

EC and International Tax Law Series

Volume 15

Taxation of Shipping and Air Transport in Domestic Law, EU Law and Tax Treaties

Tax Law

The main international sources of tax law are bilateral or multilateral treaties and on important source for the interpretation of the taxing rights on income arising within the jurisdiction of the two countries involved.

Guglielmo Maisto / Series Editor

IBFD

Taxation of Shipping and Air Transport in Domestic Law, EU Law and Tax Treaties

Why this book?

Taxation of Shipping and Air Transport in Domestic Law, EU Law and Tax Treaties, comprising the proceedings and working documents of an annual seminar held in Milan in November 2016, is a detailed and comprehensive study on the taxation of highly transnational industries engaged in the shipping and air transport sectors. It begins with a comparative analysis of the domestic regulatory and tax regimes of such industries and then examines the influence of EU law on national law, with a particular emphasis on the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Union on tonnage taxation systems and VAT regimes.

The book then moves to selected tax treaty issues. In particular, it analyses: (i) the historical background and the proposed changes to articles 8 and 15(3) of the OECD Model Convention; (ii) the recent developments concerning article 8 of the OECD Model Convention and the application of the place of effective management criterion, instead of the residence criterion, as connecting factor; (iii) the most relevant tax treaty issues related to the qualification, allocation and apportionment of income derived by shipping and air transport activities; and (iv) the taxation of the remuneration of crews of ships and aircraft.

Individual country surveys provide an in-depth analysis of the domestic tax regimes and actual tax treaty application and practices of various states, including Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, China and Hong Kong, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Italy, Liberia, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

This book presents a unique and detailed insight into the taxation of shipping and air transport activities in an international context and is therefore an essential reference source for international tax students, practitioners and academics.

Title:	Taxation of Shipping and Air Transport in Domestic Law, EU Law and Tax Treaties
Series:	EC and International Tax Law Series
Editor:	Guglielmo Maisto
Date of publication:	April 2017
ISBN (print/online):	978-90-8722-403-5
ISBN (eBook):	978-90-8722-404-2
Type of publication:	Book
Number of pages:	± 732
Terms:	Shipping fees apply. View shipping information
Price (print/online):	EUR 125 / USD 135 (VAT excl.)
Price (eBook):	EUR 100 / USD 108 (VAT excl.)

Order information

To order the book, please visit www.ibfd.org/IBFD-Products/shop. You can purchase a copy of the book by means of your credit card, or on the basis of an invoice. Our books encompass a wide variety of topics, and are available in one or more of the following formats:

- IBFD Print books
- IBFD eBooks – downloadable on a variety of electronic devices
- IBFD Online books – accessible online through the IBFD Tax Research Platform



IBFD, Your Portal to Cross-Border Tax Expertise

IBFD Publications BV

Visitors' address:
Rietlandpark 301
1019 DW Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Postal address:
P.O. Box 20237
1000 HE Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Telephone: 31-20-554 0100
Fax: 31-20-622 8658
www.ibfd.org

© 2017 IBFD

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written prior permission of the publisher. Applications for permission to reproduce all or part of this publication should be directed to: permissions@ibfd.org.

Disclaimer

This publication has been carefully compiled by the IBFD and/or its authors, but no representation is made or warranty given (either express or implied) as to the completeness or accuracy of the information it contains. The IBFD and/or the authors are not liable for the information in this publication or any decision or consequence based on the use of it. The IBFD and/or the authors will not be liable for any direct or consequential damages arising from the use of the information contained in this publication. However, the IBFD will be liable for damages that are the result of an intentional act (*opzet*) or gross negligence (*grove schuld*) on the IBFD's part. In no event shall the IBFD's total liability exceed the price of the ordered product. The information contained in this publication is not intended to be an advice on any particular matter. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any matter contained in this publication without considering appropriate professional advice.

Where photocopying of parts of this publication is permitted under article 16B of the 1912 Copyright Act jo. the Decree of 20 June 1974, Stb. 351, as amended by the Decree of 23 August 1985, Stb. 471, and article 17 of the 1912 Copyright Act, legally due fees must be paid to Stichting Reprorecht (P.O. Box 882, 1180 AW Amstelveen). Where the use of parts of this publication for the purpose of anthologies, readers and other compilations (article 16 of the 1912 Copyright Act) is concerned, one should address the publisher.

ISBN 978-90-8722-403-5 (print)
ISBN 978-90-8722-404-2 (eBook)
ISSN 1574-969X
NUR 826

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	v
-------------------------	---

Foreword	vii
-----------------	-----

Part One

Shipping and Air Transport under Domestic Law

Chapter 1:	Direct Taxation of Air Transport Activities	3
	<i>Alexander Hemmelrath and Lars Marquardsen</i>	

1.1.	Key challenge regarding taxation of international air transport activities	3
1.2.	Categorization of income	4
1.3.	Taxation of the income	5
1.4.	Cross-border aspects	7
1.4.1.	Resident taxpayers	7
1.4.1.1.	General observations	7
1.4.1.2.	Concept of residence	7
1.4.1.3.	Domestic solution of double taxation of resident enterprises	8
1.4.2.	Non-resident taxpayers	10
1.4.2.1.	General observations	10
1.4.2.2.	The relevance of permanent establishments	13
1.4.2.3.	Reciprocal tax exemptions	15
1.5.	Conclusions	16

Chapter 2:	Taxation of Shipping Transport Activities (including Tonnage Tax Systems)	17
	<i>Ton Stevens</i>	

2.1.	Introduction	17
2.2.	Ordinary regimes/Categorization of the income	19
2.2.1.	Ordinary regimes	19
2.2.2.	Categorization of the income	19
2.3.	Special regimes	20
2.3.1.	Overview	20
2.3.2.	Tonnage tax regimes	21
2.3.2.1.	Introduction	21

2.3.2.2.	Legal forms/flag requirements/lock-in period	22
2.3.2.3.	Qualifying activities	23
2.3.2.4.	Qualifying vessels	24
2.3.2.5.	Ownership	25
2.3.2.6.	Eligible income	26
2.3.2.7.	Level of management	27
2.3.2.8.	Summary/conclusions	28
2.4.	Cross-border aspects	30
2.4.1.	Introduction	30
2.4.2.	Non-resident taxpayers with domestic-source income	30
2.4.3.	Resident taxpayers with foreign-source income	33
2.4.4.	Interlinkage between domestic law and tax treaty law	34
2.4.4.1.	Relationship between TT regimes and article 2 OECD Model	34
2.4.4.2.	Use of the same terms/concepts in both national tax laws and tax treaty law	35
2.4.4.3.	Impact of the extension of TT systems to new vessel types	35
2.5.	Summary/Conclusions	36

Part Two

EU Law and VAT Aspects of Shipping and Air Transport

Chapter 3:	Tonnage Tax and EU law	41
	<i>Dennis Weber and Maurits van de Sande</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	41
3.2.	State aid framework	42
3.2.1.	State aid prohibition (article 107 TFEU)	42
3.2.1.1.	Requirements for State aid	42
3.2.1.2.	Authorization of State aid based on the development of certain economic activities or certain economic areas	43
3.2.2.	Review of State aid measures (article 108 TFEU)	44
3.2.3.	Community guidelines on State aid to maritime transport (1989/1997/2004)	45
3.2.3.1.	1989 Guidelines	45
3.2.3.2.	1997 Guidelines	46
3.2.3.3.	2004 Guidelines	48

3.2.4.	State aid decisions of the European Commission	50
3.2.4.1.	Service vessels	50
3.2.4.2.	Profit apportionment	51
3.2.4.3.	Financial income	52
3.2.4.4.	Time charter	53
3.3.	Tax benefits linking to (EU) nationality versus the EU Treaty freedoms	54
3.3.1.	Introduction	54
3.3.2.	<i>Commission v. Netherlands</i> case	55
3.3.3.	Lessons learned	56
3.4.	Shipping in the CCTB and CCCTB	58
3.5.	Concluding remarks	59
Chapter 4:	Shipping and Air Transport Industry VAT	61
	<i>Stefan Maunz</i>	
4.1.	Introduction	61
4.2.	Supply of service in the case of unused tickets	61
4.2.1.	<i>Qantas Airways Ltd</i>	62
4.2.2.	Opinion of the VAT Committee	62
4.2.3.	ECJ <i>Air France – KLM</i>	63
4.3.	Place of supply	64
4.3.1.	Passenger transport	64
4.3.2.	Cargo transport	65
4.4.	Exemptions	65
4.4.1.	Output supplies	65
4.4.2.	Input supplies	66
4.5.	Summary	70

