

A Decade of Case Law

Essays in honour of the 10th anniversary of the Leiden Adv LLM in International Tax Law

Table of contents

Preface

Editors' note

Chapter 1: *DEL commercial*: conduit arrangements and abuse of tax treaties

By Andrea Parolini

Executive Summary

1.1. DEL Commercial – A summary of the case

1.1.1. Background

1.1.1.1. Facts

1.1.2. Application of double taxation agreements (“DTA”)

1.1.3. Issue

1.1.4. Decision

1.2. Analysis

1.2.1. Main interpretative issues

1.2.2. Conduit arrangements: Finding a definition

1.2.2.1. The work of the OECD

1.2.2.2. Domestic practices and treaty law

1.2.2.3. Intermediate conclusion: Main features of “conduit arrangements”

1.3. Tackling conduit arrangements: historical overview of the OECD attitude

1.4. Reactions to the 2003 OECD position on conduit arrangements

1.5. Conflicts of rules in tax treaties

1.5.1. Example: Frontier worker/artistes

1.5.2. Conflicts of treaty anti-abuse rules

1.5.2.1. Relationship between LOB and purposive conduit clause

1.5.2.2. Relationship between purposive conduit clause and beneficial ownership

1.5.2.3. Relationship between LOB and beneficial ownership clause

1.6. Conclusive remarks

Bibliography

Chapter 2: Treaty issues related to the provision of know-how: Comment on a Spanish Supreme Court decision

By Stefano Simontacchi and Erki Uustalu

Executive Summary

2.1. Introduction

2.2. *Electronic Data Systems Deutschland* case: A brief summary

2.2.1. Background

2.2.2. The issue and the court decision

2.3. Definition of know-how

2.3.1. Royalty definition: Comparison between OECD Model, UN Model and US Model

2.3.2. Article 12(2) of the OECD Model

2.3.3. Type of information covered

2.3.4. Transactions covered

2.4. Provision of know-how vs. provision of services

2.4.1. Conflict of characterization issues

2.4.2. Treaty policy issues

2.5. Mixed contracts

2.6. Concluding remarks

Bibliography

Chapter 3: International hiring-out of labour: Identifying and taxing genuine and abusive scenarios

By Andrea Ballancin and Juanita Brockdorf

Executive Summary

3.1. Introduction

3.2. The 2001 High Court case: A summary

3.2.1. Background

3.2.2. Issues

3.2.3. Decision

3.2.4. Supreme Court decision

3.3. Analysis

3.3.1. Interpretation of tax treaties

3.3.1.1. Domestic law: Static or ambulatory interpretation

3.3.1.1.1. Related case law: Static or ambulatory interpretation of domestic law

3.3.1.2. Which should have prevailed: Domestic law or the “context”?

3.3.1.2.1. Meaning of “context” and the Commentaries as “context” or other means of interpretation

3.3.1.2.2. The role of the Commentaries: Static or ambulatory commentaries

3.3.1.2.3. Case law on the role of the Commentaries: Static or ambulatory commentaries

3.3.1.2.4. Commentators on the role of the Commentaries: Static or ambulatory commentaries

3.3.1.2.5. Were the US and Canada obliged to make a reservation?

3.3.2. Relevance of the OECD Commentaries when interpreting treaties worded differently from the OECD Model Tax Convention

3.3.3. The notion of employer for purposes of Art. 15(2) OECD Model Tax Convention

3.3.3.1. Scope of application of Paragraph 8 of the OECD Commentaries to Art. 15(2)

3.3.3.2. Experience from other countries

3.3.3.3. OECD discussion drafts

3.4. Conclusions

Bibliography

Chapter 4: Multinational enterprises and permanent establishments: The *Philip Morris* case

