

Dispute Resolution under Tax Treaties
Doctoral Series Vol. 11
Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1. Defining the research questions

A. Overview

B. Dispute Resolution in Practice: The Mutual Agreement Procedure

1. Early Efforts: The Mutual Agreement Procedures
 - I. Pre-World War I Dispute Settlement Procedures
 - II. Post World War I Dispute Settlement Procedures
 - a. The 1922 Multilateral Treaty of Rome
 - b. The 1926 UK-Irish Free State Income Tax Treaty
 - c. The 1934 Succession Duties Convention
2. Recent Efforts: Arbitration
 - I. Arbitration Provisions in Tax Treaties
 - a. The 1989 US-Germany Income Tax Treaty
 - b. Subsequent income tax treaties
 - c. The 1990 European Arbitration Convention
 - d. The 2005 Memorandum of Understanding between the US and Canada
 - II. Negotiations over the GATS Treaty
 - III. The European Court of Justice
 - IV. The OECD Multilateral Agreement on Investments (MAI)

C. Dispute Resolution In Theory: International Committees, Academic Scholarship And Other Studies

1. Historical Background: From Initial Design to Practical Outcome
 - I. The First Model Income Tax Treaties: Early Efforts by the ICC and League of Nations
 - a. Early Efforts by the International Chamber of Commerce
 - b. The 1923 Report of the Committee of Economic Experts
 - c. The 1923 and 1925 Report of the First Committee of Technical Experts
 - d. The 1927 Report by the Second Committee of Technical Experts
 - e. The 1928 Draft Treaties
 - f. The Draft 1931 Plurilateral Tax Treaty
 - g. The 1932 Procedures for the Friendly Settlement of Economic Disputes

- h. Recapitulation and Observations
 - II. The 1943 Mexico and 1945 London Draft Treaties – Non Binding Procedures
 - III. The 1949 Suggestion by the Netherlands to Establish a Tax Chamber at the I.C.J.
 - IV. The OEEC and OECD Treaty Initiative
 - a. The OEEC and OECD Models
 - b. The OECD Call for Reanalysis
 - c. The Draft 2004 Report
 - V. The UN Proposals by the Ad-Hoc Group of Experts
 - a. Overview
 - b. The 1969 Report of the Ad Hoc Group of Experts
 - c. The 1970 Study by the Secretary-General
 - d. The 1972 Report by the Ad Hoc Group of Experts
 - e. The 1976 Report by the Group of Experts
 - f. The 1988 Fourth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Group of Experts
 - g. The 2001 Tenth Meeting of the Ad Hoc Group of Experts
2. Other Post World War II Studies by Scholars, Practitioners, and NGOs
- I. Studies from 1895 to 1948
 - II. Studies Supporting the Continued Use of the MAP or Non-Compulsory Arbitration
 - a. 1975 Study by Glenn Madere
 - b. 1977 Study by Pierre Kerlan
 - c. 1986 International Tax Institute Seminar: Competent Authorities Share Their Concerns
 - d. 1992 Study by the American Law Institute
 - e. 1998 Study by Professor Robert A. Green
 - III. Studies Supporting Compulsory International Arbitration and Adjudication
 - a. The International Fiscal Association 1951, 1957, 1960, 1981, 1987 and 1993 Congresses
 - b. The 1962 Carter Report
 - c. 1981 Study by the World Peace Through Law Center (Lindencronna & Mattsson)
 - d. The International Chamber of Commerce
 - e. 2001 Doctoral Dissertation by Mario Zuger
 - f. 2003 European Collection of Studies
 - g. 2004 Study by William Park & David Tillinghast on International Tax Arbitration
 - h. Additional Studies on Tax Arbitration
 - IV. Studies Supporting Non Binding Opinions to Domestic Courts
 - a. 1976 US Department of State Study on Preliminary Opinions
 - b. 1996 Suggestion by Professor C. van Raad
 - c. 2001 Suggestion by van der Brugen

D. The Research Questions And Their Importance

1. Empirical Analysis
2. The Institutional Design
 - I. The Domestic Perspective
 - II. The International Perspective
3. The Procedural Design