Part Three

Tax Treaty Aspects of Shipping and Air Transport

Chapter 5:	Historical Background of Proposed Changes to Articles 8 and 15(3) OECD Model	73
	<i>Jacques Sasseville</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	73
5.2.	The early 1920s' reciprocal agreements concerning the taxation of shipping	74
5.3.	Work of the League of Nations	81
5.3.1.	The four economists' report (1923)	82

5.3.2.	The work of the technical experts (1922-1925) and the 1927-1928 models	84
5.3.3.	The Mexico (1943) and London (1946) Models	88
5.4.	Work of the OEEC and OECD	90
5.5.	Conclusion	101
Chapter 6:	Place of Effective Management versus Residence	105
	<i>Isabelle Richelle</i>	
6.1.	Introduction	105
6.2.	Connecting factors applying to ships and aircraft under common law	106
6.2.1.	Ships	106
6.2.1.1.	General rules	106
6.2.1.2.	International aspects	107
6.2.1.3.	States' practices	110
6.2.2.	Aircraft	113
6.2.3.	Conclusions	114
6.3.	Residence and permanent establishment	114
6.4.	Place of effective management under article 8 OECD and UN Models	116
6.4.1.	POEM: The reason(s) for this criterion	117
6.4.2.	The definition of "place of effective management"	120
6.4.3.	The POEM in practice	123
6.4.4.	Nationality, residence and POEM	123
Chapter 7:	Article 8 OECD Model: Time for a Change?	129
	<i>Georg Kofler</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	129
7.2.	The reasons for a special rule in article 8 of the OECD Model	131
7.3.	The scope of article 8 OECD Model	137
7.3.1.	Overview	137
7.3.2.	Scope of legal ramifications	138
7.3.3.	Objective scope	142
7.3.4.	Subjective scope	145
7.4.	Conclusions	146

Chapter 8:	Issues Relating to Remuneration of Crews of Ships or Aircraft (Article 15(3) OECD Model)	149
	<i>Frank P.G. Pötgens and Mees M. Vergouwen</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	149
8.1.1.	General	149
8.1.2.	Relationship to article 15(1) and (2)	149
8.2.	Background	151
8.3.	Relationship to article 8 of the OECD Model:	
	Object and purpose	154
8.4.	Shared taxation right	157
8.4.1.	General	157
8.4.2.	Subject-to-tax clause	158
8.5.	Qualifying employees	159
8.5.1.	General	159
8.5.2.	Rail and road transport	165
8.5.3.	Residence of the qualifying employees	166
8.6.	Different terms	169
8.6.1.	General	169
8.6.2.	Ship, aircraft, boat	170
8.6.2.1.	General; ship and boat	170
8.6.2.2.	Aircraft	172
8.6.3.	International traffic	173
8.6.4.	Inland waterways transport	178
8.6.5.	Enterprise	180
8.6.5.1.	General	180
8.6.5.2.	Context	180
8.6.5.3.	Connection with article 8 of the OECD Model	181
8.6.5.4.	The problems of “hiring-out of labour” and “wet lease”	184
8.6.5.4.1.	General	184
8.6.5.4.2.	Hiring-out of labour	185
8.6.5.4.2.1.	General	185
8.6.5.4.2.2.	Case law	186
8.6.5.4.3.	Wet lease	188
8.6.5.4.3.1.	General	188
8.6.5.4.3.2.	German case law: Reduction in the profits	189
8.6.5.4.3.3.	Belgian case law: The enterprise which operates the ship in international traffic	196
8.6.5.5.	Place of effective management	197
8.6.6.	Triangular cases	202
8.7.	Conclusions	203

8.7.1.	In general; taxation right to the residence state	203
8.7.2.	Qualifying employees	205

Part Four Country Reports

Chapter 9:	Australia	209
	<i>Micah Burch</i>	
9.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	209
9.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	211
9.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	211
9.2.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	211
9.2.1.2.	Taxation of income	212
9.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	212
9.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	213
9.2.2.1.	Categorization of the income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	214
9.2.2.2.	Taxation of income	215
9.2.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	216
9.2.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions in the shipping and air transport industries	220
9.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	221
9.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	223
9.3.2.	POEM and residence	223
9.3.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	224
9.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	226
9.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	228
9.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	228

9.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	229
9.5.	VAT/GST	229
9.6.	Summary	230
Chapter 10:	Austria	233
	<i>Christiane Zöhrer</i>	
10.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	233
10.1.1.	Criteria used to attribute nationality to aircrafts and the impact of international agreements	233
10.1.2.	Criteria used to attribute nationality to ships and the impact of international agreements	234
10.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	235
10.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	235
10.2.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	235
10.2.1.2.	Taxation of income	237
10.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	239
10.2.1.3.1.	Taxation of resident enterprises for cross-border transport and in connection with foreign countries	239
10.2.1.3.2.	Taxation of non-resident enterprises (instances and computation)	240
10.2.1.3.3.	Relevance of “permanent establishment”	241
10.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	242
10.2.2.1.	Categorization of income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	242
10.2.2.2.	Taxation of income	242
10.2.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	243
10.2.2.3.1.	Taxation of resident enterprises for cross-border transport and in connection with foreign countries	243
10.2.2.3.2.	Taxation of non-resident enterprises (instances and computation)	243
10.2.2.3.3.	Relevance of “permanent establishment”	243
10.2.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	244
10.2.3.1.	Taxation of employment income	244
10.2.3.2.	Social security contributions	246

10.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	247
10.3.1.	POEM and residence	247
10.3.1.1.	POEM is aboard a ship	250
10.3.2.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	251
10.3.3.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	252
10.3.4.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	256
10.3.4.1.	Inland waterways	256
10.3.4.2.	Road and railway transportation	257
10.3.5.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	258
10.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	260
10.5.	VAT/GST	260
10.6.	Summary	265
Chapter 11:	Brazil	269
	<i>Giancarlo Chamma Matarazzo and Tiago Moreira Vieira Rocha</i>	
11.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	269
11.1.1.	Initial considerations	269
11.1.2.	Jurisdiction of the federal government to exploit shipping and air transport activities	270
11.1.3.	Brief comment concerning private law on air transport	270
11.1.4.	Brief comment concerning private law on shipping	272
11.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	273
11.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	273
11.2.1.1.	Tax treatment accorded to domestic airlines:	
	Air taxi operations	273
11.2.1.1.1.	IRPJ and CSL	273
11.2.1.1.2.	PIS and COFINS	275
11.2.1.1.3.	Summary	276
11.2.1.2.	Tax treatment of international air carriers:	
	International air transport service	276
11.2.1.2.1.	Permanent establishment	276

11.2.1.2.2.	IRPJ and CSL	277
11.2.1.2.3.	PIS and COFINS	278
11.2.1.2.4.	IRF	278
11.2.1.2.5.	Summary	279
11.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	279
11.2.2.2.	Tax treatment applicable to Brazilian shipping companies	280
11.2.2.2.1.	IRPJ and CSL	280
11.2.2.2.2.	PIS and COFINS	280
11.2.2.3.	Tax treatment applicable to foreign shipping companies	281
11.2.2.3.1.	Long-haul shipment and internal portion of international shipment route	281
11.2.2.3.2.	Cruises	281
11.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	282
11.3.1.	Brazilian tax treaties and taxes covered	282
11.3.1.1.	General considerations on the application of international treaties under Brazilian laws	282
11.3.1.2.	Taxes covered by treaties	283
11.3.1.2.1.	The matter of CSL	283
11.3.1.2.2.	The matter of PIS and COFINS	284
11.3.2.	POEM and residence	284
11.3.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	285
11.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	286
11.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	288
11.4.	Conclusion	289
Chapter 12:	Canada	291
	<i>John J. Lennard</i>	
12.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	291
12.1.1.	Regulation of shipping and marine transportation	291
12.1.2.	Regulation of aviation	292
12.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	294
12.2.1.	Taxation of business income	295
12.2.1.1.	Special rules applicable to international shipping activities	295