By Emidio Cacciapuoti and Raffaele Russo

Executive Summary

4.1. Introduction

4.2. The *Philip Morris* case: A summary

4.2.1. Background

4.2.2. The issue

4.2.3. The decision

4.3 Analysis

4.3.1. Historical overview of the definition of permanent establishment

4.3.1.1. In general

4.3.1.2. League of Nations Draft Conventions of 1927 and 1928

4.3.1.3. League of Nations Draft Multilateral Convention of 1933

4.3.1.4. The 1943 Mexico and 1946 London Models

4.3.1.5. OECD Draft Convention of 1963

4.3.1.6. OECD Model Convention of 1977 and subsequent updates

4.3.1.7. The 1992, 1995 and 2000 changes to the OECD Commentary on Article 5

- 4.3.1.8. The 2003 changes to the OECD Commentary on Article
- 4.3.1.9. The 2005 changes to the OECD Commentary on Article 5
- 4.3.2. The definition of permanent establishment under Italian tax law
- 4.3.3. Critical analysis of the *Philip Morris* decision
- 4.3.5. Summary and conclusions

Bibliography

Chapter 5: Non-discrimination and group consolidation: The *Delaware* case of the German *Bundesfinanzhof* and beyond

By David Francescucci, Stefano Grilli and Wolfgang Oepen

Executive Summary

5.1. Introduction

5.2. The *Delaware* judgment: A summary

5.2.1. Background

5.2.1.1. Facts

5.2.1.2. Legal background

5.2.1.2.1. Domestic law

5.2.1.2.2. Double taxation convention between Germany and the US

5.2.2. The issues raised by the court

5.2.3. The decision

5.3. Analysis

5.3.1. Overview

5.3.2. The *Delaware* scenario: Group consolidation in cases where both consolidated entities are subject to unlimited tax liability in the same country

5.3.2.1. Which entity (or entities) may validly claim recognition of a tax grouping?

5.3.2.2. Foreign-ownership clause (Art. 24.4 DTC GER-US)

5.3.2.2.1. General

5.3.2.2.2. Is a subsidiary with a dual-resident shareholder protected by the foreign ownership clause?

5.3.2.2.3. Which is the appropriate comparison to be made under the foreign-ownership clause?

5.3.2.2.4. Has EC law been relevant for the decision of the *Delaware* case?

5.3.2.2.5. Carve-out of group consolidation issues in the application of foreign-ownership nondiscrimination clauses?

5.3.2.3. Nationality clause (Art. 24.1 DTC GER-US)

5.3.3. Beyond the facts of the case: Does the *Delaware* judgment also allow for group consolidation with a parent company that is not subject to unlimited or limited tax liability?

5.3.3.1. Overview

5.3.3.2. Nationality clause

5.3.3.3. Foreign-ownership clause

5.3.3.3.1. Literal application of foreign-ownership clause

5.3.3.3.2. Possible restrictive interpretations of foreign-ownership clause

5.4. Considerations on the application to permanent establishments of the non-discrimination provision of Art. 24(3) OECD MC – the group consolidation regime

5.4.1. Premises

5.4.2. The transgender nature of the permanent establishment under Art. 24(3) OECD MC

5.4.3. The exact identification of the tertium comparationis

5.4.4. Computing the “less favourable” taxation on the permanent establishment: (alleged) Importance of the ownership structure

5.4.5. The denial of the group consolidation regime and its qualification as “less favourable” taxation

5.4.6. The interaction of Art. 24(3) and Art. 24(5)

Bibliography

Chapter 6: A case on partnerships: The *Rouquier et Rivay* decision of the Belgian Supreme Court

By Tiago Neves, Antonio Russo and Emiliano Zanotti

Executive Summary

6.1. The facts

6.1.1. A *Société Civile Immobilière* (SCI) under French law

6.1.2. An overview on Belgian similar case law

6.1.3. The *Rouquier et Rivay* case

6.2. Analysis

6.2.1. The OECD approach to partnerships

6.2.2. An “unorthodox” approach to partnerships or more simply a correct reading of the bilateral treaty?

6.2.2.1. A conflict of qualification?

6.2.2.2. A matter of classification?

6.3. Conclusions

Bibliography

Chapter 7: Residence: Central management and control versus place of effective management

