayers' charter or taxpayers' bill of rights in your country?	•	0								
79	If yes, are its provisions legally effective?	0	•								
80	Is there a (tax) ombudsman / taxpayers' advocate / equivalent position in your country?	0	•								
81	If yes, can the ombudsman intervene in an on-going dispute between the taxpayer and the tax authority (before it goes to court)?	0	0								
82	If yes to a (tax) ombudsman, is he/she independent from the tax authority?	0	0								

46	If yes, are there exceptions recognised where the taxpayer does not need to pay before appealing (i.e. can obtain an interim suspension of the tax debt?	•	0
47	Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the first instance tribunal?	0	•
48	Does the taxpayer need permission to appeal to the second or higher instance tribunals?	0	•
49	Is there a system for the simplified resolution of tax disputes (e.g. by a determination on the file, or by e/filing?	0	•
50	Is the principle audi alteram partem (i.e. each party has a right to a hearing) applied in all tax appeals?	•	0
51	Does the loser have to pay the costs in a tax appeal?	0	•
52	If yes, are there situations recognised where the loser does not need to pay the costs (e.g. because of the conduct of the other party)?	0	0
53	Are judgments of tax tribunals published?	•	0
54	If yes, can the taxpayer preserve its anonymity in the judgment?	0	•
55	If there is usually a public hearing, can the taxpayer request a hearing in camera (i.e. not in public) to preserve secrecy/confidentiality)?	0	•



National Repor	ter: D.P.Seng	gupta			
Affiliation	ax Administration	ax Practitioner	udiciary	Tax) Ombudsman	Academia

IBFI	1. Identifying taxpayers and issuing tax returns								
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018				
1	Implement safeguards to prevent impersonation when issuing unique identification number		0	0					
2	The system of taxpayer identification should take account of religious sensitivities		0	0					
3	Impose obligations of confidentiality on third parties with respect to information gathered by them for tax purposes		0	0					
4		Where tax is withheld by third parties, the taxpayer should be excluded from liability if the third party fails to pay over the tax	0	0					
5	Where pre/populated returns are used, these should be sent to taxpayers to correct errors		0	0					
6		Publish guidance on taxpayers' rights to access information and correct inaccuracies	0	0					
7	Where communication with taxpayers is in electronic form, institute systems to prevent impersonation or interception		0	0					
8	Where a system of "cooperative compliance" operates, ensure it is available on a non-discriminatory and voluntary basis		0	0					
9	Provide assistance for those who face difficulties in meeting compliance obligations, including those with disabilites, those located in remote areas, and those unable or unwilling to use electronic forms of communication		0	0	There is no special arrangements for disabled or the elderly to receive assistance with compliance. However, there is a general scheme of income tax return preparers (TRP) where a TRP will come to the residence of a person and help with return preparation and filing against a nominal fee. Of course, this is available only to those that do not have to get their accounts audited.(https://www.incometaxindia.gov.in/Pages/trps.aspx)				
	2. The issue of tax assessment								
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018				
10		Establish a constructive dialogue between taxpayers and revenue authorities to ensure a fair assessment of taxes based on equality of arms	0	0					
11		Use e-filing to speed up assessments and correction of errors, particularly systematic errors	0	•	The government has introduced electronic processing of returms in certain cases vide CBDT Circular no 01/2018. Besides, the tax department will also conduct scrutiny of cases electronically in all cases during the year 2018-19 except in search and seizure cases. (CBDT Instruction No 3/2018) (F.No 225/249/2018-ITA-II)				
		3. Co	nfident	tiality					
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018				