12.2.1.1.1.	The reciprocal relief exemption	296
12.2.1.1.1.1.	Meaning of “income earned from international shipping”	296
12.2.1.1.1.2.	Meaning of “substantially similar relief”	300
12.2.1.1.2.	The residency exemption	300
12.2.1.1.2.1.	The business test	302
12.2.1.1.2.2.	The asset test	302
12.2.1.1.2.3.	The revenue test	302
12.2.1.1.3.	Special tax incentives for shipbuilding – Quebec	303
12.2.1.1.4.	International shipping and Canada’s foreign affiliate regime	303
12.2.1.2.	Special rules applicable to international air transport activities	305
12.2.2.	Tax depreciation regime	306
12.2.3.	Taxation of capital gains from the disposition of assets or equipment	306
12.2.4.	Taxation of rents and royalties received from an international transportation business	307
12.2.5.	Taxation of employment income	308
12.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	311
12.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2	311
12.3.2.	Place of effective management and residence	312
12.3.3.	Meaning of the term “international traffic” under article 3(1) of the OECD Model	313
12.3.4.	Meaning of profits from international traffic	315
12.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	316
12.4.	Goods and services tax matters	318
12.4.1.	Treatment of shipping and air transport services	318
12.4.2.	Goods and services supplied on international flights or international voyages	320
12.4.3.	Limited residency exemption	321
Chapter 13:	Mainland China and Hong Kong SAR	323
	<i>Na Li</i>	
13.1.	Introduction	323
13.2.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	324
13.2.1.	Mainland China	324

13.2.1.1.	Ships	324
13.2.1.2.	Aircrafts	326
13.2.2.	Hong Kong SAR	326
13.2.2.1.	Ships	326
13.2.2.2.	Aircrafts	327
13.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	328
13.3.1.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping and air transport activities	328
13.3.1.1.	Mainland China	328
13.3.1.1.1.	Categorization of income	329
13.3.1.1.2.	Taxation of income	329
13.3.1.1.3.	Relevance of permanent establishments	331
13.3.1.1.4.	Special income tax regime	332
13.3.1.2.	Hong Kong SAR	333
13.3.1.2.1.	Categorization of income	334
13.3.1.2.1.1.	Aircraft income	334
13.3.1.2.1.2.	Shipping income	335
13.3.1.2.2.	Taxation of income	336
13.3.1.2.2.1.	Special tax regime for aircraft income	336
13.3.1.2.2.2.	Special tax regime for shipping income	337
13.3.1.2.3.	Relevance of permanent establishments	339
13.3.2.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	340
13.3.2.1.	Mainland China	340
13.3.2.1.1.	Individual income tax	340
13.3.2.1.2.	Social security contributions	340
13.3.2.2.	Hong Kong SAR	341
13.3.2.2.1.	Individual income tax	341
13.3.2.2.2.	Social security contributions	342
13.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	342
13.4.1.	Overview	342
13.4.2.	Tonnage corporate taxes	344
13.4.3.	POEM and residence	344
13.4.3.1.	Mainland China	344
13.4.3.2.	Hong Kong SAR	345
13.4.4.	Meaning of the term “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	345
13.4.4.1.	Mainland China	345
13.4.4.2.	Hong Kong SAR	346
13.4.5.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	346

13.4.5.1.	Mainland China	346
13.4.5.2.	Hong Kong SAR	348
13.4.6.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	349
13.4.7.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	349
13.5.	VAT	349
13.6.	Conclusion	351
Chapter 14:	Denmark	353
	<i>Clive M. Baxter, Trine Thomsen, Elena E.N. Christensen, Michael P. Hansen and Katarina Johannessen</i>	
14.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	353
14.1.1.	Shipping	353
14.1.1.1.	The Danish Ship Registry	353
14.1.1.2.	The Danish International Ship Registry (DIS)	354
14.1.2.	Aircraft	355
14.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	355
14.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	355
14.2.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	356
14.2.1.2.	Taxation of the income	356
14.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	356
14.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	357
14.2.2.1.	Categorization of income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	357
14.2.2.2.	Taxation of income	357
14.2.2.2.1.	Ordinary regime	357
14.2.2.2.2.	Tonnage tax regime	359
14.2.2.2.2.1.	Corporate forms allowed	359
14.2.2.2.2.2.	Qualifying ships	360
14.2.2.2.2.3.	Eligible income	362
14.2.2.2.2.4.	Flag requirements	365
14.2.2.2.2.5.	Calculation of the taxable income	366
14.2.2.2.2.6.	Tax relief	368
14.2.2.2.2.7.	Formal procedures	368

14.2.2.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	369
14.2.2.2.3.1.	Taxation of resident enterprises	369
14.2.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions in the shipping and air transport industries	370
14.2.3.1.	Shipping	370
14.2.3.1.1.	Seafarers' special deductions	371
14.2.3.1.1.1.	Deductions according to section 3 of the AST	371
14.2.3.1.1.2.	DIS	371
14.2.3.2.	Air transport	372
14.2.3.3.	Social security contributions	372
14.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	373
14.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	373
14.3.2.	POEM and residence	373
14.3.3.	Meaning of "international traffic" under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	374
14.3.4.	Meaning of "profits from international traffic"	375
14.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	377
14.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding the taxation of shipping and air transport	377
14.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	377
14.4.1.	Approval of the Danish tonnage tax regime	377
14.4.1.1.	Transfer pricing rules for Danish shipping companies	379
14.4.1.2.	Pool commission income	380
14.4.1.3.	Strategic and commercial management in Denmark	381
14.5.	VAT/GST	383
14.5.1.	Exemption under article 148	383
14.5.1.1.	Vessels used for international voyages	384
14.5.1.2.	Pleasure craft	384
14.5.1.3.	Services and acquisitions used for shipping activity	384
14.5.1.4.	Aircraft used by airlines mainly on international routes	385
14.5.2.	Contracts for carriage of goods and chartering contracts	385
14.5.2.1.	Chartering of vessels	385

14.5.2.2.	Chartering of aircraft	386
14.5.2.3.	Carriage of goods	386
14.5.2.4.	Carriage of passengers	387
14.5.3.	Intra-Community transactions/new means of transport	387
Chapter 15:	Germany	389
	<i>Alexander Hemmelrath and Lars Marquardsen</i>	
15.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	389
15.1.1.	Attribution of nationality to ships and legal effects	389
15.1.2.	Attribution of nationality to aircraft and legal effects	391
15.1.3.	Impact of international agreements	392
15.1.4.	Sovereign power over foreign ships and aircraft	393
15.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	394
15.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	394
15.2.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	394
15.2.1.2.	Taxation of income	396
15.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	398
15.2.1.3.1.	Cross-border activities of resident enterprises	398
15.2.1.3.2.	Cross-border activities of non-resident companies	404
15.2.1.3.3.	Relevance of PEs for the purpose of taxing air transport activities	406
15.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	406
15.2.2.1.	Categorization of income	406
15.2.2.2.	Taxation of income	406
15.2.2.2.1.	Ordinary regime	406
15.2.2.2.2.	Tonnage tax	406
15.2.2.2.2.1.	Prerequisites	407
15.2.2.2.2.2.	Profit determination	411
15.2.2.2.2.3.	Application procedures	412
15.2.2.2.2.4.	Effects on taxation	413
15.2.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	415
15.2.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	416

15.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	418
15.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	418
15.3.2.	POEM and residence	418
15.3.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	422
15.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	425
15.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	428
15.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	429
15.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law and turnover taxes	430
15.4.1.	Direct tax issues under EU law	430
15.4.2.	VAT and other turnover taxes	434
Chapter 16:	Greece	439
	<i>Michail Tegos</i>	
16.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	439
16.2.	Taxation of shipping activities under domestic law	443
16.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	443
16.2.2.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	450
16.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	451
16.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	451
16.3.2.	POEM and residence	452
16.3.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	453
16.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	454
16.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	455
16.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	456
16.4.	Taxation of shipping under EU law	456

Chapter 17:	Italy	459
	<i>Silvia Boiardi</i>	
17.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	459
17.1.1.	Shipping transport	459
17.1.2.	Air transport	463
17.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	464
17.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping and air transport activities	464
17.2.1.1.	Categorization of income and territoriality	464
17.2.1.2.	Taxation of income	465
17.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	468
17.2.1.3.1.	The foreign branch tax exemption regime	469
17.2.1.4.	Special regimes applicable to the shipping industry	471
17.2.2.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	473
17.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	477
17.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	477
17.3.2.	POEM and residence	478
17.3.3.	Meaning of the term “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	484
17.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	486
17.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	489
17.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding the taxation of shipping and air transport	490
17.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	491
17.5.	VAT/GST	493
Chapter 18:	Liberia	499
	<i>Theophilus Dekonty Joseph</i>	
18.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	499
18.1.1.	Tax practice and nature of rules governing shipping and air transport	499