By Walter Andreoni, Renata Fontana, Giancarlo Matarazzo and Mario Tenore

Executive Summary

7.1. *Wood v. Holden*

7.1.1. Background

7.1.2. Issues

7.1.3. Decision

7.2. Analysis

7.2.1. Main interpretative issues

7.2.2. Origin and evolution of the “central management and control” concept

7.2.3. Origin and evolution of the “place of effective management” concept

7.2.3.1. From the League of Nations to the OECD Model Tax Conventions

7.2.3.2. The 2001 TAG discussion draft

7.2.3.3. The 2003 TAG discussion draft

7.2.3.4. The draft contents of the 2008 update to the OECD Model Tax Convention

7.2.4. Practical implications of *Wood v. Holden* Case

7.2.4.1. Preliminary remarks

7.2.4.2. Five possible lessons

7.2.4.2.1. The intention to reduce or avoid taxes is irrelevant

7.2.4.2.2. Short time is not an issue

7.2.4.2.3. There is no need for substantial activities or personnel in a company

7.2.4.2.4. Outsiders’ opinion may influence the management decision

7.2.4.2.5. Place of effective management may coincide with central management and control

7.2.4.3. Four limitations from *Wood v. Holden*

7.3. Concluding remarks

Bibliography

Chapter 8: The *Royal Bank of Scotland* case: More controversy on the interpretation of the term “beneficial owner”

By Paolo Arginelli, Priscila Stele Mariano da Silva, Christian Ellul and Alexandra Storckmeijer

Executive Summary

8.1. Introduction

8.2. The *Royal Bank of Scotland* case: A summary

8.2.1. Background

8.2.2. Issues

8.2.3. The decision of the Supreme Administrative Court

8.3. Historical analysis

8.3.1. Introduction

8.3.2. Development of the term

8.3.3. Attempt to define the term

8.3.3.1. In general

8.3.3.2. Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

8.3.3.3. Article 3(2) OECD MC

8.3.3.4. Proposed definitions

8.4. Related case-law

8.4.1. Introduction

8.4.2. The *Aiken Industries* case: Beneficial owner *ante litteram*

8.4.3. The *Royal Dutch Shell* case

8.4.4. The *Indofood* case

8.5. Critical analysis of the decision

8.5.1. Introduction

8.5.2. First opinion: The term “beneficial owner” should not have a wide general anti-abuse meaning

8.5.3. Second opinion: Relationship between the beneficial owner requirement, the entitlement to treaty benefits and the attribution of income under domestic and tax treaty law

8.5.3.1. Connecting factors and entitlement to treaty benefit: relevance of the rules on income attribution under domestic law

8.5.3.2. Which attribution rules are relevant?

8.5.3.3. Unless the context otherwise requires

8.5.3.4. Beneficial ownership?

8.6. Conclusion

Bibliography

Chapter 9: Triangular cases and double tax relief: A decision of the Netherlands Supreme Court

By Edward Attard, Séverine Baranger, Bruno Carramaschi, Kathrin Egli and José Almieda Fernandes

Executive Summary

9.1. Introduction

9.2. Decision of the Netherlands Supreme Court of 11 May 2007 (BNB 2007/230)

9.2.1. Facts and issues of the case

9.2.2. Decision of the Court

9.3. Earlier decision of the Netherlands Supreme Court of 8 February 2002 (BNB 2002/184)

9.4. Differences and similarities between NL 2002 and NL 2007

9.5. Analysis

9.5.1. Overlapping double taxation relief in cross-border situations

9.5.2. Looking at the final outcome

9.5.3. Triangular provisions in existing tax treaties

9.5.4. European law perspective

9.6. Concluding remarks

Bibliography

Tribute notes from friends and colleagues

Appendices: Class photos