



## **Observatory for the Protection of Taxpayers' Rights**

Below you will find a questionnaire filled in by or with the contribution of the National Reporter of Canada, Mr. Salvatore Mirandola, a representative of the tax practitioners.

This questionnaire comprises the National Reporter assessment on the level of compliance of the minimum standards and best practices on the practical protection of taxpayers' rights identified by Prof. Dr. Pistone and Prof. Dr. Philip Baker at the 2015 IFA Congress on "*The Practical Protection of Taxpayers' Rights*". This report was filled in considering the following parameters:

1. It contains information on those issues in which there were movements towards or away from the level of compliance of the relevant standard/best practice in Canada between 2015 and 2017.
2. It is indicated, by the use of a checkmark () whether there were movements towards or away from of the level of compliance of the relevant standard/best practice in Canada between 2015 and 2017.

It contains a summarized account on facts (legislation enacted, administrative rulings, circulars, case law, tax administration practices) that serves as grounds for each particular assessment of the level of compliance of a given minimum standard / best practice, in a non-judgmental way.

## Canada

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>1. Identifying taxpayers, issuing tax returns and communicating with taxpayers</b>				
Implement safeguards to prevent impersonation when issuing unique identification numbers				
The system of taxpayer identification should take account of religious sensitivities				
Impose obligations of confidentiality on third parties with respect to information gathered by them for tax purposes	Where tax is withheld by third parties, the taxpayer should be excluded from liability if the third party fails to pay over the tax			
Where pre-populated returns are used, these should be sent to taxpayers to correct errors				
Provide a right of access for taxpayers to personal information held about them, and a right to apply to correct inaccuracies	Publish guidance on taxpayers' rights to access information and correct inaccuracies			
Where communication with taxpayers is in electronic form, institute systems to prevent impersonation or interception				
Where a system of "cooperative compliance" operates, ensure it is available on a non-discriminatory and voluntary basis				
Provide assistance for those who face difficulties in meeting compliance obligations, including those with disabilities, those located in remote areas, and those unable or unwilling to use electronic forms		✓		The Canada Revenue Agency continues to improve the number of services that it can provide online. Although this doesn't help taxpayers who are unwilling or unable to use electronic forms of communication, it does help those located in remote areas. The main landing page on CRA's website is here: <a href="https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-">https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-</a>

of communication				login-services.htmlhttps://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-login-services.html
Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>2. The issue of tax assessment</b>				
	Establish a constructive dialogue between taxpayers and revenue authorities to ensure a fair assessment of taxes based on equality of arms		✓	<p>On June 9, 017 Canada Revenue Agency and Canada’s Minister of National Revenue announced consultations on proposed changes to Canada’s voluntary disclosures program, as a form of “amnesty” program that permits taxpayers to disclose unreported tax or other tax omissions, increasing collection and reducing audits.</p> <p>In December 2016 it was released the report on voluntary disclosure program by the Offshore Compliance Advisory Committee, which was established by Canada’s Minister of National Revenue in April 2016 to provide advice to the Minister and the Canada Revenue Agency on administrative strategies to deal with offshore tax compliance (limited access to voluntary disclosures for repeat users, less general relief in certain circumstances, and the disclosure of advisors who assisted with non-compliance)</p> <p>As of 21October2017, none of the proposed changes to the voluntary disclosure has been implemented. It is understood that CRA is reviewing various submissions made by concerned taxpayers, and that changes to the voluntary disclosures program will be implemented later.</p>
	Use e-filing to speed up assessments and correction of errors, particularly systematic errors	✓		<p>The Canada Revenue Agency continues to improve the number of services that it can provide online. Although this doesn’t help taxpayers who are unwilling or unable to use electronic forms of communication, it does help those located in remote areas. The main landing page on CRA’s website is here:  <a href="https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-login-services.html">https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-login-services.html</a> <a href="https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-login-services.html">https://www.canada.ca/en/revenue-agency/services/e-services/cra-login-services.html</a></p>
<b>3. Confidentiality</b>				
Provide a specific legal guarantee for confidentiality, with sanctions for officials who make unauthorised	Encrypt information held by a tax authority about taxpayers to the highest level attainable			

disclosures (and ensure sanctions are enforced)				
Restrict access to data to those officials authorised to consult it. For encrypted data, use digital access codes	Ensure an effective fire-wall to prevent unauthorised access to data held by revenue authorities			
Audit data access periodically to identify cases of unauthorised access				
Introduce administrative measures emphasising confidentiality to tax officials	Appoint data protection/privacy officers at senior level and local tax offices			
If a breach of confidentiality occurs, investigate fully with an appropriate level of seniority by independent persons (e.g. judges)				
Introduce an offence for tax officials covering up unauthorised disclosure of confidential information				