18.1.1.1.	Criteria used to attribute nationality to ships and aircrafts	499
18.1.1.1.1.	Ships	499
18.1.1.1.1.1.	General provisions	499
18.1.1.1.2.	Aircraft	500
18.1.1.2.	Impact of international agreements on attribution of nationality to ships and aircrafts	501
18.1.1.3.	Special rules and laws on foreign ships and aircrafts operating wholly/partially in Liberia territorial boundaries/exclusive economic zone	501
18.1.1.3.1.	Foreign registration	501
18.1.1.3.1.1.	Right to fly Liberian flag withdrawn	501
18.1.1.3.1.2.	Restricted certificate of registry	502
18.1.1.4.	Possible difference(s) between the OECD Model and Liberian law	503
18.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	504
18.2.1.	Taxation of ships and air transport	504
18.2.1.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	504
18.2.1.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	504
18.2.1.1.2.	Taxation of income	504
18.2.1.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	507
18.2.1.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities under domestic law	509
18.2.1.2.1.	Categorization of income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	509
18.2.1.2.2.	Taxation of income	510
18.2.1.2.2.1.	Ordinary regime	510
18.2.1.2.2.2.	Tonnage tax or equivalent regimes	510
18.2.1.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	513
18.2.1.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions in the shipping and air transport industries	514
18.2.1.3.1.	Treatment of employment income connected with the exercise of activities on board ships and aircrafts	514
18.2.1.3.1.1.	Income tax treatment of crews	514
18.2.1.3.1.2.	Treaty exemptions	515

18.2.1.3.1.3.	Withholding on salary (Revenue Code section 200(3)A)	516
18.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	517
18.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	517
18.3.2.	POEM and residence	518
18.3.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant treaties	520
18.3.4.	Meaning of “profits of international traffic”	522
18.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	524
18.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transportation	525
18.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	526
18.4.1.	EU tonnage tax regime	526
18.4.2.	Section 54 annual tax	527
18.4.3.	EU airline carbon tax	528
18.4.4.	EU value added tax	528
18.4.5.	General rules	529
18.4.6.	EU VAT exemption	529
18.5.	VAT/GST	530
18.5.1.	EU common VAT system	531
18.5.2.	When does the Directive apply?	533
Chapter 19:	Netherlands	535
	<i>Taco Mooren and Ton Stevens</i>	
19.1.	Introduction on private law aspects of shipping and air transport	535
19.1.1.	Definition of ship and aircraft	535
19.1.2.	Attribution of nationality and legal effects thereof	536
19.1.3.	Powers of sovereign states in their respective maritime zones	536
19.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under domestic law	538
19.2.1.	Income/corporate taxation of air transport activities	538
19.2.1.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	538

19.2.1.2.	Taxation of income	539
19.2.1.2.1.	General	539
19.2.1.2.2.	Taxable profit	540
19.2.1.2.3.	Avoidance of double taxation for Dutch-resident companies	542
19.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	543
19.2.2.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities	546
19.2.2.1.	Categorization of income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	546
19.2.2.2.	Taxation of income	546
19.2.2.2.1.	Tonnage tax regime	547
19.2.2.2.2.	Special depreciation schemes	550
19.2.2.2.2.1.	Accelerated depreciation	550
19.2.2.2.2.2.	Depreciation on declining book value	551
19.2.3.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions	551
19.2.3.1.	National tax legislation	551
19.2.3.1.1.	Resident taxpayer	552
19.2.3.1.2.	Non-resident taxpayer	553
19.2.3.1.3.	Wage withholding tax	554
19.2.3.1.4.	Social security	555
19.2.3.2.	Tax treaties	555
19.2.3.3.	Seafarer's wage tax relief (<i>Afdrachtvermindering zeevaart</i>)	557
19.3.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	559
19.3.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	559
19.3.2.	POEM and residence	559
19.3.3.	Meaning of "international traffic" under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	560
19.3.4.	Meaning of "profits from international traffic"	560
19.3.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	560
19.3.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	561
19.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	561
19.5.	VAT/GST	561
19.5.1.	General	561
19.5.2.	Taxable transactions	562

19.5.2.1.	Supply of goods vs supply of services	562
19.5.2.2.	New means of transport	563
19.5.2.3.	Taxable persons	564
19.5.3.	VAT rates	564
19.5.3.1.	Reduced VAT rate	564
19.5.3.2.	Zero VAT rate	565
19.5.4.	Place of taxation	566
Chapter 20:	Spain	569
	<i>Ana Pita Grandal and Carmen Ruiz Hidalgo</i>	
20.1.	Introduction on private international and domestic law aspects of shipping and air transport	569
20.1.1.	Maritime law	569
20.1.2.	Aviation law	571
20.2.	Taxation on shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	573
20.2.1.	Corporate Tax Act 27/2014	573
20.2.1.1.	General regime	574
20.2.1.2.	Under a finance lease	575
20.2.1.3.	Tonnage tax	576
20.2.2.	Consolidated version of the Non-Resident Income Tax Act under Royal Decree 4/2004 of 5 March 2005	579
20.2.3.	Employment income tax on shipping and air transport industries	582
20.3.	Taxation on shipping and air transport under tax treaties	585
20.3.1.	Article 2 OECD Model and the tonnage tax regime	585
20.3.2.	Ships and aircraft operations in international traffic	586
20.3.2.1.	POEM and residence	586
20.3.2.2.	The meaning of “international traffic”	589
20.3.2.3.	Profits from international traffic: Preparatory and ancillary activities	590
20.3.3.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	592
20.3.4.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	593
20.4.	Court of Justice position related to the special measures of Spanish shipping industry	594
20.5.	Shipping and air transport services and VAT	598

Chapter 21:	Switzerland	603
	<i>Raphaël Baeriswyl and Jérôme Bürgisser</i>	
21.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	603
21.1.1.	Aviation law	603
21.1.1.1.	Nationality of aircraft: Criteria and conditions	603
21.1.1.2.	Legal consequences of the nationality of aircraft	604
21.1.1.3.	Power of Swiss authorities over foreign aircraft	605
21.1.2.	Maritime law	606
21.1.2.1.	Nationality of ships: Criteria and conditions	607
21.1.2.2.	Legal consequences of the nationality of ships	608
21.1.2.3.	Power of Swiss authorities over foreign ships	609
21.2.	Taxation of shipping and air transport activities under domestic law	609
21.2.1.	Air transport activities	609
21.2.1.1.	Categorization of the income – description of the activities	609
21.2.1.2.	Taxation of the income	609
21.2.1.3.	Cross-border aspects	610
21.2.2.	Shipping activities	611
21.2.2.1.	Categorization of the income – description of the activities	611
21.2.2.2.	Taxation of the income	611
21.2.2.3.	Cross-border aspects	612
21.2.3.	Employment income and social security contribution	612
21.3.	Taxation under tax treaties	614
21.3.1.	Introduction	614
21.3.2.	Tonnage tax	615
21.3.3.	Place of management or residence	616
21.3.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	619
21.3.5.	Article 7 or article 8?	620
21.3.6.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	622
21.3.7.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding the taxation of shipping and air transport	623
21.4.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	623
21.5.	VAT/GST	625