12	sanctions for officials who make iinalithorised disclosures (and I	Encrypt information held by a tax authority about taxpayers to the highest level attainable.	0	0	
13	Restrict access to data to those officials authorised to consult it. For encrypted data, use digital access codes.	Ensure an effective fire-wall to prevent unauthorised access to data held by revenue authorities.	0	0	
14	Audit data access periodically to identify cases of unauthorised access.		0	0	
15	Introduce administrative measures emphasizing confidentiality to tax officials.	Appoint data protection/privacy officers at senior level and local tax offices.	0	0	
16	Where pre/populated returns are used, these should be sent to taxpayers to correct errors.		0	0	
17	If a breach of confidentiality occurs, investigate fully with an appropriate level of seniority by independent persons (e.g. judges).		0	0	
18	Introduce an offence for tax officials covering up unauthorised disclosure of confidential information.		0	0	
19	Exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality should be explicitly stated in the law, narrowly drafted and interpreted.		0	0	
20	If "naming and shaming" is employed, ensure adequate safeguards (e.g. judicial authorisation after proceedings involving the taxpayer).		0	0	Income tax proceedings are confidential and the details of a taxpayer cannot ordinarily be disclosed under section 138 of the ITA. However, a list of top tax defaulters has been publicised since 2016. This does not give other details like the facts or the modes employed by the taxpayers. (https://www.businesstoday.in/sectors/banks/income-tax-department-names-and-shames-loan-defaulters-490-crore/story/273661.html)
21	No disclosure of confidential taxpayer information to	Parliamentary supervision of revenue authorities should involve independent officials, subject to confidentiality obligations, examining specific taxpayer data, and then reporting to Parliament.	0	0	
22	Freedom of information legislation may allow a taxpayer to access information about himself. However, access to information by third parties should be subject to stringent safeguards: only if an independent tribunal concludes that the public interest in disclosure outweighs the right of confidentiality, and only after a hearing where the taxpayer has an opportunity to be heard.		0	0	
23	If published, tax rulings should be anonymised and details that might identify the taxpayer removed.	Anonymise all tax judgments and remove details that might identify the taxpayer	0	0	
24	Legal professional privilege should apply to tay advice	Privilege from disclosure should apply to all tax advisors (not just lawers) who supply similar advice to lawyers. Information imparted in circumstances of confidentiality may be privileged from disclosure.	0	0	
25	Where tax authorities enter premises which may contain privileged material, arrangements should be made (e.g. an independent lawyer) to protect that privilege.		0	0	
		4. No	ormal a	udits	
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018

#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Away	Towards	Summary of Felevalit facts in 2010
		5. More	Intensiv Shift	ve audit Shift	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
29		audit does not result in additional tax or refund.		_	
38	The completion of a tax audit should be accurately reflected in a document, notified in its full text to the taxpayer.	The drafting of the final audit report should involve participation by the taxpayer, with the opportunity to correct inaccuracies of facts and to express the taxpayer's view. Following an audit, a report should be prepared even if the	0	0	
37	Technical assistance (including representation) should be available at all stages of the audit by experts selected by the taxpayer.		0	0	
36		Reasonable time limits should be fixed for the conduct of audits.	0	0	
35	Taxpayers should be informed of information gathering from third parties.		0	0	
34	Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should inform the taxpayer	Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should hold an initial meeting with the taxpayer in which they spell out the aims and procedure, together with timescale and targets. They should then disclose any additional evidence in their possession to the taxpayer.	0	0	
33		Taxpayers should be entitled to request the start of a tax audit (to obtain finality).	0	0	
32		A manual of good practice in tax audits should be established at the global level.	0	0	
31	should be respected in all tax audits.	Tax audits should follow a pattern that is set out in publised guidelines.	0	0	
30	In application of <i>nemo tenetur</i> , the right to remain silent		0	0	
29	In application of <i>audi alteram partem</i> , taxpayers should have the right to attend all relevant meetings with tax authorities (assisted by advisors), the right to provide factual information, and to present their views before decisions of the tax authorities become final.		0	0	
28		In application of <i>ne bis in idem</i> the taxpayer should only receive one audit per taxable period, except when facts that become known after the audit was completed.	0	0	
27	In application of proportionality, tax authorities may only request for information that is strictly needed, not otherwise available, and must impose least burdensome impact on taxpayers.		0	0	
26	Audits should respect the following principles: (i) Proportionality. (2) Ne bis in idem (prohibition of double jeopardy). (3) Audi alteram partem (right to be heard before any decision is taken). (4) Nemo tenetur se detegere (principle against self/incrimination). Tax notices issued in violation of these principles should be null and void.		0	0	