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>3. Confidentiality (cont).</b>				
Provide remedies for taxpayers who are victims of unauthorised disclosure of confidential information				
Exceptions to the general rule of confidentiality should be explicitly stated in the law, narrowly drafted and interpreted		Neutral	Neutral	<p>Report of the Standing Committee on Finance entitled “<i>the Canada Revenue Agency, Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion</i>” (2016):</p> <p>The Minister of National Revenue, by 31 August 2017, shall establish a regular reporting program for the Canada Revenue Agency that would facilitate the public availability of statistical information about enforcement efforts in relation to tax evasion and tax avoidance schemes.</p> <p>The reporting program should identify the number of investigations leading to convictions or settlements, and associated penalties and</p>

				interest rates, as well as enforcement efforts in relation to high-risk individuals and corporations.
If “naming and shaming” is employed, ensure adequate safeguards (e.g. judicial authorisation after proceedings involving the taxpayer)	Require judicial authorisation before any disclosure of confidential information by revenue authorities			
No disclosure of confidential taxpayer information to politicians, or where it might be used for political purposes	Parliamentary supervision of revenue authorities should involve independent officials, subject to confidentiality obligations, examining specific taxpayer data, and then reporting to Parliament			
Freedom of information legislation may allow a taxpayer to access information about himself. However, access to information by third parties should be subject to stringent safeguards: only if an independent tribunal concludes that the public interest in disclosure outweighs the right of confidentiality, and only after a hearing where the taxpayer has an opportunity to be heard				
If published, tax rulings should be anonymised and details that might identify the taxpayer removed	Anonymise all tax judgments and remove details that might identify the taxpayer			

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>3. Confidentiality (cont).</b>				
Legal professional privilege should apply to tax advice	Privilege from disclosure should apply to all tax advisors (not just lawyers) who supply similar advice to lawyers. Information imparted in circumstances of confidentiality may be privileged from disclosure	✓		On 3June2017, the Supreme Court of Canada released its decisions in <i>Canada v. Chambres des notaires du Québec</i> , 2016 SCC 20 and <i>Minister of National Revenue v. Thompson</i> , 2016 SCC 21. Taken together, these decisions confirm the quasi-constitutional status of solicitor-client privilege in Canada.

Where tax authorities enter premises which may contain privileged material, arrangements should be made (e.g. an independent lawyer) to protect that privilege				
<b>4. Normal audits.</b>				
Audits should respect the following principles: (1) Proportionality (2) <i>Ne bis in idem</i> (prohibition on double jeopardy) (3) <i>Audi alteram partem</i> (right to be heard before any decision is taken) (4) <i>Nemo tenetur se detegere</i> (principle against self-incrimination). Tax notices issued in violation of these principles should be null and void				
In application of proportionality, tax authorities may only request for information that is strictly needed, not otherwise available, and must impose least burdensome impact on taxpayers		✓		<p>In <i>BP Canada Energy Company v. Minister of National Revenue</i>, 2017 FCA 61, Canada's Federal Court of Appeal reversed a lower court decision that had the effect of generally granting the Canada Revenue Agency unrestricted access to the tax accrual working papers of a taxpayer.</p> <p>In <i>Minister of National Revenue v. Cameco Corporation</i>, 2017 FC 763, the Federal Court denied the Canada Revenue Agency's application for a compliance order in respect of CRA's attempt to compel the taxpayer to make 25 people available for oral examinations. The case is under appeal to the Federal Court of Appeal.</p>
	In application of <i>ne bis in idem</i> the taxpayer should only receive one audit per taxable period, except when facts that become known after the audit was completed			
<b>Minimum Standard</b>	<b>Best Practice</b>	<b>Shift towards</b>	<b>Shift away</b>	<b>Development</b>
<b>4. Normal audits (cont).</b>				

<p>In application of <i>audi alteram partem</i>, taxpayers should have the right to attend all relevant meetings with tax authorities (assisted by advisors), the right to provide factual information, and to present their views before decisions of the tax authorities become final</p>				
<p>In application of <i>nemo tenetur</i>, the right to remain silent should be respected in tax audits.</p>				
	<p>Tax audits should follow a pattern that is set out in published guidelines</p>	<p>✓</p>		<p>29November2016, “Report 2, Income Tax Objections—Canada Revenue Agency”: The Canada Revenue Agency should review the reasons objections are decided in favour of taxpayers so that it can identify opportunities to resolve issues before objections are filed. The Canada Revenue Agency should ensure that decisions on objections and appeals are shared within the Agency in such a way that those performing assessments can use that information to improve future assessments.</p> <p>On 5June17, Canada’s federal Taxpayers’ Ombudsman released a report entitled “Rights and Rulings: Understanding the Decision”. In the report, the Ombudsman made recommendations designed to improve transparency associated with CRA ruling letters in respect of determinations of whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor.</p>
	<p>A manual of good practice in tax audits should be established at the global level</p>	<p>✓</p>		<p>Report of the Standing Committee on Finance entitled “<i>the Canada Revenue Agency, Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion</i>”(2016): Strengthen protections for individuals under the Informant Leads Program and the Offshore Tax Informant Program.</p> <p>On 5June17, Canada’s federal Taxpayers’ Ombudsman released a report entitled “Rights and Rulings: Understanding the Decision”. In the report, the Ombudsman made recommendations designed to improve transparency associated with CRA ruling letters in respect of determinations of whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor.</p>
	<p>Taxpayers should be entitled to request the start of a tax audit (to obtain finality)</p>			

Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should inform the taxpayer	Where tax authorities have resolved to start an audit, they should hold an initial meeting with the taxpayer in which they spell out the aims and procedure, together with timescale and targets. They should then disclose any additional evidence in their possession to the taxpayer			
Taxpayers should be informed of information gathering from third parties				
	Reasonable time limits should be fixed for the conduct of audits			
Technical assistance (including representation) should be available at all stages of the audit by experts selected by the taxpayer				
<b>Minimum Standard</b>	<b>Best Practice</b>	<b>Shift towards</b>	<b>Shift away</b>	<b>Development</b>
<b>4. Normal audits (cont).</b>				
The completion of a tax audit should be accurately reflected in a document, notified in its full text to the taxpayer	The drafting of the final audit report should involve participation by the taxpayer, with the opportunity to correct inaccuracies of facts and to express the taxpayer's view			
	Following an audit, a report should be prepared even if the audit does not result in additional tax or refund			
<b>5. More intensive audits.</b>				
	More intensive audits should be limited to the extent strictly necessary to ensure an effective reaction to non-compliance			
If there is point in an audit when it becomes foreseeable that the taxpayer may be liable for a penalty or criminal charge, from that time the taxpayer should have stronger protection of his right to silence, and				



statements from the taxpayer should not be used in the audit procedure				
Entering premises or interception of communications should be authorised by the judiciary				
Authorisation within the revenue authorities should only be in cases of urgency, and subsequently reported to the judiciary for <i>ex post</i> ratification				
Inspection of the taxpayer's home should require authorisation by the judiciary and only be given in exceptional cases.	Where tax authorities intend to search the taxpayer's premises, the taxpayer should be informed and have an opportunity to appear before the judicial authority, subject to exception where there is evidence of danger that documents will be removed or destroyed			
	Access to bank information should require judicial authorisation			
Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>5. More intensive audits (cont).</b>				
	Authorisation by the judiciary should be necessary for interception of telephone communications and monitoring of internet access. Specialised offices within the judiciary should be established to supervise these actions			
Seizure of documents should be subject to a requirement to give reasons why seizure is indispensable, and to fix the time when documents will be returned; seizure should be limited in time				
	If data are held on a computer hard drive, then a backup should be made in the presence of the taxpayer's advisors and the original left with the taxpayer			

Where invasive techniques are applied, they should be limited in time to avoid disproportionate impact on taxpayers				
<b>6. Review and appeals.</b>				
	E-filing of requests for internal review to ensure the effective and speedy handling of the review process			
The right of appeal should not depend upon prior exhaustion of administrative reviews				
	Reviews and appeals should not exceed two years	✓		On 29 November 2016, the Auditor General of Canada released “Report 2, Income Tax Objections—Canada Revenue Agency”, which examined delays in Canada Revenue Agency’s management of objections filed by taxpayers to challenge income tax assessments. The recommendations set out in the report and the Canadian government’s response to the objections were tabled on 21 June 2017.
<i>Audi alteram partem</i> should apply in administrative reviews and judicial appeals				

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>6. Review and appeals (cont).</b>				
Where tax must be paid in whole or in part before an appeal, there must be an effective mechanism for providing interim suspension of payment	An appeal should not require prior payment of tax in all cases			
	The state should bear some or all of the costs of an appeal, whatever the outcome			
Legal assistance should be provided for those taxpayers who cannot afford it				
Taxpayers should have the right to request the exclusion of the public				

from a tax appeal hearing				
Tax judgments should be published				
<b>7. Criminal and administrative sanctions.</b>				
Proportionality and <i>ne bis in idem</i> should apply to tax penalties				
	Where administrative and criminal sanctions may both apply, only one procedure and one sanction should be applied			
	Voluntary disclosure should lead to reduction of penalties		✓	As noted above, proposals have been made to change Canada's voluntary disclosure program. One of the proposed changes is to restrict the kinds of penalty that will be waived in situations of "major non compliance" (high levels of taxpayer culpability). Also as noted above, the changes have been delayed.
Sanctions should not be increased simply to encourage taxpayers to make voluntary disclosures				
<b>8. Enforcement of taxes.</b>				
Collection of taxes should never deprive taxpayers of their minimum necessary for living				