Chapter 22:	United Kingdom	629
	<i>Zigurds G. Kronbergs</i>	
22.1.	Introduction on private international law aspects of shipping and air transport	629
22.1.1.	Nationality of ships	629
22.1.2.	Nationality of aircraft	630
22.2.	Income/corporation taxation of air transport activities under domestic law	631
22.2.1.	Categorization of income stemming from air transport activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	631
22.2.1.1.	Is a trade being carried on?	631
22.2.1.2.	The nature of the person carrying on the activities	632
22.2.1.3.	Residence of the person carrying on the activities	633
22.2.2.	Taxation of income from air transport activities	634
22.2.2.1.	Resident companies	634
22.2.2.2.	Non-resident companies	639
22.3.	Income/corporate taxation of shipping activities under domestic law	640
22.3.1.	Categorization of income stemming from shipping activities and preparatory and ancillary activities	640
22.3.2.	Taxation of the income	641
22.3.2.1.	Ordinary regime	641
22.3.2.2.	Tonnage tax	643
22.4.	Taxation of employment income and social security contributions in the shipping and air transport industries	650
22.4.1.	Income tax on employment income	651
22.4.2.	National insurance contributions	655
22.5.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under tax treaties	657
22.5.1.	Whether taxes levied under tonnage tax regimes fall within article 2 of relevant tax treaties	657
22.5.2.	POEM and residence in article 8	658
22.5.3.	Meaning of “international traffic” under article 3(1) of relevant tax treaties	668
22.5.4.	Meaning of “profits from international traffic”	669
22.5.5.	Taxation of inland waterways, road and railway transportation	671
22.5.6.	Tax treaty non-discrimination issues regarding taxation of shipping and air transport	671

22.6.	Taxation of shipping and air transport under EU law	672
22.7.	Value added tax	673
22.7.1.	Exemption under article 148	673
22.7.2.	The principles in <i>Skatteverket</i>	676
22.7.3.	Transportation of luggage and vehicles as ancillary to the transportation of passengers	677
Part Five		
Final Remarks: The Point of View of Business		
Chapter 23:	Conclusions from a Business Point of View	681
	<i>Clive M. Baxter, Haroun Asghar and James R. Border</i>	
23.1.	Comment by Clive M. Baxter	681
23.1.1.	Some OECD and EU aspects – Shipping taxation	681
23.1.1.1.	Purpose of EU approved tonnage taxation regimes	681
23.1.1.2.	Purpose of OECD Model article 8 in respect of shipping	683
23.1.1.3.	Conclusions	684
23.2.	Comment by Haroun Asghar	685
23.2.1.	An overview	685
23.2.2.	The challenges of article 8 for airlines	685
23.2.3.	Conclusions	688
23.3.	Comment by James R. Border	690
23.3.1.	EU proposed CCTB/CCCTB	690
23.3.2.	Model treaty changes	693
Contributors		695

Sample Chapter

Chapter 5

Historical Background of Proposed Changes to Articles 8 and 15(3) OECD Model

by Jacques Sasseville¹

5.1. Introduction

This chapter deals with the history of certain aspects of articles 8 and 15(3) of the OECD Model.² The analysis is intended to provide a historical perspective on the changes currently being contemplated by the OECD³ to article 8 and the accompanying provisions in article 3(1)e) (the definition of “international traffic”), article 15(3) (the rule concerning employment exercised aboard a ship, boat or aircraft) and the consequential changes to articles 6(2), 13(3) and 22(3). These proposed changes may be summarized as follows:

- The replacement of the rule in article 8(1) according to which profits from the operation of ships or aircraft in international traffic are taxable only in the state in which the place of effective management of the enterprise (POEM) is situated by a rule according to which these profits are taxable only in the state of the enterprise, which, pursuant to the definition of “enterprise of a Contracting State”,⁴ means the state of residence of the person or persons carrying on that enterprise.
- The consequential deletion of the rule in article 8(3) dealing with the situation where the POEM of a shipping enterprise is aboard a ship or boat.

1. Head, Tax Treaty Unit, OECD Centre for Tax Policy and Administration, Paris. This chapter reflects the personal views of the author, which should not be attributed to the OECD or to any of its member countries.

2. A more complete history of the early development of the provisions of article 8 of the OECD Model can be found in G. Maisto, *The History of Article 8 of the OECD Model Treaty on Taxation of Shipping and Air Transport*, 31 Intertax 6/7, p. 232 (2003).

3. See OECD, *Proposed Changes to the OECD Model Tax Convention Dealing with the Operation of Ships and Aircraft in International Traffic, Public Discussion Draft, 15 November 2013 – 15 January 2015*, at <http://www.oecd.org/tax/treaties/Discussion-draft-international-traffic.pdf>.

4. *OECD Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital* art. 3(1)d) (15 July 2014).

- The deletion of the rule, included in article 8(2), applicable to profits from the operation of boats engaged in inland waterways transport, together with the consequential deletion of the references to boats in articles 6(2), 13(3) and 22(3).
- The replacement of the rule in article 15(3) concerning the taxation of remuneration derived in respect of employment exercised aboard a ship or aircraft operated in international traffic by a rule that will provide for the exclusive taxation of such remuneration in the state of residence of the employee who derives such remuneration.
- The amendment of the definition of “international traffic” in a way that will allow its application to an enterprise that is not an enterprise of either contracting state, which will ensure that article 15(3) will now apply to a resident of a contracting state who is an employee of such an enterprise of a third state.

The historical background included in this chapter suggests that these changes are entirely in line with the original policy underlying article 8 and, in the case of article 15(3), actually correct what appears to have been a mistake made when the first version of what is now article 15 was finalized by the Organisation for European Economic Co-operation (OEEC) shortly before its publication in 1959.

5.2. The early 1920s’ reciprocal agreements concerning the taxation of shipping

As indicated by Maisto,⁵ the adoption of tax treaty rules concerning the taxation of shipping appears to have been the direct result of pre-1920 attempts by the United Kingdom and the United States, as well as a few other countries, to tax profits of foreign shipowners that could be considered to have their source in these countries.⁶

5. *Supra* n. 2, at pp. 232-233.

6. The US rules for taxing the domestic income of foreign shipowners were summarized as follows in a 1918 publication: “Foreign steamship lines, touching American ports and carrying freight and passengers, must make return showing as gross income, total receipts from all outgoing business, whether freight or passenger. The ratio should then be determined between such gross income and that from all posts within and without the United states in order that deductions permitted under the law may be computed. In other words, the net income will be ascertained for purposes of the tax payable to the United States by deducting from gross receipts from outgoing business, such a portion of the aggregate expenses, losses, etc. as such receipts bear to the aggregate receipts

The end of the First World War, which made it possible to eliminate unpopular or inefficient taxes, led the United States and the United Kingdom to reconsider the taxation of shipping profits of foreign shipowners. The tax practices of both countries had been criticized by other countries by the shipping industry and it seems clear that both the United States and the United Kingdom gave due regard to the fact that their attempts at taxing foreign shipowners would logically lead other countries to tax British and American shippers.

In 1921, the United States therefore decided to exempt the shipping profits of a corporation organized in another country as long as that country provided a similar exemption to corporations organized in the United States. That reciprocal exemption was provided by section 213(8) of the 1921 Revenue Act:

(8) The income of a nonresident alien or foreign corporation which consists exclusively of earnings derived from the operation of a ship or ships documented under the laws of a foreign country which grants an equivalent exemption to citizens of the United States and to corporations organized in the United States.

The Senate Committee's report on the Revenue Act of 1921 made clear that the purpose of that new provision was to convince other countries to eliminate double taxation of shipping profits by ensuring that such profits would only be taxable in the state of residence:

In order to encourage the international adoption of uniform tax laws affecting shipping companies, for the purpose of eliminating double taxation, paragraph 8 of subdivision (a) of this section....

The United Kingdom quickly followed and, in 1923, adopted a provision that allowed the government to conclude bilateral arrangements with the government of another state in order to provide relief in case of double taxation of "profits and gains arising from the business of shipping":⁷

from all ports...." Guaranty Trust Company of New York, *Income Tax Law of the United States affecting Non-Resident Aliens*, p. 19 (1918). The tax issues that foreign shipowners were facing in the United States were exacerbated by the new taxes adopted as part of the 1917 War Revenue Act, whose main purpose was to provide increased financing for the United States participation in the First World War. Title V of the Act (entitled *War Tax on Facilities Furnished by Public Utilities and Insurance*) included a tax of 3% on receipts from freight carriage and 8% on passenger transportation, taxes that had to be collected by the carrier. Title VIII, entitled *War Stamp Taxes*, included a tax of USD 1 to USD 4 on "passage tickets to ports not in the United States, Canada, or Mexico". See R.G. Blakey, *The War Revenue Act of 1917*, 7 *The American Economic Review* 4, pp. 798-800 (Dec. 1917).