	If there is point in an audit when it becomes foreseeable that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or criminal charge, from that time the taxpayer should have stronger protection of his right to silence, and statements from the taxpayer should not be used in the audit procedure.		0	0	
42	Entering premises or interception of communications should be authorised by the judiciary.		0	0	
43	Authorisation within the revenue authorities should only be in cases of urgency, and subsequently reported to the judiciary for <i>ex post</i> ratification.		0	0	
44	Inspection of the taxpayer's home should require authorisation by the judiciary and only be given in exceptional cases.	Where tax authorities intend to search the taxpayer's premises, the taxpayer should be informed and have an opportunity to appear before the judicial authority, subject to exception where there is evidence of danger that documents will be removed or destroyed.	0	0	
45		Access to bank information should require judicial authorisation.	0	0	
46		Authorisation by the judiciary should be necessary for interception of telephone communications and monitoring of internet access. Specialised offices within the judiciary should be established to supervise these actions.	0	0	
47	Seizure of documents should be subject to a requirement to give reasons why seizure is indispensable, and to fix the time when documents will be returned; seizure should be limited in time.		0	0	
48		If data are held on a computer hard drive, then a backup should be made in the presence of the taxpayer's advisors and the original left with the taxpayer.	0	0	
49	Where invasive techniques are applied, they should be limited in time to avoid disproportionate impact on taxpayers.		0	0	
		6. Revie	w and	appeals	
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
50		E-filing of requests for internal review to ensure the effective and speedy handling of the review process.	0	0	
51	The right to appeal should not depend upon prior exhaustion of administrative reviews.		0	0	Although there is no appeal against the AAR ruling, the taxpayers can and do challenge the rulings before the High Court under the Court's writ jurisdiction. However, writs are not the same as appeals.
52		Reviews and appeals should not exceed two years.	0	0	The dispute resolution system in India is hierarchical. Normally, the first appeal lies with the Commissioner of Income-Tax (Appeals). Section 250 (6A) of the ITA states that where it is possible, such appeal should be disposed of within one year from the end of the financial year in which the appeal is filed. The next appeal, lies to the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal. Here also section 254 (2A) of the ITA it is stated that where it is possible, the appeal should be decided within four years from the end of the financial year in which the appeal is filed. In the case of the Authority of Advance Ruling, it is laid down by section 24SR that the ruling shall be given within 6 months of the receipt of the application. There is no time limit prescribed for the disposal of appeals by the High Courts and the Supreme Court.
53	Audi alteram partem should apply in administrative reviews and judicial appeals.		0	0	
	Where tax must be paid in whole or in part before and appeal,	An appeal should not require prior payment of tax in all cases.	0	0	Although there is no provision in law, Courts have held that any appellate forum has the inherent power to grant stay in
54	there must be an effective mechanism for providing interim suspension of payment.	The state should bear some or all of the costs of an appeal,			appropriate cases.

56	Legal assistance should be provided for those taxpayers who cannot afford it.		0	0	
57	Taxpayers should have the right to request the exclusion of the public from a tax appeal hearing.		0	0	In the proceedings before the CITA and AAR only the taxpayer or his representative and the departmental representative will be present. One has not come across any instance of in camera hearing before the Tribunal. As for the Courts, proceedings are normally held in open court in India although in very exceptional cases, the court may allow in camera hearing. Theoretically, the taxpayer may make a request. The Authority of Advance ruling at times anonymise the rulings if the taxpayer requests for the same.
58	Tax judgments should be published.		0	0	
		7. Criminal and a	adminis	trative	sanctions
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
59	Proportionality and <i>ne bis in idem</i> should apply to tax penalties.		0	0	
60		Where administrative and criminal sanctions may both apply, only one procedure and one sanction should be applied.	0	0	
61		Voluntary disclosure should lead to reduction of penalties.	0	0	
62	Sanctions should not be increased simply to encourage taxpayers to make voluntary disclosures.		0	0	
		8. Enforc	cement	of taxe	es control of the con
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
63	Collection of taxes should never deprive taxpayers of their minimum necessary for living.		0	0	
64		Authorisation by the judiciary should be required before seizing assets or bank accounts	0	0	
65	Taxpayers should have the right to request delayed payment of arrears.		0	0	
66		Bankruptcy of taxpayers should be avoided, by partial remission of the debt or structured plans for deferred payment.	0	0	
67	Temporary suspension of tax enforcement should follow natural disasters.		0	0	
		9. Cross-b	order n	rocedu	res
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
68	The requesting state should notify the taxpayer of cross- border requests for information, unless it has specific grounds for considering that this would prejudice the process of investigation. The requested state should inform the taxpayer unless it has a reasoned request from the requesting state that the taxpayer should not be informed on grounds that it would prejudice the investigation.	The taxpayer should be informed that a cross-border request for information is to be made.	0	0	
69		Where a cross-border request for information is made, the requested state should also be asked to supply information that assists the taxpayer.	0	0	