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>8. Enforcement of taxes (cont).</b>				
	Authorisation by the judiciary should be required before seizing assets or bank accounts			
Taxpayers should have the right to request delayed payment of arrears				
	Bankruptcy of taxpayers should be avoided, by partial remission of the debt or structured plans for deferred payment			

Temporary suspension of tax enforcement should follow natural disasters				
<b>9. Cross-border procedures.</b>				
The requesting state should notify the taxpayer of cross-border requests for information, unless it has specific grounds for considering that this would prejudice the process of investigation. The requested state should inform the taxpayer unless it has a reasoned request from the requesting state that the taxpayer should not be informed on grounds that it would prejudice the investigation	The taxpayer should be informed that a cross-border request for information is to be made			
	Where a cross-border request for information is made, the requested state should also be asked to supply information that assists the taxpayer			
	Provisions should be included in tax treaties setting specific conditions for exchange of information			

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>9. Cross-border procedures (cont).</b>				
If information is sought from third parties, judicial authorisation should be necessary				
	The taxpayer should be given access to information received by the requesting state			
	Information should not be supplied in response to a request where the originating			

	<p>cause was the acquisition of stolen or illegally obtained information</p> <p>A requesting state should provide confirmation of confidentiality to the requested state</p>			
A state should not be entitled to receive information if it is unable to provide independent, verifiable evidence that it observe high standards of data protection				
	<p>For automatic exchange of financial information, the taxpayer should be notified of the proposed exchange in sufficient time to exercise data protection rights</p>	Neutral	Neutral	<p>Report of the Standing Committee on Finance entitled “<i>the Canada Revenue Agency, Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion</i>” (2016) made various recommendations, including that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Minister of National Revenue address offshore non-compliance increasing collaboration with other jurisdictions, including through enhanced joint audits with tax treaty partners.</li> <li>• The federal government review the 92 tax treaties and 22 tax information exchange agreements to which Canada is a party in order to ensure that they do not facilitate non-compliance with tax laws, particularly with respect to the secrecy associated with certain jurisdictions and their banking practices. This review should be completed by 31 August 2017.</li> </ul> <p>In December 2016, Canada passed legislation to formally implement country-by-country reporting for large multinationals. Also, on 3February2017 and 2March2017, respectively, the Canada Revenue Agency issued the relevant reporting form and related administrative guidance for country-by-country reporting.</p>
	Taxpayers should have a right to request initiation of mutual agreement procedure			
Taxpayers should have a right to participate in mutual agreement procedure by being heard and being informed as to progress of the procedure				

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>10. Legislation.</b>				
Retrospective tax legislation should only be permitted in limited circumstances which are spelt out in detail	Retrospective tax legislation should ideally be banned completely			
	Public consultation should precede the making of tax policy and tax law			
<b>11. Revenue practice and guidance.</b>				
Taxpayers should be entitled to access all relevant legal material, comprising legislation, administrative regulations, rulings, manuals and other guidance		✓		<p>5June2017, Canada's federal Taxpayers' Ombudsman released a report entitled "<i>Rights and Rulings: Understanding the Decision</i>". In the report, the Ombudsmen made recommendations to improve transparency associated with Canada Revenue Agency ruling letters in respect of determinations of whether a worker is an employee or independent contractor for purposes of the Canada Pension Plan and Canada's employment insurance legislation</p> <p>On 22March2017, in the federal government Budget papers, Canada announced that the Canada Revenue Agency has begun the spontaneous exchange with other tax administrations of tax rulings "that could otherwise give rise to BEPS concerns".</p>
Where legal material is available primarily on the internet, arrangements should be made to provide it to those who do not have access to the internet				
Binding rulings should only be published in an anonymised form				
Where a taxpayer relies upon published guidance of a revenue authority which subsequently proves to be inaccurate, changes should apply only prospectively				

Minimum Standard	Best Practice	Shift towards	Shift away	Development
<b>12. Institutional framework for protecting taxpayers' rights.</b>				
Adoption of a charter or statement of taxpayers' rights should be a minimum standard	A separate statement of taxpayers' rights under audit should be provided to taxpayers who are audited			
	A taxpayer advocate or ombudsman should be established to scrutinise the operations of the tax authority, handle specific complaints, and intervene in appropriate cases. Best practice is the establishment of a separate office within the tax authority but independent from normal operations of that authority			
	The organisational structure for the protection of taxpayers' rights should operate at local level as well as nationally			