7. UK: Finance Act, 1923, sec. 18, [13 & 14 Geo. 5].

18.—(1) If His Majesty in Council is pleased to declare—

- (a) that any profits or gains arising from the business of shipping which are chargeable to British income tax are also chargeable to income tax payable under the law in force in any foreign state; and
- (b) that arrangements, as specified in the declaration, have been made with the government of that foreign State with a view to the granting of relief in cases where such profits and gains are chargeable both to British income tax and to the income tax payable in the foreign State;

then, unless and until the declaration is revoked by His Majesty in Council, the arrangements specified therein shall, so far as they relate to the relief to be granted from British income tax, have effect as if enacted in this Act, but only if and so long as the arrangements, so far as they relate to the relief to be granted from the income tax payable in foreign State, have the effect of law in the foreign state

[...]

(4) In this section the expression “business of shipping” means the business carried on by an owner of ships, and for the purposes of this definition the expression “owner” includes any charterer.

Between 1924 and 1926, Japan, Norway, Italy and France also adopted legislative provisions intended to provide relief from the double taxation of shipping profits.⁸

The wording of the legislative provisions that were adopted by these six countries shows significant differences. Looking at how the provisions applied to companies:

- *In the United States:* Profits from the operation of ships were exempted if these profits were derived by a company incorporated in a foreign country (a “foreign corporation”) but only as regards the operation of ships registered in a foreign country (which could be different from the country of incorporation of the company); the reciprocal exemption condition was applicable to the country of registration of the ship rather than the country of incorporation of the company.
- *In the United Kingdom:* Profits from the operation of ships were entitled to any kind of relief that was specified in arrangements concluded with

8. Maisto, *supra* n. 2, at p. 232, who also indicates that, since 1914, the Netherlands had exempted shipping profits without any condition of reciprocity and, as early as 1819, had introduced a reciprocal exemption for foreign ships applicable to the licence tax (at p. 233).

a foreign state. The form of the relief was not specified and the only condition was that the profits be chargeable to both British income tax and to the income tax payable in another state (it is interesting to note, however, that the UK provision expressly stated that the “‘business of shipping’ means the business carried on by an owner of ships”, and, as explained below, under UK jurisprudence a company was resident in the United Kingdom if its “real business was carried on” in the United Kingdom).

- *In Japan*: Profits from the operation of ships were exempted if these profits were derived by an “alien corporation not domiciled in Japanese territory” but only as regards the profits derived from ships registered in a foreign country; the reciprocal exemption condition was applicable to the country of registration of the ship rather than the country of domicile of the company.⁹
- *In Norway*: Profits from the operation of ships were exempted if these profits were derived by companies “belonging in a foreign country” but only as regards profits from ships engaged in traffic on a Norwegian port or between Norwegian ports and profits from the sale of tickets for the transportation of persons out of Norway; the exemption did not appear to require reciprocity.¹⁰
- *In Italy*: Profits from the operation of ships were exempted if these profits were derived by “foreign corporations” or “companies having their headquarters and main business establishments abroad” but only as regards profits from shipping business in Italian ports; the reciprocal exemption condition was applicable to the country to which the foreign corporations or companies “belong”. The exemption was not automatic but had to be granted by Royal Decree after consultation of the Council of Ministers.¹¹
- *In France*: Profits from the operation of ships were exempted if these profits were derived by enterprises established abroad but only as regards profits from foreign ships; the reciprocal exemption condition was applicable to the country of registration of the ship rather than the country of the enterprise. The exemption was not automatic but had to

9. Id., at n. 8.

10. Id., at n. 9.

11. Id., at n. 10.

be granted through diplomatic agreements ratified by the French legislature.¹²

As can be seen above, the conclusion of bilateral agreements or arrangements was an absolute requirement for any form of relief in the United Kingdom and for the granting of the reciprocal exemption in France. Also, given the differences in the conditions under which the reciprocal exemption was granted, it is not surprising that the United States, Japan, Norway and Italy also felt the need to clarify bilaterally the exact scope of the shipping exemptions provided under their domestic law. Some countries that did not have specific legislative exemptions but had never tried to tax shipping profits, as well as countries that wished to deal with the taxation of shipping profits for periods preceding the adoption of the legislative provisions by the United States,¹³ also felt the need to clarify the tax treatment of shipping profits. A number of treaties, agreements and exchanges of diplomatic notes dealing with that topic were therefore concluded during the 1920s and 1930s; this practice was in fact officially recommended in 1924 by the Sub-Committee for Ports and Maritime Navigation of the Advisory and Technical Committee for Communication and Transit of the League of Nations.¹⁴

As could have been expected, the treaties/exchange of notes concluded by the United States and the United Kingdom mirrored the differences found in the domestic legislative provisions under which these two countries granted relief.

For instance, the Exchange of Notes constituting an Arrangement for the reciprocal Exemption of Shipowners from Income Tax concluded between the United States and Denmark and Iceland, signed in Washington on 24-28 October and 5 December 1922,¹⁵ uses the following wording to refer to the shipping profits that will benefit from the reciprocal exemption:

[I]nasmuch as the income from sources in Denmark and Iceland of a citizen of the United States or of a corporation organized therein which consists exclusively of earnings derived from the operation of ships documented under the laws of the United States is not and has not been subject to income tax since

12. Id., at n. 11.

13. E.g. see the 1922 exchange of notes between Sweden and the United States (*Exchange of notes at Washington January 27, February 24, May 16 and 30, and August 9, 1922*, 1923 For. Rel. (II) 875) and between the United States and Denmark and Iceland (note 15), which show that the issue of the United States' taxation of shipping for the 1917-1920 period was a main concern for these countries.

14. Maisto, *supra* n. 2, at p. 236.

15. League of Nations, *Treaty Series*, No. 2649, volume CXIII, 1931, 381.

January 1, 1921 or previously, it is held that Denmark and Iceland satisfy the equivalent exemption provision of Section 213 (b) (8) of the Revenue Act of 1921. In case any Federal income taxes have been collected from nonresident aliens or foreign corporations on income which consists exclusively of earnings derived on or since January 1, 1921, from the operation of ships documented under the laws of Denmark or Iceland, such taxes will be the proper subject of a claim for refund.

By contrast, the Agreement for the Reciprocal Exemption from Income Tax in certain Cases of Profits accruing from the business of Shipping signed by Great Britain and Sweden in London on 19 December 1924¹⁶ uses a different formulation and provides a reciprocal exemption that applies to

[A]ny profits which accrue from the business of shipping carried on by an individual resident in [Sweden] [Great Britain or Northern Ireland] or by a company managing and controlling such business in [Sweden] [Great Britain or Northern Ireland].

Although the reference to “a company managing and controlling such business” appears very different and more factual than the pure legal test of “corporation organized” used in the United States’ exchange of notes, it is important to remember that the “management and control” test used by the United Kingdom was essentially the test for determining the tax residence of companies that was developed by the UK House of Lords in its 1907 seminal decision in *De Beers Consolidated Mines Ltd v. Howe*,¹⁷ which found that “a company resides, for purposes of income-tax, where its real business is carried on ... and the real business is carried on where the central control and management actually abides”.¹⁸

Both the United States and the United Kingdom were therefore using their domestic law test for distinguishing between a company subject to worldwide taxation (what is now referred to as a “resident” company for treaty purposes) and a foreign company that is only subject to source taxation. The difficulty, however, was that since each state used a different test to determine the residence of a company, it was possible for a company to be a resident of each state and it was therefore necessary to find a way to resolve this dual-residence issue in order to ensure that the reciprocal exemption would apply in a satisfactory way.

16. League of Nations, *Treaty Series*, No. 820, volume XXXII, 1925, 291.

17. UK: [1907] UKHL 626.

18. *Id.* at 627.

This is exactly what the representatives of both states realized when they discussed and ultimately resolved the issue between 1924 and 1925, as documented in the Exchange of Notes constituting an Arrangement for the reciprocal Exemption of Shipping Profits from Income Tax concluded between 11 August 1924 and 16 March 1925 by the United States and the United Kingdom.¹⁹

This Exchange of Notes includes a letter dated 11 August 1924 by the acting Secretary of the United States Treasury to the United Kingdom Ambassador in Washington.²⁰ That letter first describes how the problem of dual residence had so far prevented an agreement to be reached:

The question of the exemption from tax of income derived from the operation of British vessels has, as the Embassy has observed, previously been discussed by officials of the Treasury Department with Sir Percy Thompson, Deputy Chairman of the British Board of Inland Revenue, who came to the United States for that purpose. I am informed that these discussions proved fruitless because Sir Percy Thompson did not feel at liberty to recede from the British position that the taxability of a corporation as a resident of the United Kingdom should depend not upon the place of incorporation but upon the place “where its real business is carried on and that is carried on where the control and management of the company abide. (*American Thread Company v. Joyce*, 6 T.C. 163, 164).²¹

The navigation laws of the United States require that a corporation owning a vessel of the United States be a corporation organized in the United States and that its president and managing directors be citizens of the United States, but there is no requirement that the president and managing directors be residents of this country. It was conceivable therefore that the president and managing directors might reside in the United Kingdom, hold their meetings there, and there exercise control of the corporation. In such a case, the corporation would, under British law, have been deemed a resident of the United Kingdom and as such subject to tax on all its income. It is equally clear, however, that such a corporation would be a corporation organized in the United States....