Chapter 2. Quantitative Analysis - The Empirical Evidence

A. Overview

B. Cautionary Notes As To The Empirical Findings

C. 1980 Statistics Presented at the 1981 IFA Congress

1. Australia, Federal Republic of Germany, the Netherlands, and Sweden
2. United States 1971-1980 IFA Statistics
3. United States 1977 NYU Conference Statistics
4. Analysis and Conclusions
 - I. Number of Cases
 - II. Processing Time
 - III. Amount of Relief

D. 1980, 1981, and 1986 IRS Statistics

E. 1995 - 2004 United States Internal Revenue Service Statistics

1. The Empirical Data
2. Analysis and Conclusions
 - I. Number of Cases
 - a. Total Number of Cases
 - b. Allocation vs. Non-allocation Cases
 - II. Processing Time
 - a. Combined Processing Time
 - b. Allocation vs. Non-allocation cases
 - III. Amount of Relief 108

F. 1976 - 1986 Canadian Revenue Statistics

1. The Empirical Data
2. Analysis
 - I. Number of Cases
 - II. Processing Time and Relief

G. 2001 - 2004 Canadian Revenue Statistics

H. 1995 - 1999 European Statistics

1. The Empirical Data

2. Analysis and Conclusions
 - I. Number of Cases
 - a. MAP Cases
 - b. Arbitration Convention Cases
 - II. Processing Time
 - III. Amount of Relief
- I. 1987-2003 Japanese MAP/APA Statistics
 1. Empirical Data
 2. Analysis
 - J. Ernst & Young 1999 / 2003 Transfer Pricing Surveys
 - K. Comparative Analysis – GATT / WTO Statistics
 1. Overview
 2. Caution with the Comparative Approach
 - I. Are the Political Realities of International Trade and Tax Policies Compatible as to Allow Such A Comparison?
 - II. Are the two Processes Designed to Achieve Identical Goals?
 - III. Are the Two Statistics Presenting Comparable Data
 - VI. Are the Two Dispute Resolution Procedures Dealing with Disputes at the Same Stage?
 3. Dispute Resolution under GATT 1947
 - I. Historical Overview
 - II. The Empirical Data
 - III. Conclusions
 4. Dispute Resolution under the WTO agreements
 - I. Overview
 - II. The DSU Process
 - III. The Official Goals of the DSU
 - IV. General Concerns with the DSU
 - IV. The Statistical Data
 - VI. Analysis and Implications as to the MAP
 - L. Recapitulation
 1. Number of Cases
 2. Type of Cases
 3. Processing Time
 4. Amount of Relief
 5. Comparative Analysis with Trade Disputes
 6. Last Words of Caution

Chapter 3. Domestic Institutional Design and the Intent of Tax Treaties

A. Overview

B. The Intent of Tax Treaties

1. The Intent of Early 19th Century Treaties
2. The Perspective of the United States and of International Committees in the 1920s
3. Additional Objectives
4. Recapitulation - The Main Objectives of Income Tax Treaties

C. Defining the Partiality of Interests, Conflict of Interests, and Unaligned Interests

1. Understanding the Partiality of interests
2. Should We Care About the Conflict of Interests?
3. Unaligned Interest
4. Which Taxpayer Interests Should We Take Into Account?
5. Statements and Empirical Observations

D. Conclusions, Suggestions and Possible Difficulties

1. Recapitulation: Partiality of Interests, Conflict of Interest, and Unaligned Interests
2. Proposals
3. Assessing the Difficulties of my Proposals
4. Recapitulation - Turning Back to the Big Picture

Chapter 4. Beyond International Anarchy

A. The Importance of International Institutions and Organizations

1. Introduction
2. International Relations - The Basic Schools of Thought
 - I. Realism
 - II. Rational-Functionalism and Institutionalism
 - III. Constructivists/Reflective Approaches
 - IV. Personal Reflections
3. Institutionalist Thought: International Organizations and State Behaviour
 - I. Establishing Reputations
 - a. The Power of Reputation
 - b. Do Reputational Costs Travel Between Fields?
 - c. Additional Limitations on the Power of Reputation
 - d. Increased Costs of Non-Compliance - The Way Reputation Works
 - e. Signaling Devices and Decreasing Uncertainty
 - f. Correcting Time Inconsistent Preferences
 - g. Preventing The Breakdown of the System