70		Provisions should be included in tax treaties setting specific conditions for exchange of information.	0	0	
71	If information is sought from third parties, judicial authorisation should be necessary.		0	0	
72		The taxpayer should be given access to information received by the requesting state.	0	0	
73		Information should not be supplied in response to a request where the originating cause was the acquisition of stolen or illegally obtained information.	0	0	
74		A requesting state should provide confirmation of confidentiality to the requested state.	0	0	
75	A state should not be entitled to receive information if it is unable to provide independent, verifiable evidence that it observes high standards of data protection.		0	0	
76		For automatic exchange of financial information, the taxpayer should be notified of the proposed exchange in sufficient time to exercise data protection rights.	0	0	
77		Taxpayers should have a right to request initiation of mutual agreement procedure.	0	0	
78	Taxpayers should have a right to participate in mutual agreement procedure by being heard and being informed as to progress of the procedure.		0	0	
		10.	Legisla	tion	
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
79	Retrospective tax legislation should only be permitted in limited circumstances which are spelt out in detail.	Retrospective tax legislation should ideally be banned completely.	0	0	
80		Public consultation should precede the making of tax policy and tax law.	0	0	In India, most of the changes in the tax law are effected through the budget. A Finance Bill is prepared and the same is then debated in the Parliament. There is a time gap between the presentation of the Finance Bill and its final passing by the Parliament during which the public can and do air their grievances, if any. In that sense there is a public consultation. Besides, the government often releases consultation documents before finalising guidelines etc. on important issues.
		11 Days			Managa
		11. Revenue r	oractice	and gu	Idance
#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift	Shift	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
		Best practice	Away	Towards	Juninary of relevant facts in 2010
81	Taxpayers should be entitled to access all relevant legal material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance.	Sest produce	Away	0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents. In some cases of transactions of very high value, even residents have been allowed to approach it.
81	material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations,			0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents.
	material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance. Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do		0	0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents.
82	material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance. Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet. Binding rulings should only be published in an anonymised		0	0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents.
82	material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance. Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet. Binding rulings should only be published in an anonymised form Where a taxpayer relies upon published guidance of a revenue authority which subsequently proves to be inaccurate, changes		0 0	0 0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents. In some cases of transactions of very high value, even residents have been allowed to approach it.
82	material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance. Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet. Binding rulings should only be published in an anonymised form Where a taxpayer relies upon published guidance of a revenue authority which subsequently proves to be inaccurate, changes		0 0	0 0	India has an authority of advance ruling who can give rulings in advance. It is open to all non-residents on all issues except where valuation issues are involved. It can also give a ruling on the applicability of GAAR to both residents and non-residents. In some cases of transactions of very high value, even residents have been allowed to approach it.

#	Minimum standard	Best practice	Shift Away	Shift Towards	Summary of relevant facts in 2018
85	Adoption of a charter or statement of taxpayers' rights should be a minimum standard.	A separate statement of taxpayers' rights under audit should be provided to taxpayers who are audited.	0	0	
86		A taxpayer advocate or ombudsman should be established to scrutinise the operations of the tax authority, handle specific complaints, and intervene in appropriate cases. Best practice is the establishment of a separate office within the tax authority but independent from normal operations of that authority.	0	0	There was an institution of Income Tax Ombudsman. The website of the tax department still shows the same. However, it is learnt that over the last few years there have been no fresh appointments of Ombudsmen.
87		The organisational structure for the protection of taxpayers' rights should operate at local level as well as nationally.	0	0	