19. League of Nations, *Treaty Series*, No. 2639, volume CXIII, 1931, 105.

20. At pp. 106-108.

21. The House of Lords’ decision of *American Thread Co v. Joyce*, [1913] UKHL TC 6, 163, dealt with a company incorporated in the United States but whose parent company and majority of directors were residents of the United Kingdom and whose board of directors met in the United Kingdom. That decision was presumably quoted in the letter because it dealt with a company incorporated in the United States. The decision relied expressly on the previous decision of *De Beers* and concluded that the company was clearly resident of the United Kingdom, the Earl of Halsbury even expressing surprise “that after the findings of the Commissioners one has had so long to come to the conclusion which was very manifest I think at first” (at 164).

The letter then goes on to explain how the issue was finally resolved, which is when the United Kingdom agreed to adopt the US test of incorporation for the purposes of applying the reciprocal exemption:

The British Government proposes, according to the understanding of the Secretary of the Treasury, to exempt from British income tax (including super-tax) “any profits accruing from the business of shipping carried on with ships documented under the laws of the United States to a citizen of the United States resident outside the United Kingdom *or to a corporation organized in the United States*”. Upon the explicit understanding that the American corporation is thus exempted regardless of whether it does business in the United Kingdom or has an office or place of business therein or whether directors’ meetings are held in the United Kingdom and the control of the corporation is there exercised,²² the Secretary of the Treasury is of the opinion that the offer communicated in the Embassy’s note of February 11, 1924, satisfies the requirements of Section 213 (b) (8) of the Revenue Act of 1924, so far as the United Kingdom is concerned.

[...]

The exemption from tax of income derived from the operation of ships of British registry will be confined to individuals resident in the United Kingdom, other than citizens of the United States, *and to corporations organized under and existing by virtue of the laws of the United Kingdom*. [emphasis added]

In the early 1920s, it therefore seems that both the United States and the United Kingdom, the two countries which initiated the practice of concluding agreements for the reciprocal exemption of shipping profits, wanted to exempt profits derived by resident companies, which logically required addressing the issue of dual residence.

5.3. Work of the League of Nations

Given the United States’ adoption of its provision on the reciprocal exemption of shipping profits in 1921 and the subsequent flurry of activity related to the negotiations of agreements providing for such reciprocal exemptions,

22. Interestingly, the letter of the acting Secretary of the United States Treasury refers to a situation where the “directors’ meetings are held in the United Kingdom and the control of the corporation is there exercised”, which omits any reference to “management” even though the test adopted by the United Kingdom in *De Beers* was “where the control and management of the company abide”. It is also interesting to note that in *De Beers*, the House of Lords put the word “control” before “management” whereas the 1924 agreement with Sweden (*supra* n. 16) put “managing” before “controlling”. Arguably, a typical Anglo-Saxon board of directors does more control than management, which suggests a subtle difference between the different formulations.

it is not surprising that the taxation of shipping became an important topic during the 1920s' work that resulted in the development of the early models of the League of Nations. It is also in 1921 that this work began, when the Financial Committee of the League of Nations decided to ask economists to prepare a report on double taxation.

5.3.1. The four economists' report (1923)

In their report produced in 1923,²³ the four economists²⁴ appointed by the Financial Committee of the League of Nations attempted to determine basic principles for eliminating the double taxation of various types of income and capital. These principles were based on an analysis of the factors that seemed to link these types of income and capital to the territory of one or more states (i.e. the process of determining the "economic allegiance" of these types of income). This allowed the economists to develop a theoretical framework for assessing the validity of the competing taxation claims of the origin and domicile states (we would now refer to the states of source and residence) over each type of income.²⁵

The report shows that the four economists felt the need to distinguish shipping profits from other types of business profits. It includes a relatively long discussion of shipping activities and concludes, somewhat surprisingly, that taxation rights should be allocated to the state in which the ship is registered (except in the case of inland waterways transport), a conclusion that was generally ignored in subsequent treaty practice:²⁶

TANGIBLE OR CORPOREAL MOVABLES NOT ORDINARILY CAPABLE OF A FIXED LOCATION. The most striking example of this is vessels. There are in reality three classes of vessels: a) ocean tramps, b) regular ocean liners plying the high seas, and c) vessels engaged in coastwise traffic or internal navigation where the coast or the navigable water fronts on, or traverses, different countries. The fact that the same vessel may shift from one to another of these classes is embarrassing. But at any given time the distinction as generally observable.

23. *Report on Double Taxation submitted to the Financial Committee Economic and Financial Commission Report by the Experts on Double Taxation*, League of Nations Document E.F.S.73. F.19 (5 April 1923), as reproduced in the SETIS database of the University of Sydney.

24. Professors Bruins, Einaudi, Seligman and Sir Josiah Stamp.

25. *Report on Double Taxation*, *supra* n. 23, at pp. 24-39.

26. *Id.*, at pp. 33-34 (formatting and emphasis added).

1. *Origin.* If the vessels ply the high seas, there is no particular country to which the origin of the yield can be ascribed. If, however, they ply navigable waters which traverse different countries, we have, as in several of the preceding categories, not one, but several, places of origin, that is o¹, o², o³, and we are confronted by a problem which must be solved in a similar way. Moreover, in the case of ocean liners there are apt to be in several countries large and extensive docks and appurtenant property which materially contribute to the profitable operation of the vessels. Finally, it may be remarked that, inasmuch as the economic yield of vessels depends partly on the seamanship of the captain and to a larger degree upon the business sagacity of the owner, the element of personal management becomes of importance, and that this management may be carried on in the one or the other country. But, as in the case of immovables, the controlling consideration is the existence of the traffic: origin therefore re-enforces domicile (the home of the owner) only to a partial extent.

2. *Situs.* There is no permanent physical situs in any taxable sovereignty. The mere fact that the vessel happens at any particular time to be in one port as compared with another is of very little significance.

3. *Enforceability.* This is a consideration of great importance. Practically it means the country where the ships are registered and the flag of which they fly. This is perhaps the only modern instance where political allegiance still plays a rôle: for the registry of a ship is its nationality. But it plays a rôle only because of its economic implications.

4. *Domicile.* The domicile of the owner is in itself of slight consequence as compared with the other elements of economic allegiance. It becomes of importance only in so far as it is re-enforced by some of the preceding elements. In the main, then, in the case of sea-going tramps, *the country of registry possesses the chief claim to economic allegiance.* If, as frequently occurs, there is a distinction between the country of registry and the country of domicile or direction, the greater part of the tax ought to go to the country of registry. Where the country of registry is changed in order to evade certain obligations to the country of domicile or direction (as in the recent transfer of American vessels to the Panama flag in order to escape the rigours of the 18th amendment with reference to intoxicating liquors), there is no reason why the country of registry should not receive a preponderant share of the tax. When we are dealing, however, with vessels plying navigable waters which traverse different countries, the place of (1) origin becomes, at least for the purpose of the income tax, more important than (3) place of registry and should be substituted for it. It would be a case of o¹, o², o³, as found in ordinary business enterprises. A Dutch flag on a boat plying on the German Rhine should not exempt the vessel from its economic allegiance to Germany. For purposes of the property tax or death duties, however, registry should be the paramount consideration. Similar conclusions, although in a somewhat modified form, would seem to apply to ocean liners. Registry is the chief consideration, but for purposes of income tax

(rather than of property tax or death duties) the other country, where expensive docks and shipping offices are found, might reasonably prefer a claim to a part of the earnings.