- II. Reducing Transaction Costs, Linkage, and Retaliatory Control
 - a. Changing the Pattern of Transaction Costs
 - b. Linkage
 - c. Control and Coordinate Retaliation
- III. Substitution for Domestic Practices
- IV. R&D and New Focal Points

B. Critiques on Institutional Theories

- 1. Empirical Critiques
- 2. Methodology Critiques
- 3. Implications for This Study

C. Recapitulation

Chapter 5. Current Procedures and the Need for Change

A. Overview

B. Dispute Resolution Objectives under Tax Treaties

- 1. Key Government Objectives
- 2. Key Taxpayer - Government Conflicts
- 3. Shared Tax Administrators Taxpayers Objectives
- 4. Conflicting Tax Administration - Taxpayer Objectives
- 5. Key Internal Governmental Conflicts

C. Advantages and Disadvantages of the Current System: the MAP & the Domestic Courts

- 1. Tax Administrations and Governments: Costs and Benefits
 - I. Advantages of the Current Dispute Settlement Procedures
 - a. Administration - Administration & Administration - Taxpayer Disputes
 - b. Administration - Taxpayer Disputes
 - II. Disadvantages of the Current Dispute Settlement Procedures
 - a. Administration - Administration & Administration - Taxpayer Disputes
 - b. Administration - Taxpayer Disputes
- 2. Taxpayers: Costs and Benefits
 - I. Benefits for Taxpayers Resulting from the Current System
 - II. Costs for Taxpayers Resulting from the Current System
 - a. Costs Associated with the MAP
 - b. Costs Associated with Domestic Courts

D. Allocation vs. Non-allocation Cases

1. Intensity of Facts
 2. Lumping
 3. Explaining Taxpayer Participation in Nonallocation Cases
 4. Recapitulation
- E. Explaining the GAP in Taxpayer Participation and its Design Implications
1. Overview
 2. The Suggested Reasons
 3. The Implications for This Study
- F. Reasons for Failed Competent Authority Procedures
1. The French Experience (1977)
 2. The US Competent Authorities (1986)
 3. Canadian Competent Authority Services Division (2004)
 4. Analysis and Conclusions
- G. Advanced Pricing Agreements and Advance Rulings
- H. Overall Analysis and Conclusions
1. The System's Main Effect on Governments
 2. The Main Effects on the Administration of International Income Taxes
 3. The Main Effects on Taxpayers
 - I. The Domestic Internal Stage
 - II. The Foreign Internal Stage
 - III. The International Coordination Stage
 4. Conclusions

Chapter 6. Arbitration - the Ugly Duckling

A. Overview

B. Advantages and Disadvantages

1. Governments and Tax Administrations
 - I. Benefits - Governments and Tax Administrators
 - II. Costs - Governments and Tax Administrators
2. Taxpayers
 - I. Benefits - Taxpayers
 - II. Costs – Taxpayers

C. Why was Income Tax Arbitration Hardly Ever Used - A Comprehensive Approach

1. Past Arbitration
2. Previous Suggestions by Scholars
3. Suggested Comprehensive Analysis

D. Analysis and Conclusions

1. The Taxpayers
2. Governments and Tax Administrations

Chapter 7. Designing the New Institution: Objectives, Suggested Procedures, and Analysis

A. Overview

B. Defining the Objectives

1. Core (Short Term) Necessary Objectives
2. Secondary (Long Term) Objectives
3. Pragmatic Concerns

C. Designing an Effective International Tax Tribunal - Several Key Issues

1. Independence of the International Tribunals
2. Composition of the Tribunal
3. Quality and Character of Legal Reasoning
4. The Value of Neutrality and Judicial Activism
5. Private Party Access to the International Tribunals
 - I. Increasing the Costs of Non Compliance
 - II. Establishing a System of Precedents and Building a Reputation
 - III. Friction with Domestic Institutions
 - IV. Additional Costs of Private Party Participation
6. Sufficient Resources
7. Transparency
8. Non Cumbersome Procedures
9. Respect and Dialogue with other International Tribunals
10. Domestic Legal Embeddedness

D. Lessons from the Experience of the ECJ with Preliminary Opinions

1. The Relationship Models
2. The Empirical Evidence
3. Conclusions and Implications for this Study

E. The Strong Need for Coordination

1. The Self Enforcing Nature of International Tax Treaties
2. The Strong Need for Coordination and the Need for Enforcement
3. Is A Legalistic Dispute Resolution Procedure Necessary? Addressing Arguments by Professor Robert A. Green

- I. Green's Carve-Out
- II. Controlling Retaliation
- III. The Nontransparent Nature of Tax Discrimination
- IV. Additional Benefits of A Legalistic Dispute Resolution System
- V. Other Possible Institutional Designs
- F. Understanding the Implications of Increased Enforcement

- 1. Changing the Original Payoffs
- 2. Additional Lessons From the Law and Economics Literature
- 3. The Merits of the Managerial Approach

G. The Suggested Design: Procedure and Analysis

- 1. Overview and Organizational Chart
- 2. Reforming the Competent Authority Institution
 - I. Dispute Resolution
 - II. Clarification as to Other Treaty Functions
- 3. Establishing the Global Tax Organization (GTO)
 - I. The Need for a Multilateral GTO Agreement
 - II. Choice of International Organization
 - a. The International Court of Justice
 - b. The OECD, ICSID and the WTO
 - c. A Global Tax Organization (GTO)
 - III. The Various Referral Procedures
 - a. Court Referrals and Judicial Empowerment
 - b. Anonymous Court Referrals
 - c. Direct Access Procedure - Referral by the Competent Authority
 - IV. Foreign Enforcement Procedure
 - V. Administrative Provisions of the GTO Treaty
 - VI. Substance over Form Doctrine
 - VII. Taxpayer Participation
 - VIII. Taxpayer Cooperation
 - IX. Required Domestic Legislation
 - X. Budget Administration and User Fees
 - XI. A Bureau of Statistics
 - XII. Monitoring Agency: Needs and Risks
- 4. The Reformed Mutual Agreement Procedure
 - I. Clear Deadlines
 - II. Inviting the Foreign Competent Authority/ Foreign Taxpayer
 - III. Taxpayer Participation
 - IV. Confidentiality

5. The Reformed Arbitration Process
 - I. Non-Compulsory Arbitration
 - II. Confidentiality of Process and Award
 - III. Initiation
 - IV. Identity of Arbitrators
 - V. Procedures and Type of Arbitration
 - VI. Processing Time
 - VI. Taxpayer Disclosures
 - VII. Reasoned & Forward Looking Awards
 - VIII. Dissenting Opinions
 - IX. Referral of Legal Questions to the Tax Tribunal
 - X. Appeal to the ITT
 - XI. Administration

6. Establishing the International Tax Tribunal (ITT)
 - I. The Need for Arbitration and Adjudication
 - II. Non-Binding Opinions and The Power of Reputation
 - III. Developing A Lex Tributum
 - IV. Establishing A Permanent Body
 - V. Composition of the ITT
 - VI. Amici Briefs
 - VII. Referring Cases to Arbitration
 - VIII. Conducting Factual Hearings
 - IX. Jurisdiction of the ITT
 - X. Assistance for Developing Countries
 - XI. Dealing with Undecided Matters

H. Overall Advantages and Disadvantages

1. Governments and Tax Administrations
 - I. Costs - Governments and Tax Administrations
 - II. Benefits - Governments and Tax Administrations
2. Taxpayers
 - I. Costs- Taxpayers
 - II. Benefits - Taxpayers
3. Analysis and Conclusions

I. Domestic Institutional Intra-Government Analysis: The Winners and Losers

1. The Systemic International Relations Sphere
2. Understanding the Expected Shifts in Power
 - I. Intra-Governmental Shifts in Power
 - II. International Shifts in Power
 - III. Temporal Shifts in Power
3. The Winners and Losers
 - I. The Political Executive

- II. The Tax Administration
- III. The Judiciary
- IV. The Legislator

4. Conclusions

J. The Feasibility and Prospects for an International Tax Tribunal

- 1. Compliance with International Economic Obligations Before GATT
- 2. Compliance under GATT
- 3. Compliance under the WTO
- 4. US Congressional Unilateral Tax Treaty Overrides
- 5. Reactions by the US Treasury
- 6. The Rationales Behind Treaty Overrides
- 7. The Prospects for an International Tax Tribunal

Conclusions