The four economists' report also identified, but did not attempt to resolve, the issue of dual residence, which would be addressed 1 year later in the Exchange of Notes between the United Kingdom and the United States:²⁷

It is clear, therefore, that, in order to avoid double taxation, domicile or habitual residence must everywhere be interpreted alike for the purposes of taxation. One of the very first points preliminary to making international conventions or agreements on double taxation is to define the terms so that there will be no possibility of misinterpretation. We should like to make the suggestion that the Legal Section of the League of Nations consider this matter and prepare a memorandum on the present use of the term "domicile" and on a possible approach to some international agreement on this subject.

5.3.2. The work of the technical experts (1922-1925) and the 1927-1928 models

In 1922, the Financial Committee of the League of Nations appointed senior tax officials from Belgium, Czechoslovakia, France, Great Britain, Italy, the Netherlands and Switzerland to study the questions of double taxation and tax evasion. This Group of Experts, which was chaired by Prof. Pasquale d'Aroma, Director-General of Direct Taxation for Italy, prepared a set of resolutions and a report that was presented to the Financial Committee of the League of Nations in 1925,²⁸ the same year that the United States and United Kingdom concluded their exchange of notes.

This report makes it clear that the shipping industry discussed the issue of the reciprocal exemption of shipping profits with the experts and that the experts were made aware of the agreements for reciprocal exemption recently concluded by the United Kingdom:

The League of Nations Sub-Committee on Ports and Maritime Navigation, which is a sub-section of the Advisory Committee for Communications and Transit, requested us to hear its representatives during our fourth session in Geneva. In October 1924, these representatives explained to us the

27. *Supra* n. 19, at p. 25.

28. League of Nations: Technical Experts to the Economic and Financial Committee, *Double Taxation and Tax Evasion Report and Resolutions submitted by the Technical Experts to the Financial Committee*, Document F.212, Geneva, 1925, as reproduced in the SETIS database of the University of Sydney.

Sub-Committee's views on double taxation in the case of the shipping industry, which are practically the same as those of the International Chamber of Shipping.

[...]

One particular class of industrial and business enterprise, namely maritime shipping concerns, has engaged our special attention, and forms, as will be seen, the subject of a special resolution. The International Chamber of Commerce and the special Sub-Committee of the League of Nations which deals with maritime transit communicated to us their views on this subject. For several years past, negotiations have been in progress between seven or eight leading countries for the regulation, by bilateral agreements, of the system of taxation to be applied (on the basis of reciprocal exemption) to maritime shipping concerns. England, the Netherlands, the United States and Japan have enacted domestic laws providing for such exemption, applicable to all taxes without exception, both taxes in rem and the general tax on income. The United States, Norway, Sweden and Denmark have recently concluded conventions of this kind with Great Britain. We have taken these recent cases into account, but have paid even greater attention to the very special character of the maritime transport industry. When an industrial concern carries on its activities throughout the whole world, the importance of the actual headquarters, or the "brain" of the enterprise, becomes paramount; and, above all, very serious technical difficulties may be encountered in determining an apportionment of the profits. The representatives of the Maritime Sub-Committee of the League of Nations have asked how it is possible to determine the profits earned in each of the twenty or twenty-five ports at which a vessel belonging to a trans-Atlantic company may have loaded or discharged cargo, when ten or fifteen different countries have to be taken into consideration.

The "Resolutions" included in the last part of the report include the Group of Experts' recommendation concerning the taxation of shipping profits:

I. IMPERSONAL OR SCHEDULAR TAXES (*Impôts réels*).

2. a) ... If the enterprise has its head office in one of the States and in another has a branch, an agency, an establishment, a stable commercial or industrial organisation, or a permanent representative, each one of the contracting States shall tax that portion of the net income produced in its own territory...
- b) in the case of maritime navigation undertakings, in view of the very particular nature of their activities and of the difficulty of apportioning their profits, particularly, in the case of companies operating in a number of countries, the experts admit an exception to this principle — to the effect that the tax should, subject to reciprocity, *be imposed only by the country in which the real centre of management and control of the undertaking is situated.*

Contributors

Contributors

Haroun Asghar is Head of Tax at Etihad Airways in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.

Raphaël Baeriswyl is a Partner with Meyer Avocats in Geneva. She can be contacted at www.meyer-avocats.ch.

Clive M. Baxter, MA, FCA, is Head of Tax Governance and Policy, Maersk Group in Copenhagen.

Silvia Boiardi is a Dottore Commercialista and Senior Associate at Maisto e Associati in Milan.

James R. Border is Global Tax Counsel to the Cruise Lines International Association and practises at The Law Office of James R. Border, P.A. Fort Lauderdale, Florida. He can be contacted at jborder@globaltaxes.net (tel: +1-954 328 4347).

Micah Burch is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Sydney Law School, Sydney, Australia.

Jérôme Bürgisser is an Attorney-at-Law and holds a BSc from HEC Lausanne; he is a Research Assistant and PhD Candidate at the University of Lausanne, Switzerland.

Giancarlo Chamma Matarazzo is a Tax Partner at Pinheiro Neto Advogados, São Paulo, Brazil.

Elena E.N. Christensen holds LLM and MSc degrees and is Manager at KPMG Accor Tax, Denmark in Copenhagen.

Theophilus Dekonty Joseph, MS, MBA, CPA, CFE, FCPA, is Managing Partner of Baker Tilley Liberia.

Michael P. Hansen has a BSc degree and is Senior Tax Manager at Maersk Group in Copenhagen.

Alexander Hemmelrath is Honorary Professor at the Institute of Finance and Tax Law, Osnabrück University and Partner at Hemmelrath Steuerberatungsgesellschaft mbH in Feldafing, Germany.

Katarina Johannessen holds an LLM and is Head of Group Indirect Taxes at Maersk Group in Copenhagen.

Georg Kofler holds an LLM from New York University and is Professor of Tax Law at the Johannes Kepler University in Linz, Austria.

Zigurds G. Kronbergs, BSc, ARCS, MA, ACA, FCCA, is an independent tax writer and editor. He can be contacted at zigurds@zigurdkronbergs.co.uk.

John J. Lennard is an Advocat and Associate with Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP in Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Na Li is a Lecturer at East China University of Political Science and Law in Shanghai, China. She can be contacted at na.li@wu.ac.at.

Lars Marquardsen is a Research Associate at the Institute of Finance and Tax Law of Osnabrück University, Osnabrück, Germany.

Stefan Maunz is a lawyer and Certified Tax Advisor and Partner at Küffner Maunz Langer Zugmaier Rechtsanwälte in Munich.

Taco Mooren is a Tax Lawyer with Loyens & Loeff in Rotterdam.

Tiago Moreira Vieira Rocha is a Tax Associate at Pinheiro Neto Advogados, São Paulo, Brazil.

Ana Pita Grandal is a Professor at the Universidad de Vigo, Vigo, Spain.

Frank P.G. Pötgens is Professor of International and European Tax Law at the Free University Amsterdam (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam) and a tax lawyer with De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek.

Isabelle Richelle is Co-President of the Tax Institute of the University of Liège (Belgium) and a member of the Brussels Bar (Liedekerke).

Carmen Ruiz Hidalgo is a Professor at the Universidad de Vigo, Vigo, Spain.

Jacques Sasseville is Head of the Tax Treaty Unit at the OECD Centre for Tax Policy and Administration in Paris.

Ton Stevens is Professor of International Tax Law at the Erasmus School of Law of Erasmus University and heads the Maritime Tax Law Program. He is also Of Counsel at Loyens & Loeff in Rotterdam.

Michail Tegos holds an Adv. LLM in International Tax Law from the International Tax Center of Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Trine Thomsen holds an LLM and is an Attorney at Law and Senior International Tax Manager, Maersk Group in Copenhagen.

Maurits van de Sande is an Intern at Loyens & Loeff in Amsterdam.

Mees M. Vergouwen is a Tax Law Assistant with De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek in Amsterdam.

Dennis Weber is a Tax Adviser and Of Counsel at Loyens & Loeff in Amsterdam and Professor of European Corporate Tax Law at the University of Amsterdam.

Christiane Zöhrer holds an LLM and is a PwC Research Associate at the Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law, WU (Vienna University of Economics and Business).

Contact

IBFD Head Office
Rietlandpark 301
1019 DW Amsterdam
P.O. Box 20237
1000 HE Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Tel.: +31-20-554 0100 (GMT+1)
Email: info@ibfd.org
Web: www.ibfd.org



IBFD, Your Portal to Cross-Border Tax Expertise